	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 18-23538-rdd
4	Adv. Case No. 19-08250-rdd
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION, et al.,
9	
10	Debtors.
11	x
12	SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION et al.,
13	Plaintiffs,
14	v.
15	LAMPERT et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	x
18	United States Bankruptcy Court
19	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248
20	White Plains, NY 10601
21	
22	August 31, 2022
23	10:10 AM
24	
25	

	Page 2	
1	BEFORE:	
2	HON ROBERT D. DRAIN	
3	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
4		
5	ECRO: JUSTIN WALKER	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

	Page 3
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	/ Tenth Application of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, as
3	Attorneys for the Debtors, for Interim Allowance of
4	Compensation for Professional Services Rendered and
5	Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred
6	period: 11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022, fee:\$1,574, 106.50,
7	expenses: \$213,032.12. filed by Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP.
8	(ECF #10401)
9	
10	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
11	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
12	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s) 10397,
13	10396, 10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on
14	behalf of Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
15	
16	HEARING re Status Report Fee Examiner's Eighth Status Report
17	Regarding Review of Interim Fee and Expense Applications
18	Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf of Fee Examiner.
19	(ECF #10440)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	/ Tenth Application of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, as
3	Attorneys for the Debtors, for Interim Allowance of
4	Compensation for Professional Services Rendered and
5	Reimbursement of Actual and Necessary Expenses Incurred
6	period: 11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022, fee:\$1,574,106.50, expenses:
7	\$213,032.12. filed by Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP. (ECF
8	#10401)
9	
10	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
11	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
12	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s)10397, 10396,
13	10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of
14	Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
15	
16	HEARING re Status Report Fee Examiner's Eighth Status Report
17	Regarding Review of Interim Fee and Expense Applications
18	Filed by Chantelle D, McClamb on behalf of Fee Examiner.
19	(ECF #10440)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 5
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	/Tenth Interim Fee Application of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer &
3	Feld LLP as Counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured
4	Creditors for Allowance of Compensation for Services
5	Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period:
6	11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022, fee:\$536,639.00, expenses:
7	\$44,946.53. filed by Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP.
8	(Dublin, Philip) (ECF #10398)
9	
10	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
11	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
12	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s)10397, 10396,
13	10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of
14	Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
15	
16	HEARING re Related Documents: Status Report Fee Examiner's
17	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
18	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
19	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
20	
21	HEARING re Response to Motion Administrative Expense Claims
22	Representative's Reservation of Rights Regarding Interim Fee
23	Application Of Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP (related
24	document(s)10398) filed by Eric Mark Kay on behalf of Gary
25	Polkowitz. (ECF #10443)

	Page 6
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	/Tenth Interim Application of FTI Consulting, Inc.,
3	Financial Advisor to the Official Committee of Unsecured
4	Creditors of Sears Holdings Corporation, et al. for Interim
5	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses for
6	the Period: 11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022, fee:\$80,462.00,
7	expenses: \$0.00. filed by FTI Consulting, Inc. (ECF #10399)
8	
9	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
10	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
11	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s)10397, 10396,
12	10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of
13	Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
14	
15	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
16	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
17	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
18	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	/Seventh Interim Fee Application of Herrick, Feinstein LLP
3	as Special Conflicts Counsel to the Official Committee of
4	Unsecured Creditors for Allowance of Compensation for
5	Services Rendered and Reimbursement of Expenses for the
6	Period: 11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022, fee:\$46,688.00, expenses:
7	\$509.69. filed by Herrick, Feinstein LLP. (ECF #10396)
8	
9	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
10	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
11	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s)10397, 10396,
12	10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of
13	Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
14	
15	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
16	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
17	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
18	of Fee Examiner, (ECF #10440)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 8
1	HEARING re Monthly Fee Statement and Tenth Interim Fee
2	Application of Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC, as
3	Administrative Agent to the Debtors, for Services Rendered
4	and Reimbursement of Expenses for (1) The Combined Monthly
5	Fee Period from January 1, 2022 through February 28, 2022
6	and (II) The Interim Fee Period of November 1, 2021 through
7	February 28, 2022 filed by Kroll Restructuring
8	Administration LLC. (ECF #10397)
9	
10	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
11	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
12	May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related document(s)10397, 10396,
13	10401, 10399, 10398) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of
14	Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10406)
15	
16	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
17	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
18	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
19	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 9
1	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
2	Ninth Joint Application of Paul E. Harner, as Fee Examiner
3	and Ballard Spahr LLP, as Counsel to the Fee Examiner, for
4	Interim Allowance of Compensation for Professional Services
5	Rendered and Reimbursement, period: 11/1/2021 to 2/28/2022,
6	fee: \$269,013.50, expenses: \$111.60 filed by Fee Examiner.
7	(ECF #10409)
8	
9	HEARING re Supplemental Notice of Hearing on Interim
10	Applications for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement
11	of Expenses on May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related
12	document(s)10409, 10406) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf
13	of Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10410)
14	
15	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
16	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
17	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
18	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
19	
20	HEARING re Fourth Application for Interim Professional
21	Compensation for the period March 1, 2021 through September
22	30, 2021 for Morritt Hock & Hamroff LLP, Special Counsel,
23	period: 3/1/2021 to 9/30/2021, fee:\$17,883.50, expenses:
24	\$7.40. filed by Morritt Hock & Hamroff LLP. (ECF #10217)
25	

	Page 10
1	HEARING re Supplemental Notice of Hearing on Interim
2	Applications for Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement
3	of Expenses on May 26, 2022 at 10:00 A.M. (related
4	document(s)10406, 10217) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf
5	of Sears Holdings Corporation. (ECF #10411)
6	
7	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
8	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
9	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D. McClamb on behalf
10	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
11	
12	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
13	/ Fourth Interim Fee Application of Deloitte Tax LLP for
14	Compensation for Services Rendered as Tax Services Provider,
15	period: 11/1/2019 to 3/31/2020, fee:\$53,422.00, expenses:
16	\$0.00. filed by Garrett A. Fail. (ECF #10433)
17	
18	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
19	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
20	June 29, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. (related document(s)10434,
21	10433) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of Sears Holdings
22	Corporation. (ECF #10442)
23	
24	
25	

	Page 11
1	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
2	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
3	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D, McClamb on behalf
4	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
5	
6	HEARING re Application for Interim Professional Compensation
7	/ Fifth Interim Fee Application of Deloitte Tax LLP for
8	Compensation for Services Rendered as Tax Services Provider,
9	period: 4/1/2020 to 12/31/2021, fee:\$257,411.50, expenses:
10	\$0.00. filed by Garrett A. Fail. (ECF #10434)
11	
12	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Interim Applications for
13	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses on
14	June 29, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. (related document(s)10434,
15	10433) filed by Garrett A. Pail on behalf of Sears Holdings
16	Corporation. (ECF #10442)
17	
18	HEARING re Related Document: Status Report Fee Examiner's
19	Eighth Status Report Regarding Review of Interim Fee and
20	Expense Applications Filed by Chantelle D, McClamb on behalf
21	of Fee Examiner. (ECF #10440)
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 12
1	HEARING re Application for Final Professional Compensation
2	of Wollmuth Maher & Deutsch LLP. filed by James N. Lawlor.
3	(Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A #2 Exhibit B #3 Exhibit C) (ECF
4	#10452)
5	
6	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Final Fee Applications for
7	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement for Expenses on
8	June 29, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. (related document(s)10453,
9	10452) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of Sears Holdings
10	Corporation. (ECF #10460)
11	
12	HEARING re Application for Final Professional Compensation
13	of Law Offices of Michael M. Mulder. filed by James N,
14	Lawlor. (ECF #10453)
15	
16	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Final Fee Applications for
17	Allowance of Compensation and Reimbursement for Expenses on
18	June 29, 2022, at 10:00 a.m. (related document(s)10453,
19	10452) filed by Garrett A. Fail on behalf of Sears Holdings
20	Corporation. (ECF #10460)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 13
1	HEARING re Motion to Authorize /Motion of the Official
2	Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order
3	Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105, 362, 364 and 1142
4	and Bankruptcy Rules 3020(d), 4001 and 9014 Authorizing
5	Entry by the Debtors' Estates into the Litigation Funding
6	Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p, LP, filed by Ira 8.
7	Dizengoff on behalf of Official Committee of Unsecured
8	Creditors of Sears Holdings Corporation, et al. (ECF
9	#10407)
10	
11	HEARING re Affidavit of Service of Victor Wong Regarding
12	Motion of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for
13	Entry of an Order Authorizing Entry by the Debtors' Estates
14	into the Litigation Funding Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p,
15	L.P. (related document(s)10407) Filed by Adam M. Adler on
16	behalf of Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC. (ECF
17	#10413)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 14
1	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Motion of the Official
2	Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order
3	Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105, 362, 364 and 1142
4	and Bankruptcy Rules 3020(d), 4001 and \$014 Authorizing
5	Entry by the Debtors Estates into the Litigation Funding
6	Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p, L.P. (related
7	document(s)10407) filed by Ira S. Dizengoff on behalf of
8	Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Sears Holdings
9	Corporation, et al. (ECF #10454)
10	
11	HEARING re Notice of Hearing on Motion of the Official
12	Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order
13	Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 105, 362, 364 and 1142
14	and Bankruptcy Rules 3020(d), 4001 and 9014 Authorizing
15	Entry by the Debtors Estates into the Litigation Funding
16	Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p, L.P, (related
17	document(s)10407) (ECF #10454)
18	
19	HEARING re Objection to Motion Relator Carl Irelands Limited
20	Objection to the Motion of the Official Committee of
21	Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order Pursuant to
22	Bankruptcy Code Sections 105, 362, 364 and 1142 and
23	Bankruptcy Rules 3020(d), 4001 and 9014 Authorizing Entry by
24	the Debtors Estates Into the Litigation Funding Arrangement
25	with Bench Walk 21p, L.P. (related document(s)10407) (ECF

Page 15 1 #10408) 2 3 HEARING re Objection to Motion of the Official Committee of 4 Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 105, 363, 364 and 1142 and 5 Bankruptcy Rules 3020(D), 4001, and 9014 Authorizing Entry 6 7 by the Debtors Estates into the Litigation Funding 8 Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p L.P. (related document(s)10407) filed by Joseph E. Sarachek on behalf of 9 10 A&A HK Industrial, AMW Vietnam Co. Ltd., Helen Andrews Inc., Mansheen Industries, Ltd., Mien Co., Ltd., Mingle Fashion 11 12 Limited, Purcell Murray, Samil Solutions, Shanghai Fochier, 13 Strong Progress Garment Factory Company LTD. (ECF #10485) 14 15 HEARING re Motion for Objection to Claim(s) Number: 26186 of 16 Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts on Behalf of the State 17 of Texas and Local Sales Tax Jurisdictions, and (B) Motion 18 for Turnover of Property of the Estate and Application of Funds Owed to Debtors in Satisfaction of Claims 20615, 19 20 26368, 20373, and 20614, or, in the Alternative, (C) Motion for an Order, Pursuant to Sections 105(A) and 502(C) of the 21 22 Bankruptcy Code, Estimating Claims with hearing to be held 23 on 6/29/2022 at 10:00 AM at Courtroom TBA, White Plains 24 Courthouse (RDD) Responses due by 6/17/2022, filed by David 25 Evan Otero on behalf of Sears Holdings Corporation.

	Page 16
1	HEARING re Objection to Motion Objection of Wilmington
2	Trust, National Association, as Indenture Trustee and
3	Collateral Agent, to Motion of the Official Committee of
4	Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order Pursuant to
5	Bankruptcy Code Sections 105, 362, 364, and 1142 and
6	Bankruptcy Rules 3020(D), 4001 and 9014 Authorizing Entry by
7	the Debtors' Estates into the Litigation Funding Arrangement
8	with Bench Walk 21P, L.P. (related document(s) 10407) filed
9	by Edward M. Fox on behalf of Wilmington Trust, National
10	Association. (ECF #10486)
11	
12	HEARING re Objection to Motion OF THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF
13	UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING LITIGATION FUNDING
14	WITH BENCH WALK 2ip, L.P. [Doc. 10407] AND JOINDER TO
15	OBJECTION OF WILMINGTON TRUST, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS
16	INDENTURE TRUSTEE AND COLLATERAL AGENT [Doc. 10486] (related
17	document(s) 10407) filed by Alexander Tiktin on behalf of
18	Orient Craft Ltd. (ECF #10490)
19	
20	HEARING re Motion to Approve Motion to be Listed as a
21	Priority Debt, Demand Acknowledgement of Employment and Type
22	of Work Performed (ECF #10487)
23	
24	
25	

	Page 17
1	HEARING re Motion to Approve (CORRECTING HEARING DATE Motion
2	to be Listed as a Priority Debt, Demand Acknowledgement of
3	Employment and Type of Work Performed (related to ECF
4	#10487) (ECF #10488)
5	
6	HEARING re Response to Motion of the Official Committee of
7	Unsecured Creditors for Entry of an Order Pursuant to
8	Bankruptcy Code Section 105, 363, 364 and 1142 and
9	Bankruptcy Rules 3020(D), 4001, and 9014 Authorizing Entry
10	by the Debtors Estates into the Litigation Funding
11	Arrangement with Bench Walk 21p L.P. (related
12	document(s)10407) filed by Brian P. Morgan on behalf of
13	Whitebox Multi-Strategy Partners, LP. (ECF #10496)
14	
15	HEARING re Adversary proceeding: 19-08250-rdd Sears Holdings
16	Corporation et al v. Lampert et al
17	Joint Motion to Approve Compromise / Joint Motion of Debtors
18	and Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Entry of
19	an Order Approving Settlement Agreements, Granting Certain
20	Related Relief, and Authorizing Certain Nonmaterial Plan
21	Modifications in Furtherance of the Effective Date of the
22	Plan
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 18
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtor
5	767 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, NY 10153
7	
8	BY: GARRETT A. FAIL
9	RAY C. SCHROCK
10	
11	HOLWELL SHUSTER & GOLDBERG LLP
12	Attorney for Kunal Kamlani
13	425 Lexington Avenue 14th floor
14	New York, NY 10017
15	
16	BY: DANIEL P GOLDBERG
17	
18	BALLARD SPAHR LLP
19	Attorney for Fee Examiner
20	1675 Broadway, 19th floor
21	New York, NY 10019
22	
23	BY: TOBEY M. DALUZ
2 4	
25	

	Page 19
1	FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
2	Attorney for Ad Hoc Group of Admin Claimants
3	1177 6th Avenue, 41st floor
4	New York, NY 10036
5	
6	BY: BRIAN P. MORGAN
7	
8	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
9	Attorney for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
10	Bank of America Tower
11	1 Bryant Park
12	New York, NY 10036
13	
14	BY: IRA S. DIZENGOFF
15	DEAN CHAPMAN
16	SARA BRAUNER
17	
18	DAVIDOFF HUTCHER & CITRON
19	Attorney for HK Sino-Thai Trading Company
20	605 3rd Avenue
21	New York, NY 10158
22	
23	BY: DAVID WANDER
24	
25	

	Page 20
1	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP
2	Attorney for Wilmington Trust, National Association
3	233 S Wacker Dr # 8000
4	Chicago, IL 60606
5	
6	BY: EDWARD M. FOX
7	
8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
9	Attorney for Whitebox Multi-Strategy Partners, LP
10	101 Park Avenue
11	New York, NY 10178
12	
13	BY: MELISSA Y. BOEY
14	
15	WILMER, CUTLER, PICKERING, HALE AND DORR
16	Attorney for Mr. Lampert and the ESL defendants
17	250 Greenwich Street, 45th Floor
18	New York, New York 10007
19	
20	BY: PHILIP D. ANKER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 21
1	QUINN EMANUEL
2	Attorney on behalf of Admin Expense Claims
3	Representative
4	1300 I St NW #900
5	Washington, DC 20005
6	
7	BY: ERIKA MORABITO
8	
9	MILBANK
10	Attorneys for Cyrus
11	55 Hudson Yards
12	New York, NY 10001
13	
14	BY: ANDREW J. LEBLANC
15	ERIC REIMER
16	TOM KRELLER
17	
18	HALPERIN BATTAGLIA BENZIJA, LLP
19	Attorney for Relator Carl Ireland
20	40 Wall Street
21	New York, NY 10005
22	
23	BY: ALAN D. HALPERIN
24	
25	

		Page 22
1	LOCKE LORD LLP	
2	Attorney for PBGC	
3	Brookfield Place	
4	200 Vesey Street	
5	New York, NY 10281	
6		
7	BY: BRIAN RAYNOR	
8		
9	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:	
10	BRIAN J. GRIFFITH	
11	BILL MURPHY	
12	PATRICK J. BARTELS JR.	
13	RYAN BARTLEY	
14	PAUL BASTA	
15	ROBERT BRITTON	
16	GABRIEL BRUNSWICK	
17	CHRISTOPHER CARTY	
18	RACHEL M. CHERINGTON	
19	KELLEY A. CORNISH	
20	THERESA A. DRISCOLL	
21	PHILIP DUPLIN	
22	KEITH FISCHER	
23	EDWARD M. FOX	
2 4	JARED R. FRIEDMANN	
25	LESLIE C. HELLMAN	

	Page 23
1	MICHAEL COURTNEY KEATS
2	HOORI KIM
3	JENNIFER S. KOOKOGEY-LAJOIE
4	THOMAS R. KRELLER
5	ZACHARY LANIER
6	JAMES LAWLOR
7	NOAH LEVINE
8	SIDNEY P. LEVINSON
9	SCOTT BRIAN LUFTGLASS
10	COURTNEY MORGAN
11	MICHAEL M. MULDER
12	BILL MURPHY
13	BRITTANY J. NELSON
14	SEAN E. O'DONNELL
15	SEAN O'NEAL
16	RYANNE PERIO
17	ALLYSON PIERCE
18	GARY POLKOWITZ
19	LAUREL D. ROGLEN
20	ELIZA RONALDS-HANNON
21	JOSEPH E. SARACHEK
22	PAUL KENAN SCHWARTZBERG
23	COURTNEY SOLOMON
2 4	SAMUEL STAR
25	JOSEPH SZYDLO

	Page 24
1	ANDREW THAU
2	DAVID ZENSKY
3	ANDREW DEVORE
4	ANDREW FRACKMAN
5	BRIAN D. GLUECKSTEIN
6	MATTHEW GURGEL
7	LESLIE C. HEILMAN
8	THOMAS ROSS HOOPER
9	MARK J. HYLAND
10	THOMAS KRELLER
11	NOAH LEVINE
12	DANIEL SHAMAH
13	ERICA WEISGERBER
14	NOVA A. ALINDOGAN
15	ANNE AUFHAUSER
16	NATAN BANE
17	BRIANNA B BILTER
18	MICHAEL J. BIMKRANT
19	SOMA BISWAS
20	KEVIN ECKHARDT
21	JACK EYERS
22	MATT FERNAND
23	KEITH FISCHER
2 4	UDAY GORREPATI
25	TAYLOR HARRISON

	Page 25	
1	WAYNE KELLNER	
2	DIETRICH KNAUTH	
3	STEPHANIE P. LASCANO	
4	DONNA H. LIEBERMAN	
5	KYLE A LONERGAN	
6	JUSTIN ORMAND	
7	MELISSA PETTIT	
8	KEITH D ROFLAND	
9	BRAD ERIC SCHELER	
10	ANDREW SCURRIA	
11	PETER B. SIROKA	
12	CHRISTOPHER STAUBLE	
13	MICHAEL P. STEMHELM	
14	ANDREW THAU	
15	ALEXANDER TIKTIN	
16	MARGARET A VESPER	
17	PHILIP WEINTRAUB	
18	ALEX WOLF	
19	OWEN RICHARD WOLFE	
20	MELANIE WESTOVER YANEZ	
21	BRUICE BERKOWITZ	
22	ROBERT HONEYWELL	
23	KUNAL KAMLANI	
2 4	TYLER F. KUSMA	
25	JUSTIN ORMAND	

				Page	26
1	MELISSA	PETTIT			
2	JOEL W.	STERNMAN			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2 4					
25					

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

Page 27 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Okay, good morning. This is Judge Drain and we're here in In Re: Sears Holding Corporation, 3 et al. I have the amended agenda for today's hearings and 4 I'm happy to go down in the order of the agenda. 5 MR. SCHROCK: Excellent. Thanks very much, Your 6 7 Honor. Hear me okay? THE COURT: Yes, I hear you fine. Thanks. 8 MR. SCHROCK: Great. Your Honor, for the record, 9 10 Ray Schrock, Weil, Gotshal, and Manges, counsel for the 11 Debtor. I'm joined this morning by my partner, Garrett 12 Fail. 13 MR. FAIL: Morning, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Morning. 15 MR. SCHROCK: We also have with us from the 16 Debtors in the virtual courtroom Brian Griffith and William 17 Murphy from M-3 Advisory Partners as well as Paul Weiss attorneys are on the line. They represented the independent 18 directors of the Debtors in connection with their 19 20 investigation, among other (indiscernible). 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. SCHROCK: Additional Debtors' advisors are 23 also on the line and as are members of the Debtors' board of 24 directors who are listening in. Thanks to all of them for 25 all of their hard work in getting this here today, and Your

Page 28 1 Honor, before I get started, I would just like to thank the 2 Court, for taking the time to hear these significant matters 3 today. We're at a very important point in the cases and 4 on the precipice, we believe, subject to this Court's 5 6 approval, going a long-awaited effective date and for the 7 plan -- Chapter 11 plan in these cases. I know the Court 8 knows very well what it took to get to this point, and we 9 thought it was very important to do everything possible to 10 preside -- to have Your Honor preside over today's hearing. We would also like to thank all of the parties in 11 -- that it took to get here. It took a lot of compromise 12 13 and, you know, literally years' worth of work to get to this point and, you know, there are -- some people say that it 14 15 takes a village, for an extraordinary, may have taken a 16 couple villages. It was very, very difficult to get here. 17 We did file and amended order as well as -- at ECF 18 10622 this morning, that was bringing together final term of 19 a settlement between Cyrus and PBGC relating to some matters 20 that allow, we think, and expedite the effective date to 21 occur. 22 Your Honor, first on the agenda is the joint 23 motion of the Debtors and the Creditors Committee for 24 approval of certain settlements that resolve years of 25 litigation and open disputes. I will let our colleagues at

Page 29

Akin present the details and answer any questions, but I would like to just add a few points that merit approval of the settlement.

First of all, Your Honor, the settlement's unopposed, which I think in these cases, speaks very loudly. Settlement sets the Debtors on a path for the plan effective date. It will get over \$180 million to the Debtors which will enable the Debtors to, among other things, satisfy allowed and reserved for disputed secured administrative priority claims on the effective date, reserve funds for liquidating trust wind down activities, and with the consent of the PBGC, still make an initial \$10 million distribution on the PBGC's priority claim, a \$10 million payment to the alleged 507 super priority claimant, Cyrus.

We expect that the effective date in these cases can occur within roughly 30 days of receipt of the settlement proceeds. We'll do everything possible, subject to this Court's approval, to make that occur even faster, but think it's about roughly 30 days to get the settlement proceeds in and then really it's just mechanical, just pushing out the funds after that.

I'd also just like to mention that, you know, the Court-approved mediators were instrumental in getting to this point. Judges Chapman, former Judge Peck, Mr. Melnick, were available, engaged, frankly above and beyond what, you

	Page 30
1	know, we have seen even in my practice for involvement in
2	trying to get the parties in a multi-faceted, complex case
3	to come together.
4	And as a matter of process, for this first motion,
5	the Debtors would like to introduce as evidence the two
6	declarations what were filed in support of the motion.
7	Those are the declaration of Patrick Bartels filed at ECF
8	No. 10567 and the declaration of Brian Griffith filed at ECF
9	No. 10568.
10	THE COURT: Okay. I've reviewed each of those
11	declarations. Is Mr. Bartels on the line
12	MR. SCHROCK: Present.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. BARTELS: Here, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: There's a lot of pictures on the
16	screen. I'm just trying to locate you. If you could say
17	your name again or
18	MR. BARTELS: I'm here, Patrick Bartels. I have
19	the background of the skyline of Charlotte, North Carolina -
20	-
21	THE COURT: Oh, I see
22	MR. BARTELS: behind me.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Would you raise your right
24	hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
25	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

	Page 31
1	MR. BARTELS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. BARTELS: I do.
4	THE COURT: So, Mr. Bartels, you submitted a
5	declaration dated August 9th, 2022 in support of the joint
6	motion of the Debtors and the Committee for approval of the
7	settlement and related relief, knowing that it would be your
8	direct testimony for this motion. Sitting here today, on
9	the 31st of August, is there anything in the declaration
10	that you'd wish to change?
11	MR. BARTELS: No, sir.
12	THE COURT: Okay. As Mr. Schrock stated, there
13	were no objections to this motion; although, there were a
14	couple of reservations of rights. So, I don't believe
15	anyone would be cross examining you and based on my review
16	of the declaration, I don't have any questions of you, so
17	MR. BARTELS: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: You could consider your testimony
19	complete. And then
20	MR. BARTELS: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: as Mr. Schrock said, I also have a
22	declaration of Brian Griffith. I see him there as well on
23	the screen. Would you raise your right hand, please, Mr.
24	Griffith? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the
25	whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Page 32 1 MR. GRIFFITH: I do. 2 THE COURT: Okay. And like Mr. Bartels, Mr. 3 Griffith you submitted a declaration in support of the first 4 motion on the agenda, the settlement motion, dated August 5 9th, 2022, knowing that it would -- it was intended to be 6 your direct testimony in connection with the motion. And 7 sitting here today, on August 31, is there anything in it 8 that you wish to change? MR. GRIFFITH: No, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. I may have questions for you 11 later in the hearing that are unrelated to the motion, if 12 the Debtors' counsel can't answer them, about the Debtors' 13 cash position and reserves and the like, but I don't have 14 any questions on the declaration, and again, the motion is 15 unopposed, so I don't believe anyone has any desire or 16 standing to cross examine you. So, your testimony is 17 complete. 18 MR. GRIFFITH: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, thank you. 19 20 MR. SCHROCK: Thanks, Your Honor. I'd also like to note for the record that we filed a revised form of order 21 22 yesterday as well and the one today that I referenced that 23 incorporates the settlement that I referred to previously 24 with Cyrus and the PBGC and also resolves some concerns 25 articulated by various administrative creditors, the

Page 33

reservation of rights about potential delays in the occurrence of the effective date.

As a result of that settlement, which I can outline and which is quite simple, there are no impediments to the plan effective date occurring if the Court approves the settlement today. Settlement with PBGC and Cyrus, the remaining holder of 507(b) claims other than Debtors after implementation of the settlement gets, you know, to each, \$10 million from the liquidating trust on the plan effective date.

The appeal pending in the Second Circuit will continue. Following the decision, PBGC and Cyrus will engage in good faith negotiations to determine whether settlement can be reached to avoid any further litigation and in the interim the liquidating trust will not make distributions beyond the initial \$10 million to each party - to either party. In addition, the Debtors agree to release any claims it may have against Cyrus other than those asserted in connection with the 507(b) and 506(c) litigations.

Secured, administrative, priority, and believe general unsecured claims are benefitted and not hurt by the settlement. As I said and Mr. Dizengoff will go into the additional details regarding the settlement for the joint asserted causes of action, the other party with standing and

Page 34 1 the other co-movant on this motion. The global settlement also resolves longstanding disputes between the Debtors and 2 3 Transform, bringing cash back to the Debtors' estate and 4 avoiding, we believe, further, you know, costly years of 5 litigation. 6 And the second item on the agenda is related to 7 one of those disputes. We'll go into that at a later point, 8 but at this point, Judge, unless you have any questions for 9 me, I'll cede the podium over to Mr. Dizengoff. 10 THE COURT: Well, I don't know if Mr. Dizengoff 11 was planning to address this or not, but I want to focus on 12 the first matter that you just discussed, which is the 13 proposed revision to the order on this motion that provides 14 for an interim distribution to the PBGC, on account of its 15 priority claim, its 507 claim, and separately, as part of 16 the settlement with Cyrus, provides for a distribution to 17 Cyrus of \$10 million. 18 I look at those two matters somewhat differently. As far as the PBGC is concerned, my recollection -- and this 19 20 is also stated in the underlying motion -- is that PBGC as part of the PBG settlement, earlier in the case, before the 21 22 confirmation --23 MR. SCHROCK: Right. 24 THE COURT: -- has a priority claim to the first 25 \$97.5 million of proceeds recovered by the liquidating trust

Page 35 1 which is, in fact, the interests of the liquidating trust have been represented pre-effective date by the joint 2 3 committee of the representatives of the Creditors Committee 4 and the Debtors, after payment of all admin expense claims, priority non-tax claims, priority tax claims, other 507(b) 5 6 priority claims, and secured claims. 7 So PBGC's interest is behind that group and I want 8 to explore in some more depth, given the payment proposed in the order to PBGC, whether -- not whether -- what is the 9 10 basis for the Debtors' belief that those more senior 11 creditors would not be left high and dry or bereft of their 12 entitlement to payment under the plan, based on that \$10 13 million payment. And to that extent, the settlement proposed with Cyrus raises the same issue, so there's, in 14 15 aggregate what's being proposed in the amended order is a 16 \$20 million effective date payment. 17 Currently, the Cyrus claim to that is disallowed, 18 and so appealed to the Second Circuit, and I understand the 19 logic behind the proposed settlement, but I'm just focusing 20 on the -- right now, the cash position, both before and after that payment, in light of reasonable projections on 21 22 payment of senior claims. 23 And the Debtors have been periodically updating

the Court and the parties on the status of their liquidation

of secured, administrative, and priority claims, so I have

24

Page 36

months ago, and I just want to have you walk through for me why those payments don't jeopardize the effective date occurring under the plan, which contemplates payment of those senior claims for the plan to go effective, because clearly one of the underlying premises of the motion is to enable the effective date to go -- to occur, and the payment of those senior claims.

So, I don't know who wants to address that, but I would like to hear that at this point.

MR. SCHROCK: Your Honor, just a couple of quick comments. I think that we can have Brian Griffiths be able to address some of the Court's concerns around that, but in sum and substance, this -- the \$20 million of payment are designed to be made, you know, after effectively, you know, consistent with the PBGC settlement, you know, immediately upon the effective date but after payment of the secured priority and administrative claims are made and there's -- if there's a disputed claim, we're going to reserve for those more senior claims, but we can walk you through and we'll just need to take a couple minutes here in the background just to go over Mr. Griffith, the basis for the company's -- for the Debtors' cash position and why the Debtors have sufficient cash even after payment of the

	Page 37
1	settlement.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Let me I mean, I got this
3	proposed order this morning, so I just want to make sure
4	MR. SCHROCK: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: I understand the point that you
6	just made that
7	MR. SCHROCK: It's going to be
8	THE COURT: the \$10 million payments are to be
9	made
10	MR. SCHROCK: On the effective date.
11	THE COURT: Yes. Paragraph 14(b) does say on the
12	effective date. You're right.
13	MR. SCHROCK: Right.
14	THE COURT: And there's an additional \$10 million
15	reserve on the effective date
16	MR. SCHROCK: Correct.
17	THE COURT: for Cyrus and PBGC, pending outcome
18	of the appeal or settlement of it. But, so you correct me
19	on that point, but I'm still I still want to make so
20	that assumes the effective date will have occurred, which
21	means that
22	MR. SCHROCK: Correct.
23	THE COURT: administrative expenses and secured
24	claims will either be paid on the effective date, or if
25	they're objected to, appropriately reserved for, so I guess

Page 38 1 that's the focus for what Mr. Griffith should be walking me 2 through. MR. SCHROCK: Yes, Your Honor, and we'll be sure 3 to cover that, because we did come up with that number in 4 the settlement based upon what the Debtors' projections are 5 6 for, you know, with sufficient cushion for excess cash that 7 we could safely say that after payment of all those expenses 8 to go effective and reserving for anything that's disputed, that we would have, you know, that \$30 million we would make 9 10 those payments and that that would clear the way for the 11 effective date. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So --13 MR. FAIL: Your Honor, if --14 THE COURT: Go ahead. 15 MR. FAIL: Good morning. It's Garrett Fail. I 16 think Mr. Griffith can confirm my statements that -- the 17 following statements that the \$180 million in settlement 18 proceeds that are coming in, together with cash on hand at 19 the proposed effective date, will be sufficient to both 20 satisfy allowed administrative expense claims including those that are -- have received partial payment pursuant to 21 the administrative consent program and so there's roughly 22 23 \$27.5 million owed on account of that constituents that have 24 received part, plus those that have not received, you know, 25 the opt outs. That's another \$6.4 million of allowed

	Page 39
1	claims, so clearly, 180 minus 33, there's sufficient to pay
2	the allowed administrative.
3	There's also sufficient cash to satisfy a reserve
4	for 100 percent of the asserted amount of any disputed
5	administrative claims. There will be sufficient amount to
6	reserve for the asserted amount of the secured claim that's
7	outstanding. There will be
8	THE COURT: And that's the
9	MR. FAIL: sufficient
10	THE COURT: That's the Carl Ireland claim?
11	MR. FAIL: The relator, Your Honor, at \$18.2
12	million.
13	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
14	MR. FAIL: There's sufficient amounts to pay the
15	amounts owed under the retiree settlement of 1.7. There's
16	sufficient amounts to pay priority severance that's ready to
17	be paid on the effective date of 3.6. There's available
18	amounts to pay taxes that are agreed upon of another 14.
19	And there's a sufficient amount in addition to all of that
20	to reserve for disputed priority and disputed tax
21	obligations.
22	And then there's further and very critically
23	and importantly a sufficient amount to reserve pursuant
24	to the plan and the liquidating trust agreement for the
25	future winddown activities of the liquidating trust. And

Page 40 1 then, Your Honor, after that, there is \$10 million available to go to PBGC, \$10 million to go to Cyrus, \$10 million to 2 3 put aside so that it is not used for winddown activities, and then additional amounts expected to be available, a 4 small amount -- you know, hopefully, it grows -- but 5 6 additional cash after that and remaining assets to be 7 monetized after that. But Your Honor, the intent of this settlement was 8 9 to allow the plan to go effective, which in our mind, means 10 satisfying administrative claims, secured priority, and 11 reserving, as the plan requires, in full until it's 12 resolved, the remaining disputed claims, and after that, 13 Cyrus and PBGC will split the amount after reserves for 14 amounts required for the winddown activities and the \$10 15 million -- the three \$10 million chunks contemplate that. 16 And I think -- I would hope that in summary, Mr. 17 Griffith can confirm that information for you. THE COURT: Before I ask Mr. Griffith that 18 19 question, in covering the administrative expenses, both 20 those that have been allowed and a full reserve for the others that are still open, that haven't been disallowed, 21 have you counted the amounts that are currently being sought 22 23 in the final fee applications? 24 MR. FAIL: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes. Included 25 in my calculation of what would remain to be distributed by

	Page 41
1	the liquidating trust and the cash on hand balances, include
2	payments in full of amounts accrued and incurred prior to
3	that date.
4	So there are sufficient funds to pay the
5	administrative claims that have been filed plus other
6	administrative expenses incurred throughout the cases in the
7	ordinary course, including the professional fees that are
8	being sought today and then still have money to be
9	distributed to the PBGC and now Cyrus, and that was part of
10	the success of the settlement, Your Honor, and in
11	particular, getting the quantum of funds that we did in
12	order to satisfy all expenses and provide a material return
13	to the beneficiaries of the liquidating trust.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Griffith, you heard Mr.
15	Fail's summary being permitted with the Debtors' current
16	cash and claim position. Was it accurate?
17	MR. GRIFFITH: It was accurate, Your Honor, yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Does anyone want to question
19	either question Mr. Griffith or argue with Mr. Fail about
20	that summary?
21	MS. MORABITO: Your Honor, Erika Morabito of Quinn
22	Emanuel on behalf of the admin expense claim rep. Can you
23	hear me
24	THE COURT: Yes, yes.
25	MS. MORABITO: see me okay?

THE COURT: Good morning, yes.

MS. MORABITO: I don't want to argue with Mr. Fail or cross examine Mr. Griffith, but I do -- and I actually did not intend on making our statements until after Akin finished with their description and summary of the settlement, but you raised the very points that we were inundated with questions from our admins and so there are a couple other things, I think, that are important in the plan that got the admins comfortable with the new language in the proposed order that I think adds additional protection to those admin claimants.

So because I do have several administrative creditors listening in today, we reached out as many as we could and walked them through that and we did indicate that we would walk through it orally with the Court to avoid a bunch of reservations of rights being filed, with Your Honor, indulgence, it should take less than three minutes, if I can just point out a couple other provisions in the plan that I think are important as it relates to the agreements that were made as part of the original plan and confirmation order so that they understand with certainty that this settlement agreement is not seeking to modify those previous rights they had agreed to under the plan.

THE COURT: Right. No, that would be helpful.

25 Thank you.

Page 43 1 MS. MORABITO: Okay. So first, Your Honor, with 2 respect to the opt-ins, non-opt-outs, and just by way of 3 reminder these were those claimants who agreed by supporting 4 the plan, they would have no more than 75 or 80 percent of 5 the allowed claim amount being paid. THE COURT: Right. 6 7 MS. MORABITO: And there's a provision --8 THE COURT: The non-opt-outs are at 80 and the 9 opt-ins are at 75. 10 MS. MORABITO: Correct. THE COURT: Right. 11 12 MS. MORABITO: That's exactly right. And there's 13 a provision in the plan, in the plan confirmation order, 14 which is 52(a)(4), and the plan provides that additional 15 distributions shall be made to holders of settled admin 16 expense claims -- which is a defined term -- at all times 17 when cash on hand in \$10 million. 18 And this is important, because what that means is 19 when these settlement proceeds come in, even if the 20 effective date has not yet occurred, the Debtors are obligated and shall make distributions to the remaining -- I 21 22 heard Mr. Fail say -- was \$27.5 million of those opt-in, 23 non-opt-outs. So, there's nothing in the settlement 24 agreement that's going to modify what was a heavily 25 negotiated condition for those people to support the plan.

Page 44 That's number one. 1 2 Number two, the questions came from the opt-outs, 3 which was, what does this mean for the opt-outs, if in fact now we were always required to be paid on the effective 4 5 date, if there's going to be a distribution being made, to your point, Your Honor, to PBGC and Cyrus. Are we still 6 7 going to get paid on the effective date in advance of those 8 payments going out? 9 The answer is, obviously the opt-outs would like 10 to now become opt-ins so they can be paid pre-effective 11 date, but that's not happening because that would be a 12 material modification of the plan. 13 THE COURT: There was a time when --14 MS. MORABITO: But it is --15 THE COURT: -- you could do that, which has 16 passed. 17 MS. MORABITO: Correct. THE COURT: Right. 18 19 MS. MORABITO: And I think Mr. Fail stated it 20 correctly which is, it is true that the opt-outs, which I 21 believe are \$6.4 million is what Mr. Fail stated a few 22 minutes ago, those claims will, in fact, be paid on the 23 effective date and they will be paid prior to the 24 distributions being made to Cyrus and PBGC. And with that 25 clarification, I certainly reviewed the order that was

Page 45 1 submitted and had changes, and I think Your Honor raised a good point with respect to 14(c), which is the distribution 2 3 language, and maybe we could add in Paragraph C where it states that for the sole benefit of Cyrus and/or the PBGC, 4 5 pending outcome or settlement of Cyrus' Section 507(b) appeal, if we insert in there, pursuant to this order and 6 7 the plan and confirmation order. 8 I think by adding that it's also going to be 9 pursuant to the order and the plan and confirmation order, 10 there's no question then that the payments to PBGC and Cyrus -- although they're current on the effective date -- they 11 12 would only occur after those other admins that are -- admins 13 and secured priority claims are also supposed to be paid on 14 the effective date. 15 I think that was always the intent, but I think 16 Your Honor, you know, pointed us all out to something that 17 maybe we can tighten up a little bit. THE COURT: I have no problem with that. 18 MS. MORABITO: Okay. With that, Your Honor, I 19 20 think having both of those language -- that language included in the plan, as well as language in the settlement 21 22 agreement in Section 3(a) as well as the proposed settlement 23 order Paragraph 3, which is very clear that distributions

must be made in accordance with terms of the plan and the

settlement agreement and the confirmation order, I think

24

	Page 46
1	that's boots and suspenders, at least for our constituents,
2	to make sure that those are paid with the settlement
3	proceeds that are coming in and that they can be paid
4	either pre-effective if they're opt-ins or non-opt-outs, and
5	then obviously with respect to the opt-outs and others, they
6	can be paid pursuant to the plan on that priority scheme set
7	out on the effective date.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	MS. MORABITO: Thanks, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MR. FOX: Your Honor, Edward Fox. If I may?
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	MR. FOX: Among the amounts that were indicated by
14	Mr. Fail, there's in addition what the proposed order calls
15	the second lien note settlement. There's a payment there of
16	\$4.1 million. I just want to make sure that that's
17	included, and given the language that was added to the
18	proposed order this morning, I just want to clarify that
19	there's
20	MR. SCHROCK: We can so confirm, Your Honor, that
21	Mr. Fox's settlement is accounted for as part of the funds
22	flow for the effective date.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And that is part of this
24	settlement, so I'm assuming that that's
25	MR. SCHROCK: Yes.

Page 47 1 THE COURT: -- in there. MR. SCHROCK: Yes. 2 THE COURT: All right. 3 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, it's Andrew Leblanc of 4 5 Milbank on behalf of Cyrus. THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 MR. LEBLANC: Hi, Your Honor. I'm joined by my 8 colleagues Eric Reimer and Tom Kreller who are also on the line. I don't -- I think Mr. Schrock has faithfully 9 10 described the terms of the settlement. I'm happy to answer any questions Your Honor has. We had -- the questions Your 11 12 Honor asked about the availability of the \$30 million, the 13 10, 10 and 10, was a focus of ours as well when the concept 14 was raised with us to try to resolve our reservation of 15 rights. 16 We're pleased to have come to a resolution of this 17 on the terms that are reflected there. Critically, you 18 know, I think a couple of points that I would add, Your 19 Honor, that incremental \$10 million escrow that Your Honor 20 noted in Section 14, that was critical to our resolution because that money will be available, depending on the 21 22 outcome of the Second Circuit decision. 23 And in addition, there's other language added to 24 make clear that the priorities, assuming our claim is 25 allowed as an administrative claim, that it would retain its

Page 48 1 priority over the PBGC's claim, which is a post-effective 2 date claim, we're -- that should be clear. 3 And we've also made some changes that may not be 4 obvious to the Court, but are designed -- the settlement as 5 structured, because the Debtor is retaining its 506(c) arguments with respect to if we have an allowed 507(b) 6 7 claim, the plan as proposed suggests that we are not the 8 beneficiaries of fiduciary duties, and so we've made some 9 clarifying comments and Section 14 modifies that to make 10 clear that the litigation trust -- the litigation trustee 11 owed fiduciary duties to Cyrus while it continues to be a 12 potential beneficiary of the trust, while those appeals are 13 pending. I just wanted to make --14 THE COURT: Those duties are in the form of Cyrus 15 for that purpose, being a trust beneficiary. 16 MR. LEBLANC: Correct. That's exactly right, Your 17 Honor. The language of the trust agreement itself suggests that it wouldn't -- the Trustee would not owe us duties as 18 19 long as a claim was retained against us, and we didn't want 20 that to be misconstrued, and so we've clarified that. It doesn't change anything. As long as we're a beneficiary, 21 22 we're owed duties and we have the reporting rights that are 23 contained in the agreement. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. LEBLANC: So, happy to answer any questions

	Page 49
1	Your Honor has, but assuming this is approved, Your Honor,
2	it would resolve our reservation of rights that we filed at
3	Docket 10596.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. LEBLANC: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. So as far as I mean,
7	this was the relief that the relator requested, but the cash
8	will be set aside in the \$18 million and change amount that
9	was in Mr. Ireland's reservation and that will be the amount
10	under the order, I'm assuming, the prior order, dealing with
11	his adequate protection rights.
12	MR. SCHROCK: It's the amount of the claim, Your
13	Honor
14	THE COURT: Right.
15	MR. SCHROCK: So pursuant to the plan
16	THE COURT: Right, but he's that's his adequate
17	protection is that there's
18	MR. SCHROCK: Agree, Your Honor. Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: And I'm assuming
20	MR. HALPERIN: Your Honor
21	THE COURT: fairly promptly distributed.
22	There's no reason that I'm aware of to keep it hanging out
23	there.
24	MR. SCHROCK: The claim hasn't been allowed yet,
25	Your Honor, but you know, as soon as it is allowed, the

	Page 50
1	distributions would be made.
2	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
3	MR. HALPERIN: Your Honor, it's Alan Halperin
4	(indiscernible).
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MR. HALPERIN: Alan Halperin on behalf of relator
7	Carl Ireland. I would just start by saying it really is
8	just a reservation of rights. We're delighted at the
9	settlement. It relieves a lot of anxiety and a lot of
10	concern about the liquidity and ability to get to an end
11	game. I think everything I've heard works. The only thing
12	I just want to be clear about need to be precise and
13	clear the reserves that are being made where reserve was
14	use for Relator Carl Ireland. A good look at the Cyrus
15	language, think it was, we can see, those funds are
16	restricted, correct, meaning they won't sit there until and
17	unless claim is allowed or paid.
18	THE COURT: The 18
19	MR. HALPERIN: otherwise.
20	THE COURT: The \$18 million and change that is
21	reserved on the Ireland claim.
22	MR. SCHROCK: In the disputed claims reserve.
23	Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. HALPERIN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And -- okay. So, I had said earlier that some of the issues related to the two additions to the order, one involving PBGC and one involving Cyrus are related and we've just been going through those. The other issue I wanted to raise was this. Unlike the PBGC resolution, which doesn't alter the estate's rights vis-à-vis PBGC's claim, because that claim was settled, the Cyrus resolution provides for an actual payment on Cyrus' claim under 507(b), which, again, currently is disallowed.

So, my question there is really one going to

notice. There has been in place in these cases for a long time procedures regarding settlements and the amount of notice that needs to be provided. Not having seen this agreement until this morning, I've not gone through them all and there may be, again, a modification of that in the plan, but I — there was really no notice of this settlement until — well, there really — it was filed this morning. So, what is the basis for proceeding with it today as opposed to, under the provisions for notice of settlements that, I think are in effect in the case?

MR. FAIL: Your Honor, Garret Fail from Weil for the Debtors. Any notice provisions can be shortened by Your Honor. We believe that here a resolution today is in the best interest is in the best interests of all parties and that the likely, you know, next beneficiary of the funds

Page 52 other than Cyrus is PBGC up to \$97.5 million --1 THE COURT: Right. 2 3 MR. FAIL: -- and that distributions, if any, to the general unsecureds are, you know, not likely at this 4 moment and if, you know, at some later date -- so the fact 5 6 that this settlement benefits every other creditor waiting 7 to be paid and has the support of the Creditors Committee, 8 the administrative claims rep, and parties that have been 9 actively involved in the cases, we thought justifies 10 shortening notice and facilitating the effective date which 11 we know that many parties have been waiting for. THE COURT: Well, what basket in the settlement 12 13 procedures order does this fall under? I mean, it's a \$10 14 million settlement, so --15 MR. FAIL: I don't know that we're relying, Your 16 Honor, on any of the prior orders as much as we are, you 17 know -- I don't recall if we -- if notice is possible with 18 just at the \$10 million threshold with the parties that are 19 consenting, which include the prepetition lenders, the 20 administrative claims representative, the UCC. 21 THE COURT: Well --22 MR. FAIL: Right, so all of the parties that have 23 been consultation parties have supported this and have been 24 involved along the way. 25 THE COURT: Well, let me ask this question.

	Page 53
1	were you contemplating making these payments? I mean
2	MR. FAIL: Upon the effective date.
3	THE COURT: No, no, but when
4	MR. FAIL: the plan, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: do you think that's going to
6	happen? I mean, it would seem to me
7	MR. SCHROCK: Within 30 to 60 days, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. So, I don't see why you
9	couldn't do a notice of you know, either follow the
10	procedure in the most current order or plan provision, or do
11	a notice of presentment
12	MR. FAIL: Judge.
13	THE COURT: I think it's highly unlikely that
14	anyone would object or that such an objection would be
15	granted. I just think it's safer to do it that way,
16	including for Cyrus, because, you know, there's basically
17	I appreciate that the key constituents that you just listed
18	have been part of the negotiation of this, you know, behind
19	the scenes for some time, but as far as, you know, notice
20	requirements, I just I'm uncomfortable that that's
21	enough.
22	MR. FAIL: Yes, Your Honor
23	MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, this is Andrew sorry.
24	Go ahead, Mr. Fail.
25	MR. FAIL: I think we're probably going to say the

Page 54 1 same thing, Mr. Leblanc, that it's a chicken and egg. Cyrus 2 was unwilling to withdraw its reservation of rights and 3 allow the order to -- the settlement to be approved absent this. It's a key component and therefore integral to the 4 approval of the settlement and then to allow the, you know, 5 6 mechanics to start going into effect to prevent anybody from 7 trying to stop it. 8 THE COURT: Well, so let me ask. I mean, if the 9 effective date wouldn't be occurring for 30 to 60 days, why 10 couldn't I address the settlement and say that it's 11 approved, conditioned on the approval of the -- Cyrus signing them. I don't -- I mean, unless there's some 12 13 immediate step you have to take in the next 10 to 20 days, 14 that --15 MR. LEBLANC: Your --16 THE COURT: -- wouldn't otherwise be taking, I 17 don't see why I couldn't do that. 18 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, again Andrew Leblanc with Milbank on behalf of Cyrus. I think there are two 19 20 issues. One is exactly what Mr. Fail said, which is we are relying on this to withdraw our reservation or rights. Your 21 22 Honor, obviously, it's not an objection, and what -- the 23 reservation of rights makes clear that we would be -- we're 24 not opposed to the settlement. We're supportive of the 25 settlement, but we are opposed to what may happen with the

Page 55 1 proceeds --2 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LEBLANC: -- to the extent that they're 3 4 distributed in a way that -- and so we, in the absence of 5 the settlement that we reached that's reflected in this, we would be taking other steps including seeking a stay of 6 7 distributions and things along those lines. That's one 8 issue. The second issue is, the Second Circuit -- we 9 10 argued this on September 24th of last year. The Second Circuit could rule at any time and I think the reason that 11 12 people reached this agreement is because that issue is sub 13 judice and --14 THE COURT: Well, I think you can --15 MR. LEBLANC: -- I don't want that status quo to 16 change. 17 THE COURT: -- pretty well tell them today that 18 you have a resolution of it and they -- and you request them to hold it off. And frankly, it's a settlement that's, the 19 20 Debtors have agreed to today, so -- and they're --21 MR. LEBLANC: The only issue is --22 THE COURT: Let me finish. And there are reasons 23 why they're agreeing to it today, which is that this 24 reservation has not turned into an objection, and frankly, 25 that you wouldn't be seeking a stay. So again, I just -- I

Page 56 1 don't understand how literally half an hour's notice is enough notice of a \$10 million settlement. The settlement 2 3 may well make sense. In fact, I think it does make sense. But, you know, there is such a thing as notice and due 4 process, so that's what I'm going to do on this, which is I 5 will consider the settlement on its merits. 6 7 I appreciate that the Cyrus settlement is an 8 integral part to the distribution aspect under which I'm 9 considering the settlement and the settlement won't, 10 therefore, the -- an order approving the settlement, would not be effective until the Cyrus settlement is effective. 11 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, again, Andrew Leblanc. 12 13 Two issues, just so the Court is aware. It was not -because our appeal remained outstanding and we are hoping to 14 15 get a decision, we weren't -- we informed the Second Circuit 16 of the existence of a settlement and I know ESL had informed 17 the Second Circuit through a 28(j) letter that they had 18 resolved their appeal, but we weren't otherwise intending to 19 inform the Second Circuit because we don't want to disrupt 20 their decision making. We can obviously do that if we have to, to make 21 them aware that we have a resolution, that we wouldn't want 22 23 them to issue a decision for some period of time, but that 24 once the settlement that we've struck is approved, we do 25 need -- we do want them to issue a decision. We're trying

	Page 57
1	to avoid that outcome.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. LEBLANC: The second issue, Your Honor
4	THE COURT: I think people become judges on the
5	Second Circuit, so they understand those issues.
6	MR. LEBLANC: Understood, Your Honor. The second
7	issue, and again, this is not directly my issue nor is the
8	notice issue directly our issue, but I the one question I
9	have is if the settlement I believe that the payment by
10	the insurers is triggered off of when the settlement is
11	effective. And that's the one thing that I'm sure no one
12	wants to delay that issue.
13	MR. SCHROCK: I have a potential solution for Mr.
14	Leblanc, if I could just
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR. SCHROCK: jump in here, Your Honor. So, I
17	think what we could do is have the settlement order entered.
18	You know, we have the settlement with Cyrus. The Debtors
19	would stipulate that we're not going to make plan
20	distributions that would be made on the effective date prior
21	to, you know, the lapsing of the time
22	THE COURT: Right.
23	MR. SCHROCK: for the Court's order
24	THE COURT: That actually makes
25	MR. SCHROCK: And that way

	Page 58
1	THE COURT: That makes sense.
2	MR. SCHROCK: they're protected.
3	THE COURT: That's really that's what Cyrus'
4	reservations is about, is the distributions of the
5	MR. SCHROCK: Right. And we that way, Mr.
6	Leblanc wouldn't feel the need to go seek a stay. We'd
7	certainly stipulate that, you know, we're not going to be
8	making those effective date distributions prior to the 21
9	days. And if for some reason there was a problem with Court
10	approval of the settlement, you know, we would certainly
11	even stipulate, we're not going to make those distributions
12	before the Court could have a hearing on, you know,
13	approval.
14	THE COURT: On the objection.
15	MR. SCHROCK: Correct.
16	THE COURT: It may not it may be less than 21
17	days. Again, I've not gone through and tracked
18	MR. SCHROCK: Right.
19	THE COURT: the orders that are in effect
20	governing settlements. It may be that this one doesn't
21	require you know, it's a whole menu depending on the size
22	of the settlement and who signed off on it and the like.
23	So, it may be less than the 21 days.
24	MR. FAIL: Ten business days, Judge, I think under
25	ECF 3014.

Page 59 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MR. SCHROCK: We could certainly add that 3 stipulation and, you know, for Mr. Leblanc and his client 4 and I think that way, we get the funds in right away, allow this order to go effective, and certainly, you know, cover 5 that all. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. And again, as far as the notice 8 is concerned, it would be done by notice of presentment. I 9 actually don't think there will be objections. I agree with 10 what people have said to me and I understand the basis for the settlement. I don't have reservations about it. I'm 11 12 really saying this for due process purposes and part of due 13 process is someone may have a light bulb go off and say, you 14 know --15 MR. SCHROCK: Right. 16 THE COURT: -- everyone on the screen who did the 17 negotiations missed the following point, but I certainly don't see it and of course, I spent a lot of time on the 18 19 506(c), 507(b) issues, as did the District Court, and I 20 think certainly as far as I was concerned, and I -- having read the District Court's opinion, I think the district 21 judge would probably think the same thing, to resolve the 22 23 issue once and for all, given the amount of the claims, even 24 though the merits, we both decided were in favor of the

Debtors, is warranted by a settlement like this.

Page 60 1 So, I'm really doing it so that there wouldn't be 2 any question of it not standing up if there weren't sufficient notice of it. So, I think Mr. Schrock's proposal 3 should be incorporated in the order. So --4 5 MR. SCHROCK: We're happy to do so. THE COURT: So, I wanted to address those two 6 7 points first since they were brand new this morning; 8 although, the first one that we addressed had been looming 9 over the settlement because of the reservations of rights 10 for some time now, i.e., the confidence one would have that 11 it does, in fact, enable an effective date to occur. 12 So having said that, I'm happy to hear Mr. 13 Dizengoff. 14 MR. SCHROCK: Cede the podium. 15 MR. DIZENGOFF: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. Good 16 morning. Ira Dizengoff, Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer, and 17 Feld for the record. On behalf of both the litigation 18 designees and the Official Creditors Committee. Your Honor, we extent -- gave you an extensive 19 20 motion in connection with approval of the settlement, so I don't want to belabor that and go over it and repeat what is 21 22 abundantly clear from the paper record. And in light of Mr. 23 Schrock's brief comments about the settlement and the 24 merits, I don't want to repeat that, either. And the 25 settlement itself is supplemented by what he said today with

the Cyrus and the PBGC settlements as well.

The settlements themselves underlying the settlement motion, as you know, Your Honor, bring an immediate influx of about \$180 million of cash into these estates. That is a monumental outcome here and very important to get to the effective date, and it will provide as Mr. Griffith said in his testimony and as clarified by you in the follow-up questions, enough funds to pay administrative creditors, secured claimants, and take this plan to be effective and will provide meaningful recovery as well to the PBGC on account of their priority unsecured claim.

So that, Your Honor, is really a herculean outcome on these estates which started in a very uncertain time and a very uncertain outcome in what people have referred to as the retail apocalypse. So, Your Honor, the results here are the culmination of literally years of work by numerous parties in both the main Chapter 11 cases and the adversary proceeding.

And I would say this, from my own personal experience and obviously you as well and Mr. Schrock and the Weil team and the Akin team as well, there were lots of ups and downs during the course of this case and it was not without dispute. But through the good offices of our mediators -- Judge Chapman, Judge Peck, and Mr. Melnick --

we were able to reach consensus on very complicated issues and bring enough value to these estates to get to the effective date.

And it's only through people's hard work and constructive dialog in connection that we can actually get there. So, I did want to pause for one second and say it was actually a pleasure working with the Weil Gotshal team.

Mr. Schrock and Mr. Fail did a fantastic job keeping the Creditors Committee informed, keeping -- even when we had a dispute about issues, keeping us very professional and getting to a consensual resolution on things. And also want to compliment my partner Sara Brauner who did a terrific job and it's obviously -- sometimes not seen to you, Judge, about the hard work that goes in these outcomes and these settlements, so I want to compliment both Mr. Fail and Ms. Brauner on that outcome. It's really a terrific thing.

So, Your Honor, three years ago in October of 2019, we got to consensual resolution on the plan of reorganization. We set the groundwork for getting to monetizing effectively the insider actions and we have now done that, which I think is only 30 or 60 days away. And that could not have happened without an enormous analysis, a lot of hard work, and deep dive into various causes of action which you know very well and I don't have to dictate and tell you about what the depth and understanding of the

complicated issues that are here.

You have literally read hundreds of pages in connection with the motion to dismiss and it is very, very complicated issues. As you know, Your Honor, there were millions of documents that were produced in discovery, which we have poured through. As Your Honor is well aware, there were long and complicated oral arguments in connection with this, and the issues are bespoke. There are lots of issues of first impression, about statute of limitations, and the like and various defenses to the various causes of action.

In a word, Your Honor, this is complex and there's no other way to say other than this just has an uncertain outcome. The designees, as you saw in the declaration from Mr. Bartels, have their own views about the merits and demerits of litigation. They are well informed, sophisticated professionals and in the end of the day, Your Honor, in light of the circumstances about collectability, they looked at things with an appropriate lens.

Litigation, as you know, is expensive and litigation also has uncertain outcomes. And while they've been -- invested themselves and their time and their energy into analyzing all these things and exercising their fiduciary obligations, they've come to the conclusion, correctly, that it is now time to get to the effective date, for all involved. And there are lots of claimants that have

Page 64 1 benefitted by this settlement that's before you. 2 So, the settlement agreement, Your Honor, 3 represent an important achievement and a good outcome for the Debtors' estates and its creditors. One thing I just 4 want to highlight for you, Your Honor, is the settlement 5 itself resolves the insider actions in their entirety. 6 7 There is a public shareholder action aspect of this and 8 we're hoping that people, there's a little bit of time left 9 to sign onto that, but for the most part, that resolved it. 10 For people who are paying their share of the settlement, 11 public shareholder settlement portion, which is \$7.5 12 million, that's all detailed in the motion itself, so I 13 don't want to spell that out to you. Your Honor, just to conclude my remarks and 14 15 comments on it, I would be remiss if I didn't take a moment 16 to just thank you, Your Honor, for the staggering commitment 17 to this case and your countless other matters. I don't mean to start a parade of, you know, thank yous, thank yous, for 18 19 your --20 THE COURT: No, please don't. MR. DIZENGOFF: -- time and service, Your Honor. 21 I won't. but it's -- you know, it's an understatement to 22 23 say that you'll be missed, so I thank you for that and wish 24 you success and happiness in the future in the next days. 25 Your Honor, with that, unless you have questions for me,

Page 65 1 Your Honor, and how the Committee and the designees have evaluated this, we would ask that you, subject to the caveat 2 3 on the Cyrus aspect of it, enter 10622 on the ECF number. 4 That is the most -- that is the order approving the settlement and I'll pause there for any questions that you 5 6 might have for me. THE COURT: Okay. I don't think I have questions 7 8 on the settlement as they would relate to the factors that I 9 need to consider; merits, delayed cost, competency of the 10 parties negotiating, et cetera. I do have a couple of just 11 questions that I just would like some clarification on. You mentioned that this would -- this settlement 12 13 would resolve the claims in one of the two adversary proceedings. It wouldn't resolve, at least as of now, all 14 15 of the claims for those who are not settling in the public 16 shareholder proceeding. And I had a couple of questions 17 related to that. I received the email that referenced the 18 filing of the updated list of settling parties, the list of 19 participating public shareholder defendants, and I have a 20 question about that. There was a -- one of the additional public 21 shareholder defendants was Goldman Sachs Profit Sharing 22 23 Master Trust. There's another public shareholder defendant 24 that I think was not participating earlier, Goldman Sachs

and Co., LLC, and I just don't know if that was a glitch and

Page 66 maybe counsel at Morgan Lewis could answer this or are they 1 not participating while -- I'm assuming an affiliate, 2 3 Goldman Sachs Profit Sharing Master Trust is participating? MR. DIZENGOFF: Your Honor, outside my bailiwick. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 6 MR. DIZENGOFF: But we asked the same question 7 yesterday, so I'm going to punt to either the Morgan Lewis 8 team or Kara Casteel who -- from ASK who's dealing with the 9 public shareholder litigation. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't I hear from counsel 11 from Morgan Lewis. MS. BOEY: Good morning, Your Honor. This is 12 13 Melissa Boey from Morgan Lewis on behalf of the Sears Non-Insider Defendant Group and that includes both Goldman Sachs 14 15 and the Goldman Sachs Master Trust. The Master Trust has 16 opted to participate in the settlement according to its 17 terms, but Goldman Sachs and Co. has not. 18 The way these funds are structured is that they 19 are not actually sort of affiliated with one another and 20 don't make -- the same decision makers are not -- sorry. There are different decision makers for each of them and so 21 the participation of one does not, you know, cover the 22 23 release of the other and the Goldman Sachs defendant as well 24 as the other Goldman Sachs related defendants are not 25 participating in the settlement at this time.

Page 67 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. That clarifies that. And related to the non-participating parties, I had a 2 3 question or two about the contribution bar provision in the order. First, I just want to confirm -- I believe this is 4 5 the case -- that the motion with the proposed order which hasn't changed, I think, since the motion was filed, as to 6 7 the contribution bar provisions was served on all of the 8 defendants in the two litigations. I think we've confirmed 9 that, but there are so many parties, I just want to have 10 someone on the plaintiffs' side -- or the movants' side --MR. SCHROCK: It's a good question. Mr. Fail, do 11 you know the service? Because I don't remember who did the 12 13 service for us. But I think the answer is definitely yes, but someone needs to confirm that. 14 15 MR. FAIL: Ms. Brauner, do you know who you got 16 the list from? 17 MS. BRAUNER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sara 18 Brauner, Akin Gump on behalf of the Committee and the 19 designees. The service list included all parties to the 20 litigation, meaning public shareholder action, original action, and the main Chapter 11 cases. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm asking that 23 because again, there is a contribution bar in the proposed 24 order noticed in the motion and there is some back and forth

in the caselaw in the Second Circuit in the lower courts as

Page 68 1 to the types of contribution bar that a Court can approve where there isn't notice compared to where there is notice 2 3 and here, there was -- I believe there was notice. I mean, that was what we confirmed as well. 4 And on that basis, I believe the contribution bar 5 6 is appropriate. If there had not been notice, I think you 7 might've needed a formulation that it be -- that the 8 judgment credit be the greater of the settlement attributed 9 to common damages and the settling defendant's proportion 10 and share. The way it's listed or written now, it's just 11 the settling defendant's proportion and share. But given 12 the lack of objection and given the notice, I'm comfortable 13 with the way it's worded in the proposed order and in the 14 motion. 15 The last point I have is that -- is really a 16 procedural one and it, again, is related to the non-settling 17 parties. The non-settling parties have -- how much longer 18 do they have to join in, if they want to join in? 19 MR. ANKER: Your Honor, this is Philip Anker from 20 Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering, Hale and Dorr -- for Mr. Lampert and the ESL defendants. I think as it is written, non-21 settling public shareholder defendants have until the day 22 23 before Your Honor's entry of the order. So, if you were to 24 enter the order today, they technically are out of time. 25 I'm speaking only on behalf of my client. If Your Honor and

Page 69 1 other parties were agreeable to extend that period, we certainly would have no objection to doing so, but I think 2 3 as written, I'll enter the order tomorrow, it would be 4 until, you know, 11:59 tonight. THE COURT: Okay. That's how I read it, too, but 5 it's really a practical issue for the, I think the 6 7 plaintiffs' side of the settlement as to whether they want 8 to build a cushion in there that I could put in the order or 9 not, and I'm just throwing that out there for them. 10 Secondly -- because I don't know if they've made a bit push 11 to do this and it's still pending or whether they've already 12 done that and they've gotten all the answers they expect to 13 get. 14 The other point is that I think from the 15 plaintiffs' side, you need to get a date from Judge Lane as 16 to, you know, a pretrial conference as to the remaining 17 people. As I read it, the only parties to -- who made 18 motions to dismiss have settled. There are a couple of 19 parties who filed a joinder which I think is of dubious 20 quality in a litigation like this and that might be an issue that people want to discuss with Judge Lane. 21 22 But he would have the benefit of my work on the 23 motions to dismiss, but I'm not sure what the status of, 24 first, whether the plaintiffs want to build in any more time 25 for people to sign on than just the date of the entry of the

Page 70 1 order and secondly, I think just as a practical matter, this 2 wouldn't go in the order, but you ought to think about 3 scheduling a conference with Judge Lane. And I'm comfortable with it being the way it is, 4 i.e., defendants time to sign on ends when the order is 5 6 entered. Or if the plaintiffs are willing to give people an 7 extension and you put that in the order, I'm comfortable 8 with that, too. So, you don't have to tell me now. You 9 could think about it. 10 So, my last question on -- set of questions on 11 this, it's not related to the settlement. It's related to the aspect of the motion that seeks a modification of the 12 13 plan. So, before I turn to that, does anyone else have anything to say on the settlement itself? 14 15 MR. RAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor. This is Brian 16 Raynor on behalf of PBGC. 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. RAYNOR: We'd just like to voice our support 19 on behalf of the settlement. Of course, it's not perfect, 20 but there are a number of compromises made and we appreciate the efforts of the estate professionals to broker the 21 settlement and the agreement that's set forth therein. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay, thank you. And of course, PBGC 24 is next in line here, so obviously, that's an important --25 MR. RAYNOR: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: An important fact for me. Okay. So, hearing no one on the settlement aspect of the motion, which is, you know, 95 percent of it, I did want to turn to the aspect of the motion that seeks a modification of the plan. It's clear the plan has not been substantially consummated. It hasn't even gone effective at this point; although, it has been confirmed.

So, there is an ability to grant the relief, but I wanted to focus on the proposed amendments and they basically go to two points: first, changes to the liquidating trust agreement; and secondly, just timing of objecting to claims. On the second point, the plan provides in Paragraph 12.1 that any objections to claims, including admin expense claims, secured claims, ESL 507(b) priority claims, other 507(b) priority claims, priority tax claims, priority non-tax claims, general unsecured claims, ESL unsecured claims, shall be served and filed on or before the later of 180 days after the effective date and B, on such later date as ordered by the Bankruptcy Court for cause.

So, the amendment, the proposed modification to the plan that changes that 180-day date to 365 or such later date as ordered by the Bankruptcy Court, and then a later date for general unsecured claims and ESL unsecured claims to 550 days after the effective date or such later date, to me, I'm not really sure that that even is a modification,

	Page 72
1	given that the plan itself recognizes that it could be a
2	later date as ordered by the Bankruptcy Court and that's
3	what you're asking me to do.
4	It does say for cause. I'm assuming the cause
5	here is that it is far from clear, in fact, probably
6	unlikely, that there will be meaningful distributions or
7	maybe even any distributions to general unsecured claims.
8	And the trust does not want to incur the expense in going
9	through a claim objection process unless that prospect
10	appears and it wouldn't appear for some time and that's why
11	the date is extended.
12	I'm not sure, though, why there's a later date for
13	the admin and priorities, if someone could explain that for
14	me so I
15	MR. FAIL: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: determine whether there is
17	sufficient cause to extend the date.
18	MR. FAIL: Thanks, Judge. It's Garrett Fail from
19	Weil for the Debtors. I think, unless there's a bust in the
20	document, we did bifurcate. We extended for a longer period
21	objections to general unsecureds
22	THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
23	MR. FAIL: because that is and then we
24	extended the period from 180 to 365, you know, basically
25	instead of six months, so that in four-and-a-half months we

Page 73 1 weren't back here. And the reason, Judge, is not to delay objections. In fact, the vast majority are probably subject 2 3 to objections, but as Your Honor knows in mega cases, sometimes summary objections are filed but reserve rights to 4 5 file others, so if there's a late claim objection pending, 6 we haven't objected to the substance. If the claim is 7 subject to a preference action, it may be disallowed. 8 Debtors didn't invest to file all grounds for others, you 9 know, that could be a matter of law going first before 10 matter of fact. 11 And so you know, the Debtors have not waived any rights to defend against claims. It's for the benefit of 12 13 all of the beneficiaries that have gotten the reduction of the claims, you know, down billion dollars so far and so 14 15 it's simply a matter of convenience. If we didn't extend 16 now, in four-and-a-half months, we would be before Judge 17 Lane extending until all claims in the first category are 18 ultimately allowed or disallowed by final order. It's just a matter of procedure and we're just 19 20 trying to save that money. We do not think it's a plan modification. It's simply getting permission now rather 21 22 than later. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And then as far as the 24 other changes are concerned, they essentially boil down to 25 recognizing that the work of the --

Page 74 1 MR. FAIL: Litigation designees. 2 THE COURT: The litigation review committee is 3 done and you don't need them anymore and the trust can be 4 operated by a trustee without that level of oversight and expense. And that makes sense to me and does not appear to 5 me to be a material change that affects parties' rights in 6 7 any meaningful way, but I did want to raise a couple of 8 points. 9 The liquidating trust board served as a sort of an 10 oversight of the trustee and in some ways, that was -- is 11 taken over by the PBGC in the amendments, and that makes 12 sense, again, because the PBGC is entitled to the next \$97.5 13 million, subject to the appeal by Cyrus that we've been 14 discussing. 15 But for example, Section 4.8 of the liquidating 16 trust agreement just essentially deletes the reporting 17 obligation to the board and says that the trust -- sorry --18 the liquidating trustee shall timely prepare, file, and 19 distribute statements, reports, and submissions as may be 20 necessary to cause the liquidating trust to be in compliance in all material respects with applicable law. 21 22 I think I would be more comfortable with just a, 23 you know, a, at least semiannual report as to the status of 24 the trust.

MR. FAIL: Your Honor, I think that in the 9019

	Page 75
1	order, we agreed to a monthly reporting and consultation and
2	so we would love less, but we agreed to financial reporting.
3	Imagine it'll be rather static and slow, but we were happy
4	to provide updates.
5	THE COURT: I'm not sure I saw that. If that's in
6	here, that's great.
7	MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, this is Andrew Leblanc
8	of Milbank. It is monthly reporting. That's reporting to
9	Cyrus and PBGC
10	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Right.
11	MR. LEBLANC: It's not necessarily
12	THE COURT: I was thinking that something should
13	be filed just to
14	MR. FAIL: Filed on the docket?
15	THE COURT: Yeah.
16	MR. SCHROCK: We could
17	THE COURT: Not monthly
18	MR. SCHROCK: do that.
19	THE COURT: Reporting and it's now Cyrus as
20	well as the PBGC. That was today's change, but I think I
21	would like something filed, at least on a semiannual basis.
22	The monthly reporting is fine, but you know, I just think
23	you could I'm assuming the reports are going to be
24	somewhat in writing. It shouldn't be onerous for the
25	trustee to turn those into one semiannual report.

Page 76 1 MR. SCHROCK: We'll do that. We'll make the 2 change, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. FAIL: Sure. 4 5 THE COURT: I think -- I'm working off the blackline. I think Section 6.2 should be headed "Powers and 6 7 Duties of the Liquidating Trustee." And there's just a typo at the end of the third line, the words "at the" should come 8 out at the end of the third line of 6.2(a). 9 10 MR. FAIL: Got it. THE COURT: The PIP on Page 36 of the blackline, 11 12 there is a provision for the trust professionals to submit 13 reasonably detailed invoices on a monthly basis to the liquidating trustee and PBGC, and I guess that's now Cyrus 14 15 as well. On Page 31, 6.4, it says "The liquidating trustee 16 shall receive compensation for its actual reasonable and 17 documented services to be paid out of liquidating trust 18 assets, up to a total amount not to exceed \$3 million." 19 There's -- I don't think there's any reporting 20 mechanism on that. It's just, there's a cap. But I don't know if you want to -- to me, I would include the 21 22 liquidating trustee at least supporting that in 6.4 with, 23 you know, detailed invoices -- not on a monthly basis, but 24 just to show what is the basis for whatever that person or 25 their firm is going to charge.

Page 77 1 MR. SCHROCK: Yeah, we'll add that provision, Your 2 Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And then lastly, I think 3 4 there's a glitch, again, because of the removal of the 5 board, in the exculpation provision on Page 44 of the 6 blackline. It says, "Any action taken or admitted to be 7 taken with the express approval of the Bankruptcy Court," 8 then just says, comma, "the liquidating trustee shall 9 conclusively be deemed not to constitute gross negligence." 10 But I mean, the liquidating trustee shouldn't be able to approve its own gross negligence, so I think that needs to 11 12 be addressed. 13 I think it was worded before that -- the way it 14 was worded before was, "Any action taken or admitted to be 15 taken with the express approval of the Bankruptcy Court in 16 respect of such an action, the board shall be conclusively 17 deemed not to constitute gross negligence." So, I think 18 it's just, if the Court approves it, then that's enough. And the way it's worded not, it doesn't work, so I think 19 20 that needs to be changed. MR. SCHROCK: We'll fix that. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. So let me turn back, then, to 23 the motion as a whole. Does anyone --24 MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor --25 THE COURT: -- anything further to say on that?

Page 78 1 Yes. 2 MR. LEBLANC: Just one point in -- as we were 3 flipping through together the liquidating trust agreement, I 4 note that 6.2(a) allows -- and going back to one of your first questions, Judge, about settlement procedures and 5 6 maybe how you can get comfortable approving the Cyrus deal 7 today; 6.2(a) does provide that upon the effective date, the 8 liquidating trustee could settle all claims regardless of, you know, the amounts. So, in a way, you know, we're 9 10 getting ahead, but had the plan gone effective and the Cyrus deal been cut -- Cyrus/PBGC deal been cut, there was a path 11 12 -- I'm not going to press, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Right, but we're not on that path 14 because Cyrus raised its point but it didn't want to wait 15 until the -- and nor did the other side. 16 MR. LEBLANC: Okay. 17 THE COURT: So, I don't think that works. 18 MR. LEBLANC: Okay. 19 THE COURT: Okay. I have before me the joint 20 motion of the -- I'm sorry. I do want to raise one final point. I think this is right, but I would like you just to 21 double check. The liquidating trust retains all the assets 22 23 of the liquidating trust as provided for under the plan. 24 Because the parties wanted to pursue the litigation before 25 the effective date, the Committee was set up to do that.

Page 79 1 I just want to make sure that there is no glitch 2 because of that and that that would somehow leave the liquidating trust without those assets. I don't think 3 that's the case. I don't think that's what the parties 4 intended, but I think you should just double check that to 5 make sure that they're fully preserved, notwithstanding the 6 7 earlier order authorizing their pursuit by the Committee. 8 It's just -- you know, I'm aware of other cases 9 where a glitch like that basically gave a defendant a free 10 pass. MR. SCHROCK: We'll double check it, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. SCHROCK: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: So, as I was saying, I have before me 15 a motion by the Debtors and the Official Committee of 16 Unsecured Creditors which seeks two forms of relief, first a 17 comprehensive settlement or largely comprehensive settlement 18 of two sets of claims brought in two separate adversary 19 proceedings against the so-called insider defendants and 20 secondly against the public shareholder defendants. Those proceedings are currently consolidated in Adversary 21 22 Proceeding No. 19-08250. 23 Secondly, and related to the status of when the 24 claims were pursued and when the settlement was entered 25 into, the Debtors seek a modification of the confirmed but

not effective date Chapter 11 plan relating to the governance of the liquidating trust established under the plan to go into effect on the effective date of the plan.

As discussed during this hearing, the settlement motion was modified to reflect a further settlement with the PBGC and Cyrus -- I'm using the defined term in the motion -- that resolved their reservations of rights about distribution of the settlement proceeds. The two sets of claims comprise the most important remaining assets of the Sears Debtors' estates. The Court clearly has jurisdiction over the settlement motion.

It was specifically reserved under the plan and confirmation order and is critical to the performance of the plan and I specifically kept my own assignment in respect of the matters covered by the settlement, notwithstanding my retirement, as part of my recall, given my familiarity with the issues which had been addressed, frankly, in one way or another since almost the beginning of these cases in 2018 and certainly more specifically when the two adversary proceedings were filed and motions to dismiss were filed, objected to, and argued.

Settlements and compromises are a normal part of the process of reorganization in bankruptcy and are strongly favored, often even more so than in a non-bankruptcy setting, given the additional issues regarding the rights of

various parties in interest, the time value of money, and the like in a situation where the plaintiff is insolvent.

See Protective Committee of Independent Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968).

As that decision stated, in determining whether to approve a settlement, the Bankruptcy Court must make an informed independent judgment that the settlement is "fair and equitable" and "in the best interests of the estate."

Based on the framework laid out in that case, Courts in this Circuit have analyzed the following factors in evaluating settlements: one, the probability of success should the issues be litigated versus the present and the future benefits of the settlement without the delay and expense of litigation and subsequent appeals; the likelihood of complex and protracted litigation if the settlement is not approved, including the difficulty in collecting on the judgment; the interests of creditors including the degree to which creditors support the proposed settlement; whether other interested parties support the settlement; the competency and experience of counsel supporting and the experience and knowledge that the Court -- that's for appeals -- in reviewing the settlement; the nature and breadth of the releases to be obtained by officers and directors and other insiders; and the extent to which the settlement is the product of arm's length bargaining.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 82 1 That last factor affects the one that came just 2 before it. See Global Vision Products v. Truesdell, 2009 U.S. Dist. Lexis 64213, at *13-14 (S.D.N.Y., July 15, 2009). 3 The Court need not conduct an independent 4 5 investigation in formulating its opinion as to the reasonableness of the settlement. Rather, the Court can 6 7 give weight to the parties' informed judgment that the 8 settlement is fair and equitable. See In re: Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc., 134 B.R. 493, 496 (Bankr. SDNY 9 10 1991). 11 The Court's responsibility is to canvass the issues, see whether the settlement falls below the lowest 12 13 point in the range of reasonableness. In re: Nuevo Pueblo, 14 LLC, 608 F. App'x. 40, 42 (2d Cir. 2015), quoting In re: 15 W.T. Grant Company, 699 F.2d 599, 608 (2d Cir. 1983). 16 This proposed settlement occurs after confirmation 17 of the Chapter 11 plan in these cases, so the issue as to 18 whether the settlement's proposed distribution scheme 19 complies with the cod's priority scheme which is often 20 critical with respect to a preconfirmation settlement, is not relevant here. See In re: Iridium Operating, LLC, 478 21 F.3d 452, 464 (2d Cir. 2007). 22 23 I will note in light of those considerations, 24 first, that after due notice there were no objections to the 25 settlement and the parties who filed reservations of rights

and who are most affected by the settlement -- that is, those who might be arguing strenuously for there to be more money paid, actually have supported the settlement. That includes the PBGC, which stands next in line with a \$97.5 million priority claim junior to the claims that will clearly be paid in full under the settlement, based on today's record.

As far as the probability of success on the merits is concerned, the issues at stake in these two litigations are indeed, as counsel for the Committee stated, complex and difficult and in some respects sui generis. They raise — they constitute both difficult legal issues including the extent of the safe harbor under Section 543(e) in the Bankruptcy Code and choice of law issues pertaining to potential applicability of statutes of limitations.

In one respect, they also include a collapsing analysis with regard to the Seritage transaction litigation and they include factual issues as well, including, most importantly, what would inevitably, if motions to dismiss were not granted, involve evidentiary valuation issues pertaining to the transferor Debtor's insolvency at the time of the transfers as well as whether it was inevitable even in the absence of insolvency that their undercapitalization would result in eventual insolvency and finally whether the requisite intent could be shown or belief as to the ability

to pay debts as they came due in the future.

The aggregate claims asserted in the litigations were substantially higher than the settlement amount exceeding \$1.4 billion with the settlement amount of \$175 million with regard to the litigations themselves. However, the merits, as I said, would require one to, in a settlement context, discount those numbers by assessments of probability of success.

In addition, the litigation, as I noted, would be protracted and complex and therefore expensive and, as is clear from the motion as well as the Court's judicial notice of the Creditors Committee's motion for third-party funding of the litigation, the Debtors' estate, absent an agreement by professionals to work on a contingency fee basis, where professionals really weren't willing to take substantial or full risk on a contingency fee basis, might well have proven it's sufficient to pursue the litigations to their conclusion against very determined and well-heeled adversaries.

The conclusion, I believe, clearly wouldn't occur until the termination of appeals, so the time during which creditors would wait for a distribution if the litigation continued would be far longer than under the proposed settlement. I think the motion is correct that it would be at least two years, as opposed to 30 to 60 days from today.

The settlement is clearly the product of arm's length bargaining by very capable counsel representing the estate with the Debtors' counsel looking over their shoulder and therefore, although the major parties to settlement on the defendants' side are insiders, I do not find the releases to be inappropriate here, the typical litigation releases for the claims that are actually being litigated.

In addition to the arm's length nature of the negotiations and the experience of the counsel and the businesspeople supervising those litigations, this settlement was also the product of a lengthy mediation with three mediators, including a current colleague of mine, Judge Chapman, a former colleague, former Judge Peck, and what was described as an insurance mediator since a major portion of the settlement involved accessing the Debtors' insurance.

I want to thank all three mediators, in particular -- although I don't want to single her out for the quality of the work. I assume all three had very high-quality work, but I want to thank in particular Judge Chapman who unlike the other two, did it for free which is what we do for each other. But where there is a heavily mediated settlement, particularly one that is unopposed at the end of the day, the Court takes considerable amount of comfort the settlement is fair and reasonable and in the best interests

of the estate.

The last factor in respect of this settlement that I want to address, which is unusual, is that it comes where absent the settlement, there would be considerable concern as to whether, A, administrative and secured creditors would be paid in full as they're entitled to be, and thus, B, whether the case would stay in Chapter 11 at all, whether the confirmed plan would fail and an additional level of administrative expense would be layered in, namely the cost of a Chapter 7 case and a Chapter 7 Trustee.

There are always issues when there's a settlement

-- or often, at least, issues where there's a settlement

that leaves senior creditors paid in full or nearly in full

and more junior creditors with little or no recovery, and

the Court must, in that context, make sure that those who

are being paid in full are not causing the settlement to be

pursued in a way that shortchanges the others who are not

being paid in full.

I don't believe that has occurred here, and importantly, there is the extra layer that I've just described that those senior creditors, in addition to running the risk of adverse decision and a delayed distribution themselves might well be primed, if the settlement was not approved, because of the very real likelihood the case would be converted to Chapter 7.

So, it is clear to me that the proposed settlement is fair and reasonable and in the best interest of the estate, and I will approve it.

earlier, which is that the Debtors will protect Cyrus' interest, as Mr. Schrock described, until there is sufficient notice of the Court's prior orders governing proposed settlements of the Cyrus settlement, which was just filed today, and I trust that the Second Circuit will understand that that notice period will be short and that the parties to the appeal would want a -- legitimately want a ruling delayed just for that short period and for no longer, and in fact, would welcome a ruling promptly after that short period of the appeal which is currently sub judice.

I noted during oral argument that the settlement includes in it a contribution bar for non-settling parties.

Frankly, there are not many non-settling parties anymore at this point. They're all in the public shareholder portion of the litigation. Given the notice to them and the lack of objection, I conclude that the contribution bar is reasonable in the light of the Second Circuit caselaw, including In re: Masters Mates and Pilots Pension Plan, 957 F.2d 1020, 1028-29 (2d Cir. 1992) and the District Court cases that have construed it, including Carroll v. LeBoeuf,

Page 88 Lamb, Green, & MacCrae, LLP, 2008 U.S. Dist. Lexis 54042 at 1 Page 9 (S.D.N.Y. July 16, 2008). 2 3 Given the role of the settling parties and the non-settling parties, I believe that the equities do not 4 5 favor a mutual bar as some Courts have considered, but 6 simply a bar of the settling parties, since -- I'm sorry, in 7 favor of the settling parties, given who's left and their 8 belief as to their ultimate proportionate share of 9 liability, that there is no liability whatsoever. See 10 Gerber v. MTC Electronic Technologies Company, Ltd., 328 F.3d 297, 309 (2d Cir. 2003) and the ruling by the District 11 12 Court on remand on the mutual bar issue, Kayne v. MTC 13 Electronic Technologies Co., 2005 U.S. Distr. LEXIS 10312, (E.D.N.Y. May 30, 2015). 14 15 Let me turn then to the aspect of the motion that 16 seeks modification of the confirmed plan. Under Section 17 1127(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court has the power on 18 motion by the plan proponent, the Debtor, to approve 19 modification of a confirmed plan that has not been 20 substantially consummated. It's clear here that the plan in fact has not even gone effective and, therefore, it has not 21 been substantially consummated. 22 23 The Court, when faced with such a motion, looks at 24 three main points where the plan has not been substantially 25 consummated. First, whether the modification represents a

Page 89 1 minor change to the plan that does not affect legal relationships of the parties that would affect their 2 3 distribution, for example, or other rights that would be material under the plan. 4 Second, has the party seeking the modification 5 demonstrated that the circumstances warrant the 6 7 modification. And third, whether the separate disclosure 8 requirement under Section 1127(c) of the plan has been 9 implicated; that requirement not being a requirement in all 10 circumstances, or one and all circumstances pertaining to a 11 modification sought under Section 1127(b). 12 The Court also has to ensure itself that the plan 13 does not violate -- as modified, does not violate Sections 14 1122 or 1123 of the Code and would still be subject to 15 confirmation under Section 1129 of the Code. 16 As to that latter point, I am satisfied that 17 that's the case. That's largely -- well, in fact, entirely, 18 because the modifications requested and as slightly amended 19 based on the colloquy during oral argument are indeed minor 20 and do not alter the legal relationships among the Debtor and its creditors and other parties in interest, such that 21 the change would be significant, as opposed to minor changes 22 23 of procedure. 24 Here, the proposed modifications all reflect what 25 the Court has actually approved in connection with

confirmation, which is that the function of the trust and the Liquidating Trust Board be fulfilled by the Post-Confirmation Committee authorized to oversee the conduct of the litigations that are being settled, which were the primary litigations to be pursued by the trust if the effective date had occurred.

There's no reason to have that Board anymore. It would clearly not be in the interest of any creditor to continue the Board. The oversight function that would have been performed by the Board is not adequately performed by those who would have the most interest currently in the remaining assets and the making of distributions, namely the PBGC and Cyrus. For the same reason, the circumstances warrant modification, given the outcome of litigation and the timing of the effective date in light of the settlement.

And finally, also for the same reason, a modified disclosure statement and a potential resolicitation are not warranted. The reason for the modifications is amply described in the settlement motion, and the notion that a creditor would have a right revote on the plan in light of these minor changes is simply not credible. See generally the discussion in In re Boylan Int'l, Ltd., 32 B.R. 43, 47, and as to disclosure, 51-52 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011) and the cases and authorities cited therein, including H. Rep. No. 95-595, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 411 (1977), where Congress

	Page 91
1	noted that if the plan modifications are minor, "the court
2	might determine that additional disclosure was not required
3	under the circumstances."
4	So, I can certainly make the findings necessary
5	under 1127(b) and approve the modifications. I think the
6	order itself actually needs to be revised a little bit to
7	reflect that the plan, as modified, is confirmed, which is a
8	requirement of 1127. It's partly there, but I don't think
9	entirely there in the draft that was provided.
10	So, I'll look for a revised order and it should be
11	entered either today or tomorrow, if it gets in, depending
12	on when it gets in.
13	MR. SCHROCK: Great, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	We'll get you a revised order as promptly as we can.
15	THE COURT: Okay, great. I urge the people not to
16	spend too much time or brain cells on the reservation on
17	distributions to protect Cyrus. I think the record I
18	think, as Mr. Schrock described it, is clear and is
19	sufficient. So, I hope that can be done, just based on what
20	was stated on the record, without any further negotiation or
21	involvement of the mediators.
22	MR. SCHROCK: That would certainly be our goal,
23	Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Okay. And, look, if there's some
25	dispute, just each side submit to me your language right

Page 92 1 away, rather than trying to resolve it, and I'll just choose 2 the language that I think works. MR. SCHROCK: Very good, Your Honor. I think we 3 4 should be able to work through it, but we'll make sure to do that today. 5 THE COURT: So, I think there may be a number of 6 7 people who are on the line who were on just for that, and 8 you can certainly be excused. And then we can just go down 9 the rest of the agenda. 10 The next matter is really on for presentment. 11 I do have myself retained authority over this one. It's the 12 settlement with Oracle America, which is tied into the 13 settlement with Transform that I just approved. So, noting that it's unopposed and was on notice of presentment on due 14 15 notice, that will get entered by me accordingly, and I think you emailed the proposed order to me yesterday. So, that 16 17 will get entered. 18 MR. DIZENGOFF: Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: And then the next matter is the 19 20 application of the Ad Hoc Group of Administrative Claimants, under Sections 503(b)(3) and (b)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code, 21 22 for a substantial contribution award. 23 MR. MORGAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Brian 24 Morgan from Faegre Drinker Biddle & Reath, on behalf of the 25 Ad Hoc Group of Admin Claimants. I recognize that I'm a

recent addition to this case. The Group was formerly represented by Ms. Morabido, who now represents the Admin Expense Claims representative.

Your Honor, by way of the application, as you noted, we're seeking an order allowing as an administrative priority claim and authorizing the Debtors to reimburse the reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the Ad Hoc Group and making a substantial contribution to these Chapter 11 cases. We're moving under 503(b)(d) and 503(b)(4), or 503(b)(1) in the alternative.

The motion is uncontested and the Debtors support the relief requested therein. The Relator, Carl Ireland, did file a reservation of rights with respect to the application, in which he raised concern about payment of the claim prior to the effective date.

As has been discussed today, that claim's been reserved for, so we don't think that payment of this substantial contribution claim would impact that. In any event, we're prepared to -- or do agree, rather, to payment on the effective date. And we'll submit a proposed order, which has been revised, to that effect, which should cure that concern.

The contributions of the Ad Hoc Group to this case are detailed in our papers, and I know Your Honor is very familiar with the leadership role that they played, having

Page 94 1 briefly recognized that they served at the fulcrum point for 2 the negotiations with respect to the admin claim consent 3 program. I'm happy to answer any questions Your Honor may 4 have, but otherwise, we'd rely on our papers, the 5 declarations submitted in support, and ask that the relief 6 7 sought in the application be granted. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I don't doubt that the 9 Committee is entitled to a substantial contribution claim. 10 Their role in this case falls within the case law, including the Granite Partners case that I think is still the leading 11 12 case, 213 B.R. 440 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1997), but see also In 13 re Synergy Pharmaceuticals Inc., 621 B.R. 588, 609-10 14 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2020), and the other cases that you've 15 cited in your pleadings, including the Bayou case. 16 This group did in fact play a leadership role that 17 was essentially different than the normal role of a 18 creditor, and it led ultimately to the appointment of a 19 representative of all the administrative claimants, which I 20 think, frankly, without officially assuming those duties, the Ad Hoc Group was doing. 21 22 But I do have one issue, which is although I have 23 the three declarations, which satisfies one element of the 24 showing besides the requirements laid out in Granite and 25 Synergy Pharmaceuticals, and In Re Bayou Group, 431 B.R.

Page 95 1 549, 562 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010), I don't have any time records. 2 3 And to determine the reasonableness of the fee --4 and I appreciate it's half of what was paid -- I need to look at those, I think, under the case law and the 5 6 commentary, which says that with one caveat, in determining 7 the reasonableness of the fee, the Court should apply the 8 standard of Section 330 of the Code. That caveat being that under 503(b)(4), you don't look at what was reasonable for 9 10 the lawyer at the time. That is an element of it, but you also look at the whole substantial contribution amounts, 11 12 which I've already done. But what I haven't done is 13 consider the time records. 14 So, I will -- and for this proposition, see In re 15 Bayou Group, 431 B.R. 566 and at note 18. See also In re 29 16 Brooklyn Avenue, LLC, 548 B.R. 642, 652 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 17 2016). 18 So, I think what I need to do is I will grant the application, but fix the amount, whether it's \$750,000 or 19 20 something less than that, just based on a reasonableness determination after I've had a chance to review the time 21 22 records, which I'll do promptly if someone sends those to 23 me. 24 MR. MORGAN: Understood, Your Honor. And just so 25 I'm clear, we can submit those in-camera in order to avoid -

	Page 96
1	-
2	THE COURT: Yeah.
3	MR. MORGAN: having to redact records?
4	THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. I need to
5	satisfy myself that I, you know For example, those time
6	records for the \$750,000 don't have duplicate entries, don't
7	have multiple people billing for the same task, those sorts
8	of things.
9	MR. MORGAN: I appreciate that, Your Honor. We
10	can send you those records today.
11	THE COURT: All right. Very well.
12	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible) It's Paul Harner, the
13	Examiner. I think that's a very reasonable approach, and I
14	don't think that requires our involvement. And
15	congratulations, by the way. It's your last day. But I
16	think that's a very reasonable, does not require our
17	involvement, and
18	THE COURT: No, I don't think it does. I don't
19	think this
20	MR. HARNER: If you're
21	THE COURT: I don't
22	MR. HARNER: If you're willing to do that, that
23	would be great.
24	THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm more I have
25	to do it, and I don't require the Examiner to do it. I

Page 97 1 don't believe it's required under the order appointing you to review this type of application. 2 3 MR. HARNER: Okay. THE COURT: So, you should not feel that you need 4 5 to do that. So, speaking of your duties, though, the next matter on the calendar are the interim fees, and then that's 6 7 followed by final fee applications. 8 My inclination is to take the final fee 9 applications and skip the interim fees, which I think we 10 each applicant -- unless I'm missing something -- are 11 incorporated into the final applications. 12 MR. SCHROCK: They are. They are, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, I've reviewed them, as 14 I reviewed all of the interim applications, but since 15 they're incorporated in the final ones, I think I'd like to 16 turn to the final fees. 17 MR. SCHROCK: Thanks, Your Honor. I'm happy to 18 kick it off here, Your Honor. As you noted, we'd adjourned 19 the hearing on the 10th interim applications and filed the 20 11th interim and final applications for the period thereafter. 21 22 There are no objections on the substance of the 23 applications. And as noted in the report by the Fee 24 Examiner -- and I feel free to turn it over here to Mr. 25 Harner here in just a moment -- each of the professionals

reached agreements regarding their applications.

There was a substantial amount of dialogue, certainly, between the Fee Examiner and myself as we were working through this. Resolutions reached were incorporated into the proposed order and filed with the Court yesterday. The reductions, which I'm sure Mr. Harner will go through, have been noted.

The amounts that the professionals have agreed to take care here, we believe are fair and reasonable. And we do note that -- and we'll certainly, if any party wants to speak up under their reservations of rights that were noted regarding the timing of payment -- I would note that although these amounts are large, this was an extraordinary complex case, and the amount of work done here over the past four years has been nothing short of, frankly, extraordinary.

And it's not so large in comparison to the over \$4 billion in amounts satisfied post-petition by the Debtors for administrative claimants, including during the time in which the Debtors operated as one of the country's largest retailers. Landlords, vendors, contract counterparties, taxing authorities, employees; all of the parties were paid. And each of the applicants can appear at their own request.

But if Your Honor has no questions, we certainly are supportive of all the applications being granted, and I

Page 99 1 would, frankly, turn it over to Mr. Harner and ask if he'd 2 like to add anything. 3 MR. HARNER: (indiscernible) 4 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Can I interrupt? Because maybe you could address this too --5 MR. SCHROCK: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: -- in addition to what else --MR. SCHROCK: Sure. 8 THE COURT: -- you were going to say. Either Mr. 9 10 Harner or Mr. Schrock. I received the proposed final fee order and I also, I think, understand, because I received a 11 12 chart at our request from the Fee Examiner as to the negotiated reductions. And I'm... In some respects, I just 13 14 don't know what to make of the information on the final fee 15 order. So, if people have that chart --16 MR. SCHROCK: Yes. 17 THE COURT: -- they should take it out. And what 18 I'm getting to is, in some places the chart shows more fees paid than fees requested, not by a lot. But for example, 19 20 for Herrick Feinstein, Weil Gotshal, and Paul Weis. So, for Herrick Feinstein, it says total fees 21 22 requested \$3,710,104. And it says total fees paid, 23 \$3,869,802. And there's similar for Weil and Paul Weis, 24 although the amount of difference is smaller. 25 So, I just don't -- when it says total fees paid,

	Page 100
1	is that to be paid? I'm just trying to understand why
2	MR. FAIL: No, Your Honor. I
3	THE COURT: there's more paid than
4	MR. FAIL: I can try
5	THE COURT: the amount requested.
6	MR. FAIL: I could try to take this, Your Honor.
7	It's Garrett Fail, from Weil, for the Debtors.
8	MR. HARNER: Garrett, could you hold on for a
9	second? And of sorry to interrupt, Your Honor, but I think
10	Ms. Daluz may be able to address that, because there are
11	some inconsistencies in what was paid when and so on and so
12	forth. And we probably did not to a very good job of
13	describing all of that on the chart.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. HARNER: But Ms. Daluz may be able to cast
16	some light on that.
17	MS. DALUZ: Well, I think one point of
18	clarification good afternoon, Your Honor. Tobey Daluz,
19	from Ballard Spahr, on behalf of the Examiner. I think
20	you're referring to right now the charts that are attached
21	to the fee order.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MS. DALUZ: And if that's the case, then that was
24	drafted by Weil Gotshal, and Mr. Fail would probably be able
25	to enlighten the matter.

Page 101 1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. DALUZ: I think, perhaps, Mr. Harner, I 3 thought you were referring to the chart that we sent to you 4 yesterday at your request --THE COURT: No --5 MS. DALUZ: -- which I'm happy to walk you 6 7 through. THE COURT: No, I'll get to that in a moment. But 8 I just -- on the fee order, maybe -- Mr. Fail, can you tell 9 10 me what the explanation is for that? MR. FAIL: Yeah. The explanation at a high level 11 12 is we tried to comply with the form that's required, which 13 requires the columns to say, "requested and paid". And so, 14 what we did here in the text of the order was to say that 15 the fees requested would be allowed. Because it doesn't 16 have -- the form order doesn't have "requested" and a column 17 for "allowed". 18 So, we reduced the requested by the voluntary reduction, and the reduced amount reflecting the agreements 19 20 will be, therefore, approved. However, as a result of the interim compensation 21 22 orders, amounts had been paid in excess in some cases. But, 23 because this period covered for -- the application goes 24 through June and we're already through August, the Debtors' 25 professionals and the Debtors will work so that there is a

Page 102 1 true up. So, we complied with the form --THE COURT: Right. 2 3 MR. FAIL: -- and it shows accurately that they've 4 received for the period through June in some instances more 5 than will be allowed. However, there is work that has been paid for July and August, and therefore, true ups will be 6 7 made such that no amounts in excess net will be paid. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So, I think, just as far as the 9 chart is concerned, then you should add that to the 10 footnote, that... And I think it's just for those three 11 firms. I don't think there's an issue -- no, FTI has the 12 same issue, as does --13 MR. FAIL: Right. 14 THE COURT: -- as does Kroll. Wherever there is 15 that point and --16 MR. FAIL: You could almost disregard the fee. 17 You could almost disregard the --THE COURT: Well, I think what you should say is 18 19 that there will either be a true up as against the total fees requested, or if that isn't sufficient -- if there's 20 not enough to make a true up, then there'll be disgorgement 21 to reflect the total fees requested. 22 23 MR. FAIL: Not a problem. That's consistent with 24 the understanding, Judge. 25 MR. HARNER: Your Honor, it's Paul Harner, and

	Page 103
1	that sounds exactly correct to me.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. HARNER: I think that's what we should do.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And then I did have another
5	question on the chart that Ballard Spahr provided. Just
6	doing the math, and now I think as far as the total fees
7	requested point is concerned the columns other than for
8	MR. FAIL: That was prior to the reduction, Judge.
9	THE COURT: Other than for Akin
10	MR. FAIL: That's why the numbers (indiscernible).
11	THE COURT: except for Akin Gump. I think Akin
12	Gump, the total fees requested Well, Akin Gump should
13	tell me. Is it the same thing that the 51 number is the
14	reduced number?
15	MS. BRAUNER: Yes, Your Honor. Sara Brauner, Akin
16	Gump, on behalf to the Committee. That's correct.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And I think that's the case,
18	then, for all of the professionals, that the total fees
19	requested column reflects the negotiated reductions agreed
20	to between the various professionals and the Fee Examiner.
21	That's right, I think. Right?
22	MS. DALUZ: Your Honor, in fact the chart that we
23	sent to you, we calculated total fees requested merely by
24	adding up everybody's final fee application amount. So,
25	there would be a discrepancy between our chart and the

	Page 104
1	charts that are attached to the final fee order.
2	So, if you were looking at the total fees
3	requested column on our chart and then the negotiated
4	THE COURT: Yeah. No, I
5	MS. DALUZ: reductions
6	THE COURT: I took that total fees requested and
7	then deducted the reductions.
8	MS. DALUZ: Exactly.
9	THE COURT: And
10	MR. FAIL: Yes, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: And I think that does foot to the
12	total fees requested column in the proposed order. Correct?
13	MR. FAIL: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. DALUZ: By maybe a few thousand dollars off.
16	But we think it's a rounding error.
17	THE COURT: Well
18	MR. HARNER: Yes.
19	MS. DALUZ: We hope it's for a few thousand
20	I mean, literally three or four thousand dollars, Your
21	Honor.
22	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible)
23	THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Harner. You're not
24	coming through.
25	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible)

Page 105 1 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, my last 2 question is a small one, which is I think I have final fee 3 applications, except from McAndrews, Held & Malloy. Are 4 they going to do a final fee application? I mean, they should. Does anyone know what their status is? 5 MR. HARNER: Well, sure. What we expect is that 6 7 they will. The Fee Examiner is going to be discharged but 8 there were three firms that did not submit final fee applications by the August 9th deadline that was set. So if 9 10 they do, unfortunately, I suppose that Judge Lane will need to review those. But they have not been submitted. 11 THE COURT: So, there are three of those. 12 13 McAndrews, Held & Malloy, and Stout Risius Ross. I'm not 14 sure what those two firms do. Are they done, as far as... 15 MS. DALUZ: Your Honor, our understanding is that 16 -- Tobey Daluz again, for the record -- that McAndrews Held 17 was an IP firm and Stout Risius was a real estate firm. I 18 believe Stout Risius worked closely with the Akin Gump firm. 19 In fact, later we've seen that some of the bills appear as 20 expenses in connection with the litigation, or other matters being handled by the Committee. So maybe Akin Gump can give 21 22 more color on those firms. The same with respect to Ask, 23 who has a bifurcated retention. Part of it was on a 24 contingency fee basis, which we would not be -- we reviewed

to make sure it was in accordance with their retention --

Page 106 1 THE COURT: Right. 2 MS. DALUZ: -- and their exhibits. But we did not review for reasonableness. 3 THE COURT: Right. 4 5 MS. DALUZ: This litigation designee retention would be reviewed for reasonableness. Both of those firms, 6 7 the amounts are de minimis, frankly, in the context of the 8 overall fees in the case. And so we thought if they just 9 missed the deadline and could file a fee application, that 10 the parties that remain in the case, the Debtor and the 11 Judge would be able to address them appropriately. 12 We're happy to share our review and what we found 13 objectionable with anybody of the Debtors' counsel or with 14 the Judge, if he so requests. McAndrews Held, we don't have 15 any input on a dollar amount on a total basis. It's a 16 little bit higher, but not one that -- it's only \$600,000 or 17 so, and not one that we thought should, you know, slow this 18 process. THE COURT: So, as far as Stout Risius is 19 20 concerned, maybe Ms. Brauner, are they still working? MS. BRAUNER: So, they're not, Your Honor. And I 21 would defer to my partner, Dean Chapman, to provide 22 23 additional color on their role in connection with the 24 adversary proceeding. 25 THE COURT: But it's not expected that they're

	Page 107
1	going to be doing any material work going forward, right?
2	MS. BRAUNER: That's correct.
3	THE COURT: And what about
4	MS. BRAUNER: That's correct.
5	THE COURT: This is not just I'm not even sure
6	whether there Committee professionals or Debtor
7	professionals. McAndrews Held & Malloy?
8	MR. FAIL: They were Debtors' professionals,
9	Judge. I don't know whether they're continuing to do
10	anything. I don't think they are. And so I think this was,
11	as Ms. Daluz pointed out, just Daluz pointed out that
12	this was just that they didn't file finals
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	MR. FAIL: in the timeframe. And so it's
15	without prejudice to their ability to seek final
16	compensation
17	THE COURT: And Ask LLP does have this bifurcated
18	arrangement. Some of their work is on contingency fee.
19	That's never been subject, really, to the Fee Examiner's
20	review, and I've been dealing with their statements. And
21	then there's some small portion that I guess is not.
22	But again, this is probably a question for the
23	Committees. Are they doing any I'm assuming they're not
24	doing any additional work, other than the preference work at
25	this point.

	Page 108
1	MS. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Aron Goldberger
2	speaking
3	THE COURT: No, before you speak, I just want to
4	have the Committee answer that question.
5	MS. BRAUNER: Sure, Your Honor. Sara Brauner, for
6	the Committee. Ask is currently prosecuting the public
7	shareholder actions as well, so pending
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MS. BRAUNER: resolute those issues
10	THE COURT: All right.
11	MS. BRAUNER: would be when (indiscernible)
12	THE COURT: So they might do something. So, my
13	inclination on those three firms is, in the paragraph that
14	relieves the Fee Examiner of his duties going forward, have
15	a proviso that the Examiner will provide the I guess it
16	would be the Liquidating Trustee he's the one that would
17	be objecting or it's the one that would be objecting to
18	claims, right
19	MR. FAIL: Yes, Judge.
20	THE COURT: With your analysis of any interim
21	applications?
22	MS. DALUZ: Just to be clear, Your Honor, we have
23	
24	THE COURT: Put those three to the front.
25	MS. DALUZ: Absolutely. And just to be clear, we

	Page 109
1	have provided that feedback to each of those firms already.
2	It is subject to 408 confidentiality settlement provisions.
3	So I just want to state that on the record, so that all
4	parties are aware that they'll be given to the Trustee.
5	THE COURT: And that's
6	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible)
7	THE COURT: And that would be in the order too
8	MR. HARNER: Your Honor
9	THE COURT: so I would be directing the
10	Examiner to do that.
11	MR. HARNER: Yeah, but Your Honor, that would be
12	completely consistent with my view and
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. HARNER: and being (indiscernible) again if
15	(indiscernible) this entire process under the umbrella of
16	confidentiality and Rule 408 and so on and so forth. So
17	that would be completely consistent with my view, yes.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. HARNER: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: All right. So, I
21	MS. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, this is Aron Goldberg,
22	because I just want to clarify
23	THE COURT: Please, Ms. Goldberg. I'll hear you.
24	I'm just trying to get all my questions out on the table,
25	and then I was going to go back to Mr. Harner, who was going

	Page 110
1	to address in more detail his review of the fees as the Fee
2	Examiner.
3	MS. GOLDBERG: I just want to clarify I'm just
4	trying to clarify if it was stated that there was no
5	objection to final fee applications.
6	THE COURT: I have your objection.
7	MS. GOLDBERG: Okay.
8	THE COURT: I'll hear you. I'm just trying to get
9	my questions answered and
10	MS. GOLDBERG: Okay. I just thought I heard I
11	thought I heard that there was no objections.
12	THE COURT: I have your pleading.
13	MS. GOLDBERG: Okay. Thank you
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MS. GOLDBERG: Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: So, in each of the interim
17	applications, including those that preceded Mr. Harner's
18	appointment as the Fee Examiner, I've recognized, and in t
19	he post-appointment ones, it's in the orders, that the Fee
20	Examiner's rights are fully reserved for the final
21	applications.
22	I think he had some input during the process
23	behind the scenes with applicants as to, you know, is
24	thinking about interim applications, but his rights were
25	reserved until the end. And the statement that he filed in

Page 111 1 connection with the final fee applications reflects that he was actively pursuing negotiations, and his comments with 2 all of the final applicants, and those would either reach an 3 4 agreement or he would raise the issues at the finals fee 5 hearing. 6 We've been informed that there was an agreement on 7 all of the final applications. But having made that 8 groundwork, if you want to say further anything about your 9 process and where you came out, Mr. Harner, you can go ahead 10 at this point. MR. HARNER: Well, yes, Sir. And thank you, Your 11 Honor. There were literally more than 100-plus interim and 12 13 final fee applications filed in this case. And we submitted -- as I said, on a totally confidential basis, tens if not 14 15 close to 100 preliminary reports on those. And again, --16 and then -- but we kept those completely and totally 17 confidential and under the (indiscernible) of Evidence Rule 408. 18 We then reached a final resolution on most of the 19 20 final fee applications with the help of Mr. Schrock and Mr. Fail and others in the last couple of weeks. And it was 21 contributory to getting us across the finish line -- not us 22 23 -- everybody across the finish line. 24 Was I happy about where those came out? Probably 25 not. But that's the nature of settlement. And Mr. Schrock

	Page 112
1	said that earlier about the nature of the global settlement
2	in the case. But we did that because it was the right thing
3	to do.
4	So, you know, I think with all (indiscernible) a
5	couple or two or three firms who didn't file it's three
6	firms that file final applications
7	THE COURT: Right.
8	MR. HARNER: we got there and it was the right
9	thing to do. It wasn't at the level, Your Honor, of what I
10	would have liked to have seen. Probably not. But that's
11	the nature of settlement. So, now I'll shut up.
12	THE COURT: So, have you reached agreement with
13	everyone except for the three firms that I had already
14	mentioned that haven't made a final application?
15	MR. HARNER: Yes, Sir. Yes, Sir.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	MR. HARNER: Right.
18	MS. BRAUNER: Just one point
19	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible)
20	MS. BRAUNER: of clarification, Your Honor.
21	Sorry. Stout is not a law firm. Stout was an expert
22	retained.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MS. BRAUNER: So just for clarification
25	THE COURT: Right.

	Page 113
1	MS. BRAUNER: they would not be filing a fee
2	application
3	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible) and I'm sorry, Your
4	Honor. I should have been clear about this. We were
5	reviewing the fees of not just law firms
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	MR. HARNER: but advisory firms.
8	THE COURT: If they were retained under Section
9	320 well, the equivalent for the Committee under 1104,
10	they should file a fee app, as Deloitte and FTI and Alvarez.
11	MR. CHAPMAN: Your Honor, just so
12	MR. HARNER: (indiscernible)
13	MR. CHAPMAN: Dean Chapman just so the record
14	is perfectly clear
15	MR. HARNER: Yes.
16	MR. CHAPMAN: Stout performed
17	MR. HARNER: Yes. Correct.
18	MR. CHAPMAN: Stout performed two functions in the
19	case. They were real estate advisors to the Restructuring
20	Subcommittee, the Paul Weis Group, back during the Rule 2004
21	investigation in 2018 and 2019. So that was Phase 1. Phase
22	2, we retained them as experts to the Committee, the
23	litigation designees in the, I think, adversary proceeding.
24	So I think that might explain
25	THE COURT: So it's Phase 1 that they need to do a

Page 114 1 final on. 2 MS. BRAUNER: That's right, Your Honor. THE COURT: As Phase 2, I assume they're a part of 3 the expenses. 4 MS. BRAUNER: Correct. 5 6 THE COURT: (indiscernible) expenses. 7 MS. BRAUNER: That's right. 8 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, most of these fee applications are unopposed. Two are not. The Weil 9 10 Gotshal and Akin Gump applications. And there was a limited 11 objection by Orient Craft Ltd. and an objection by Kingdom 12 Seekers Inc. to both of those applications. 13 And just for the record, in addition to the self-14 editing that those firms have done periodically and 15 including in respect of the final fee applications that they 16 submitted, each of those firms reduced their fees by \$1 17 million. 18 So, I believe Ms. Goldberger is speaking on behalf of Kingdom Seekers, Inc., and I don't know whether I have 19 20 counsel for Orient Craft. Why don't I deal with Orient Craft's objection first, since it was a limited one; was 21 22 dealing with the issue that we dealt with a fair amount at 23 the beginning of this hearing in the morning about the 24 reasonable assurance of payment or reserve for payment of 25 administrative priority and secured claims?

Page 115 1 But Mr. Wander, are you still pursuing the 2 objections? MR. WANDER: Your Honor, can you hear me? 3 THE COURT: Yes. 4 5 MR. WANDER: Good morning, Your Honor, or afternoon. David Wander, of Tarter Krinsky & Drogin, 6 7 counsel for Orient Craft. Your Honor, I've made my views 8 well known throughout this case when I was first 9 representing Pearl Global at the confirmation hearing, and 10 thereafter when I was represented to administrative creditors, H.K. Sino-Thai Trading and now Orient Craft, 11 12 which is an opt-out creditor. 13 I don't need to repeat what I've said at various 14 times in this case. I have a different view of the case. 15 But at this point, Your Honor, I just would just to get my 16 client paid and move on and wish Your Honor good luck in the 17 next phase of Your Honor's case. And to thank Your Honor 18 for listening to me when I spoke, and whether you agreed 19 with what I said or not, you always gave me a fair hearing, 20 so to speak. And for that, I thank you. And there's nothing further I need to say at this point. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. So I think, then, at this 23 point, you're not pursuing the limited objection because as 24 I understand it, the law firms have agreed that they would 25 not get paid in advance of the effective date, and we

Page 116 1 discussed at the beginning of the hearing, there would be 2 either payment for the non -- there would be payment for the 3 opt-out creditors on the effective date. MR. WANDER: Yes, Your Honor. And I thank the 4 5 administrative claims representative and counsel for 6 protecting the opt-outs. And so, with that in mind, I think 7 my objection speaks for itself, but I'm not pursuing 8 anything other than having my client get paid. THE COURT: Okay. All right. You know, before I 9 10 hear from Ms. Goldberger, I did have one more question. And 11 it came up in the context of a reservation of rights by the administrative claims representative, which referenced a 12 13 contingency fee or a potential contingency fee arrangement 14 with Akin Gump. Is that an agreement that was never 15 executed? What is the status of that? Because as I read 16 the fee application, it's on an hourly basis. It's not on a 17 contingency fee basis. MAN 1: Your Honor, that's correct. It was never 18 19 -- it never got to fruition, partly because the trust ran 20 out of money and needed additional financing. So there were drafts exchanged, but never got to fruition. 21 22 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Harner, did you look 23 into that issue? I mean, I certainly didn't approve 24 anything under 328(a), so I'm not sure what the status of 25 such an agreement would be if it had been executed. But, I

	Page 117
1	mean, frankly, I don't know what the contingency the
2	people that they're talking to would be, but on \$175
3	million, it could conceivably be more than the litigation
4	fees that Akin Gump has agreed to accept.
5	MR. HARNER: Your Honor, maybe Ms. Daluz could
6	address that. We have not looked into that issue in detail.
7	Let me put it that way.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MAN 1: Your Honor, just to be clear, there is no
10	contingency fee. There is no
11	THE COURT: All right. It was just something that
12	it was discussed
13	MAN 1: It was discussed
14	THE COURT: It was in the draft
15	MAN 1: Correct, it was discussed
16	THE COURT: but not final.
17	MAN 1: Correct.
18	THE COURT: Okay. So, that's fine.
19	MAN 1: That's correct.
20	THE COURT: Maybe that's all I need
21	MAN 1: (indiscernible)
22	THE COURT: That's all I need to hear.
23	MAN 1: (indiscernible) And I'm sorry, Your Honor.
24	But that makes sense to me. Yeah, correct.
25	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. So, why

Page 118 1 don't I hear, then, Kingdom Seekers' objections to the two fee applications? 2 MS. GOLDBERG: Everyone, this is Aron Goldberg on 3 4 behalf of Kingdom Seekers Inc. So, just to summarize the 5 objection that we're moving forward with, these new 6 application fees were submitted after Kingdom Seekers Inc. 7 was allowed to be heard for our motion. So, we're basically 8 objecting to this application fees because we think that we 9 should be allowed to be heard first before the application 10 fees can be approved. THE COURT: Okay. Well, I have reviewed the 11 objection, as well as the underlying motion that you refer 12 13 to. And I'll note the following things. First, the Court previously addressed an objection by the Debtors to two 14 15 claims filed in these cases by Kingdom Seekers Inc., Claim Numbers 26515 and 26517. And I granted that objection in an 16 17 order dated November 16, 2021. 18 As I explained at the hearing on the objection, which was on November 10, 2021, the objection did not object 19 20 to the allowance of the claims, but rather only to the claims' assertion that they were secured and/or that they 21 22 were entitled to administrative expense or priority status 23 under Section 507 of the Bankruptcy Code, more specifically, 24 entitled to priority under Section 507 as to wages and 25 benefits earned within 180 days of the petition date and/or

	Page 119
1	507(a)(2) and 503(b), as a post-petition administrative
2	expense.
3	It was clear from the documents in the claim and
4	the assertions in the claim that there was no lien securing
5	the claim, the claims plural, and that the claims didn't
6	fall within the time limitations set up by Congress for
7	administrative expense claims or priority claims; that they
8	arose no later than 2016, well before the petition
9	MS. GOLDBERG: This particular objection
10	THE COURT: Ma'am, I'm laying out the basis for my
11	prior ruling.
12	MS. GOLDBERG: Mm hmm.
13	THE COURT: Since then, Ms. Goldberg has
14	corresponded with the Court she's pro se and was
15	informed that if she seeks relief from that order, she would
16	need to make a motion either under Rule 60 or Rule 59 of the
17	Bankruptcy Rules. I don't believe she ever appealed the
18	order. And I believe that is what is currently before the
19	Court, having been filed on June 15, 2022.
20	The Court does not have to hold a hearing on such
21	a motion at all under the Bankruptcy Rules, and often does
22	not, based on its review of the motion and the standards for
23	having one be granted under Rule 59 and Rule 60.
24	Because Ms. Goldberger didn't attend the original
25	hearing in November and because she's pro se, we did

Page 120 schedule it. But it was adjourned because all the other 1 matters on the calendar before today's hearing were 2 3 adjourned while I was still active on the bench. When I retired, I kept jurisdiction, as I said, 4 over the matters that were covered for today. But I did not 5 keep jurisdiction over this matter. And that's why it's not 6 7 on the calendar for today. It will be scheduled by Judge 8 Lane and you should deal with his chambers to have it be heard, if he determines to hear it. Again, he may decide 9 10 that based on his review of the motion, the transcript of 11 the hearing that I held on the claim objection and my order, 12 and the case law interpreting motions for vacature of an 13 order or reconsideration, that he doesn't need a hearing. 14 He may just issue an order. 15 But that's why it's not on for today. And it's 16 really not a basis for me to put off the matters that were 17 on for today, including these two fee applications, for me 18 to hear this. Frankly --MS. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, may I speak? 19 20 THE COURT: Frankly, Ms. Goldberger, if I were to hear today, I would deny --21 22 MS. GOLDBERG: (indiscernible) this motion --23 THE COURT: -- because I would have --24 MS. GOLDBERG: This objection --25 THE COURT: -- all my cards on the table.

Page 121 1 Frankly, if I did hear it today, I would deny it because it 2 doesn't meet the standards. MS. GOLDBERG: This objection is not based on 3 4 those motions. 2:40:28 They're based on 10488, which is a 5 motion to just simply acknowledge my -- the employment and 6 type of work performed. Not based on the motions of 265 or 7 26517. 8 THE COURT: But you don't need any --MS. GOLDBERG: Both back in November --9 10 THE COURT: You filed a claim. It's still extant as far as an unsecured claim. So you don't need any further 11 12 order on that. It's --13 MS. GOLDBERG: The objection is based on 14 incomplete work of the attorney not completing or responding 15 or even making service to motion 10488. 16 THE COURT: But that motion either sought -- and 17 again, you're pro se, so I'm trying to read between the 18 lines of what you're seeking. It either sought 19 reconsideration of my earlier or it's seeking relief that 20 you don't need and that I couldn't granted this time, which is a determination that your unsecured claim should be 21 allowed? And there's no reason to have anyone spend any 22 23 money or time on that because, as I noted earlier in this 24 hearing, it's highly that unsecured claims will receive any 25 distribution in the case. So --

	Page 122
1	MS. GOLDBERG: So I'm seeking I'm seeking
2	acknowledgment of employment and the type of work performed,
3	which is Motion 10488.
4	THE COURT: Well
5	MS. GOLDBERG: Nothing to do with
6	THE COURT: I understand, but that doesn't
7	MS. GOLDBERG: what (indiscernible).
8	THE COURT: That doesn't apply there's no such
9	thing as an acknowledgment of work performed that I would
10	decide. You filed a proof of claim. The Debtor has a
11	period in which to object to it. That period hasn't run.
12	It just sits there.
13	MS. GOLDBERG: Okay. And there was no objection
14	to the Motion 10488, which was submitted on June 15th, which
15	leaves us unopposed.
16	THE COURT: It wasn't clear to me whether it
17	what it was seeking. If it was seeking reconsideration of
18	the disallowance of the administrative expense or secured
19	nature of your two claims, or Kingdom Seekers' two claims,
20	then it would be denied.
21	MS. GOLDBERG: The motion was seeking demand an
22	acknowledgment of employment and the type of work performed.
23	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to say this one
24	more time
25	MS. GOLDBERG: that I was an employee

Page 123

THE COURT: I'm going to cut this off. There is no such thing as an order granting a motion to acknowledge employment. That's not -- it doesn't fall within any relief that I can grant. You filed a claim on that basis. The claim is there. And it will be determined in due course, if there is a reason to do it. At this point, there is no reason to do it because there's no distribution on general unsecured claims anticipated.

MS. GOLDBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that leaves -there really are -- to the extent that they still exist -I'm not really sure they do. I'm overruling the objections
to the fee applications of Akin Gump and Weil Gotshal.

I appointed a Fee Examiner in this case. I'm not a huge fan of fee examiners generally. I think they are warranted in large cases and that is because it can become a very difficult task for the Court to deal with multiple fee applications over an extended time that are in many cases quite lengthy, and the Court then properly -- as does the U.S. Trustee -- rely on work done by a fee examiner. And I think we had an active and quite capable of the examiner here.

His concerns have been resolved. I know that in the past, some fee examiners have just said I need this, without any reference to the standard under Section 330.

Page 124

I'm assuming that based on the settlements here, that wasn't the case.

In any event, I have considered that the applications of the applicants during the case. And in these final the applications, I have considered the largest ones, again, those being Akin Gump's and Weil Gotshal's. And I have reviewed them in the light of the standard set out by Congress in Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, which states that in determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded, the court shall consider the nature and the extent and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including the time spent on such services, the rates charged for such services, whether those services were necessary to the administration of or beneficial at the time at which the service was rendered, toward the completion of a case under this title; whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time, commensurate with the complexity, importance and nature of the problem, issue or task addressed, and (e) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title. The next section is Subsection of Section 330, Subsection 4(a) states, the court shall not allow

compensation for unnecessary duplication of services or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 125 services that were not reasonably likely to benefit the 1 debtor's estate or necessary to the administration of the 2 3 case. It's an objective inquiry, based on what services 4 5 a reasonable lawyer or legal firm would have performed in 6 the same circumstances. See In re Ames Department Stores, 7 Inc., 76 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 1996). And given the focus of 8 the state on what was reasonable at the time the service was 9 rendered, the Court should not invoke perfect hindsight. 10 See, for example, In re Angelika Films, 57th, Inc., 227 B.R. 29, 42 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. (1998), aff'd 246 B.R. 176 (S.D.N.Y 11 2000). 12 13 And indeed, the benefit and necessary criteria of Section 330 do not require a professional to be 100 percent 14 15 successful. They're satisfied if a reasonable attorney 16 would have believed at the time that a particular service 17 would benefit the estate, taking into consideration the 18 chances of success and a reasonably projected attendant cost. Id. 19 20 The Code makes it clear that the Court should look at whether the compensation is based on customary 21 compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in 22 23 cases other than cases under this title. That has led 24 courts to state that the inquiry is often a market-driven

approach, that is, if the Debtor's professionals who the

25

Page 126 1 court has previously approved charge the same amount or comparable amounts as the applicable market for comparable 2 3 services, that the court would need good and articulate reasons to reduce their fees as excessive. See Zolfo, 4 Cooper Co. v. Sunbeam-Oster Co., Inc., 50 F.3d 253, 258-60 5 (3d Cir. 1995) and In re Raytech Corp., 241 B.R. 785, 785-90 6 7 (D. Conn. 1999). 8 A corollary is that when they presume subject to 9 rebuttal that the staffing and other practical decisions 10 made by attorneys whose retention has been authorized by the court have been made in good faith, in view of their legal 11 12 and ethical responsibilities. Obviously, subject to 13 Congress' concern about avoiding unnecessary duplication of 14 effort. In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Group, Inc., 133 B.R. 15 13, 23 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991). 16 And that's also in light of the fact that the 17 applicant still bears the burden of proving reasonableness of compensation. Zeisler & Zeisler v. Prudential Insurance 18 Company, 210 B.R. 19, 24 (B.A.P. 2d Cir. 1997). 19 20 It's also the case that consistent with a marketdriven approach, the Court, and frankly, a fee examiner as 21 22 well, should review bills in the light of the normal billing 23 judgment that would be expected of a law firm by its client. 24 Again, it is clearly the case the clients will often obtain 25 a reduction of a fee based on discussions tween the client

Page 127 1 and the firm over what was appropriate billing judgment. Again, see Zolfo, Cooper Co. v. Sunbeam-Oster Co., Inc.,, 50 2 F.3d 253, 259. 3 Courts determining the applications, that is, 4 5 bankruptcy courts, enjoy wide discretion in determining reasonable fee awards. See Zeisler & Zeisler v. Prudential 6 7 Insurance Company, 210 B.R. 19, 24., and In re Raytech Corp., 241 B.R. 785, 788. And given the fact that they have 8 9 a great deal of experience in reviewing fee awards, and when 10 it happens, objections to them, it's understandable why that discretion is granted. Zolfo, Cooper Co. v. Sunbeam-Oster, 11 12 Inc., 50 F.3d 253, 329. 13 I have noted nits and gnats in the applications 14 that I believe would have been picked up and have been 15 picked up by the Fee Examiner. The big question for me is 16 not that, but rather whether these firms, which no one has 17 disputed are working at market rates, somehow made decisions 18 that at the time, in light of the facts known at the time, led to over-billing. 19 20 I have concluded that the agreements that have been reached take those factors into account and will not 21 22 further reduce the applications. The work generally done in 23 this case involved a number of very complex issues, none 24 more complex than weighing the alternatives of a litigated 25 approach to the hilt against the Debtors' owner and related

Page 128 1 parties, including board members, and pursuing the sale approach. Neither was clear, as a clear option. 2 3 There are pros and cons to each of them, and the ultimate result related to the Transform sale involved 4 serious concessions by Transform related to litigation 5 6 claims that could only have been obtained after extensive 7 analysis, preceded by extensive discovery. 8 The remaining claims against those parties were 9 pursued aggressively and I have asked himself whether the 10 cost of that pursuit was too high. And I've decided that 11 given the agreed reductions, it was not. I say that in part 12 because I understand the cost of high-stakes multiparty, 13 multi-issue litigation, including the need to retain experts who could be available not only for trial, but also for 14 15 negotiations and setting trial strategy. 16 Those expenses are a large portion of the Akin 17 Gump litigation fees. And until the market changes, if it 18 ever will, and market rates go down, that type of litigation 19 is just very expensive. 20 So I will grant the fee applications in the revised amounts sought. 21 22 MR. SCHROCK: Thank you. Thank you very much, 23 Your Honor. We'll make those changes (indiscernible) link 24 to the order. And on behalf of the Debtors, I believe that 25 that concludes the matters on the agenda. And I just want

Page 129 1 to note that it's been an honor and privilege to be able to appear before you, and I wish you the very best in your 2 3 retirement. THE COURT: Well, thank you. I wish all of you 4 the very best too. So, I'll look for both of those orders. 5 Oh, you know, I'm sorry. I did not address one specific --6 7 two specific applications, and I should do that. 8 In addition to their the applications, the two 9 counsel to the Retirees' Committee asked to raise the cap on 10 their fees that I had set when I authorized the formation of the Committee. I'm aware of the work that they did, which 11 12 was more extensive -- was required to be more expensive than 13 I had originally thought. It might be. 14 I always had in mind that the cap might be raised 15 if in fact there was more work to do and they did it. And 16 there is no objection to the amounts that they have sought 17 to split between them, the \$175,000. In fact, the Fee 18 Examiner specifically addressed that applications and stated that he has no objection to it as far as the time records 19 20 and the work that was done. And I don't either. So, that should be covered in the order and it 21 will be covered, but I just wanted to note that on the 22 23 record. 24 So, I'll look for the fee order with the added 25 footnotes that I discussed with Mr. Fail, as well as the

	Page 130
1	order on the settlement agreement that we already discussed.
2	And separately, the order on the substantial contribution
3	application, which should come along the time records and I
4	can review those. So that order won't be entered as soon as
5	the other ones, but it'll be entered promptly.
6	Okay. Thank you, all.
7	
8	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at
9	1:08 PM)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 131
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing
4	transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	
6	
7	Sonya M. declarate Hyd-
8	Sonya Ledanski Hyde
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Veritext Legal Solutions
21	330 Old Country Road
22	Suite 300
23	Mineola, NY 11501
24	
25	Date: September 2, 2022

[& - 175] Page 1

&	10019 18:21	10434 10:20	10th 97:19
	10036 19:4,12	11:10,14	11 28:7 61:18
& 3:2,7 4:2,7 5:2	101 20:10	10440 3:19 4:19	67:21 80:1
5:7,23 9:22,24	10153 18:6	5:19 6:18 7:18	82:17 86:7 93:8
12:2 18:3,11	10158 19:21	8:19 9:18 10:10	11/1/2019 10:15
19:1,8,18 20:8	10178 20:11	11:4,21	11/1/2021 3:6
88:1 92:24	1020 87:24	10442 10:22	4:6 5:6 6:6 7:6
105:3,13 107:7	10217 9:24 10:4	11:16	9:5
115:6 126:18	1028-29 87:24	10443 5:25	1104 113:9
127:6	10281 22:5	10452 12:4,9,19	111.60 9:6
0	10312 88:13	10453 12:8,14	1122 89:14
0.00. 6:7 10:16	10396 3:13 4:12	12:18	1123 89:14
11:10	5:12 6:11 7:7,11	10454 14:9,17	1127 88:17 89:8
014 14:4	8:12	10460 12:10,20	89:11 91:5,8
1	10397 3:12 4:12	10485 15:13	1129 89:15
1 8:4,5,6 9:21	5:12 6:11 7:11	10486 16:10,16	1142 13:3 14:3
12:3 19:11	8:8,12	10487 16:22	14:13,22 15:5
93:10 113:21,25	10398 3:13 4:13	17:4	16:5 17:8
114:16 116:18	5:8,13,24 6:12	10488 17:4	11501 131:23
117:9,13,15,17	7:12 8:13	121:4,15 122:3	1177 19:3
117:19,21,23	10399 3:13 4:13	122:14	11:59 69:4
1,574 3:6	5:13 6:7,12 7:12	10490 16:18	11th 97:20
1,574,106.50 4:6	8:13	10496 17:13	12.1 71:13
1.4 84:4	10401 3:8,13 4:8	105 13:3 14:3,13	12/31/2021 11:9
1.7. 39:15	4:13 5:13 6:12	14:22 15:5,21	12151 131:7
10 29:12,13 33:9	7:12 8:13	16:5 17:8	13 126:15
33:16 34:17	10406 3:14 4:14	10567 30:8	13-14 82:3
35:12 37:8,14	5:14 6:13 7:13	10568 30:9	1300 21:4
40:1,2,2,14,15	8:14 9:12 10:4	10596 49:3	133 126:14
43:17 47:13,13	10407 13:9,15	106.50 3:6	134 82:9
47:13,19 52:13	14:7,17,25 15:9	10601 1:20	14 37:11 39:18
52:18 54:13	16:8,14,17	10622 28:18	45:2 47:20 48:9
56:2 118:19	17:12	65:3	14th 18:13
100 39:4 111:12	10408 15:1	10:00 3:12 4:12	15 82:3 119:19
111:15 125:14	10409 9:7,12	5:12 6:11 7:11	15th 122:14
10001 21:12	10410 9:13	8:12 9:11 10:3	16 88:2 118:17
10005 21:21	10411 10:5	10:20 11:14	1675 18:20
10007 20:18	10413 13:17	12:8,18 15:23	17,883.50 9:23
10017 18:14	10433 10:16,21	10:10 1:23	175 84:4 117:2
	11:15		
			l .

[175,000 - 3rd] Page 2

		1	1
175,000 129:17	2000 125:12	227 125:10	3,710,104 99:22
176 125:11	20005 21:5	23 126:15	3,869,802 99:23
18 49:8 50:18,20	2003 88:11	233 20:3	3.6. 39:17
95:15	2004 113:20	24 126:19 127:7	3/1/2021 9:23
18-23538 1:3	2005 88:13	241 126:6 127:8	3/31/2020 10:15
18.2 39:11	2007 82:22	246 125:11	30 9:22 29:16,19
180 29:7 38:17	2008 88:1,2	248 1:19	38:9 47:12 53:7
39:1 61:4 71:18	2009 82:2,3	24th 55:10	54:9 62:21
71:21 72:24	2010 95:1	250 20:17	84:25 88:14
118:25	2011 90:23	253 126:5 127:3	300 1:19 131:22
19 126:19 127:7	2015 82:14	127:12	3014 58:25
19-08250 1:4	88:14	257,411.50 11:9	3020 13:4 14:4
17:15 79:22	2016 95:17	258-60 126:5	14:14,23 15:6
1968 81:4	119:8	259 127:3	16:6 17:9
1977 90:25	2018 80:18	26 3:12 4:12	309 88:11
1983 82:15	113:21	5:12 6:11 7:11	31 1:22 32:7
1991 82:10	2019 62:18	8:12 9:11 10:3	76:15
126:15	113:21	26186 15:15	31st 31:9
1992 87:24	2020 94:14	26368 15:20	32 90:22
1995 126:6	2021 8:6 9:21,22	265 121:6	320 113:9
1996 125:7	118:17,19	26515 118:16	328 88:10
1997 94:12	2022 1:22 3:12	26517 118:16	116:24
126:19	4:12 5:12 6:11	121:7	329 127:12
1998 125:11	7:11 8:5,5,7,12	269,013.50 9:6	33 39:1
1999 126:7	9:11 10:3,20	27.5 38:23 43:22	330 95:8 123:25
19th 18:20	11:14 12:8,18	28 8:5,7 56:17	124:8,23 125:14
1:08 130:9	31:5 32:5	29 10:20 11:14	131:21
1st 90:25	119:19 131:25	12:8,18 95:15	36 76:11
2	20373 15:20	125:11	362 13:3 14:3,13
2 12:3 113:22	20614 15:20	297 88:11	14:22 16:5
114:3 119:1	20615 15:19	2:40:28 121:4	363 15:5 17:8
131:25	21 58:8,16,23	2d 82:14,15,22	364 13:3 14:3,13
2/28/2022 3:6	210 126:19	87:24 88:11	14:22 15:5 16:5
	127:7	125:7 126:19	17:8
4:6 5:6 6:6 7:6 9:5	213 94:12	2ip 16:14	365 71:21 72:24
20 35:16 36:14	213,032.12. 3:7	3	390 81:4
54:13	4:7	3 12:3 27:17	3d 126:6
200 22:4	21p 13:6,14 14:6	45:22,23 76:18	3rd 19:20
200 22.4	14:16,25 15:8	92:21	
	16:8 17:11	72.21	

[4 - accurately] Page 3

4	506 33:19 48:5	60606 20:4	95th 90:25
4 43:14 92:21	59:19	608 82:14,15	97.5 34:25 52:1
93:9 95:9 98:17	507 29:14 33:7	609-10 94:13	74:12 83:4
124:24	33:19 34:15	621 94:13	9th 31:5 32:5
4.1 46:16	35:5 45:5 48:6	642 95:16	105:9
4.8 74:15	51:9 59:19	64213 82:3	a
4/1/2020 11:9	71:14,15 118:23	652 95:16	a&a 15:10
40 21:20 82:14	118:24 119:1	66 125:7	a.m. 3:12 4:12
4001 13:4 14:4	509.69. 7:7	699 82:15	5:12 6:11 7:11
14:14,23 15:6	51 103:13	6th 19:3	8:12 9:11 10:3
16:6 17:9	51-52 90:23	7	10:20 11:14
408 109:2,16	52 43:14		1
	53,422.00 10:15	7 86:10,10,25	12:8,18
111:18 411 90:25	536,639.00 5:6	7.40. 9:24	ability 50:10
	54042 88:1	7.5 64:11	71:8 83:25
414 81:4	543 83:13	72 125:7	107:15
41st 19:3	548 95:16	75 43:4,9	able 36:12 62:1
42 82:14 125:11	549 95:1	750,000 95:19	77:10 92:4
424 81:4	55 21:11	96:6	100:10,15,24
425 18:13	550 71:24	76 125:7	106:11 129:1
43 90:22	562 95:1	767 18:5	absence 55:4
431 94:25 95:15	566 95:15	785 126:6 127:8	83:23
44 77:5	57th 125:10	785-90 126:6	absent 54:3
44,946.53. 5:7	588 94:13	788 127:8	84:13 86:4
440 94:12	59 119:16,23	8	absolutely
452 82:22	595 90:25	8 13:6	108:25
45th 20:17	599 82:15	80 43:4,8	abundantly
46,688.00 7:6		80,462.00 6:6	60:22
464 82:22	6	8000 20:3	accept 117:4
47 90:22	6.2 76:6,9 78:4,7	9	accessing 85:15
478 82:21	6.4 38:25 44:21		account 34:14
493 82:9	76:15,22	9 88:2	38:23 61:11
496 82:9	6/17/2022 15:24	9/30/2021 9:23	124:12 127:21
5	6/29/2022 15:23	900 21:4	accounted 46:21
50 126:5 127:2	60 53:7 54:9	9014 13:4 14:14	accounts 15:16
127:12	62:21 84:25	14:23 15:6 16:6	accrued 41:2
502 15:21	119:16,23	17:9	accurate 41:16
503 92:21 93:9,9	600,000 106:16	9019 74:25	41:17 131:4
93:10 95:9	605 19:20	95 71:3 90:25	accurately
119:1		957 87:23	102:3
117.1			
Veritext Legal Solutions			

achievement	43:14 65:21	92:20 93:5	aggressively
64:3	80:25 86:8 91:2	94:19 98:19	128:9
acknowledge	106:23 107:24	114:25 115:10	ago 36:2 44:22
121:5 123:2	116:20	116:5,12 118:22	62:17
acknowledge	additions 51:3	119:1,7 122:18	agree 33:17
16:21 17:2	address 34:11	admins 42:7,9	49:18 59:9
acknowledgm	36:9,13 54:10	45:12,12	93:19
122:2,9,22	60:6 86:3 99:5	admitted 77:6	agreeable 69:1
action 33:25	100:10 106:11	77:14	agreed 39:18
62:24 63:10	110:1 117:6	adv 1:4	42:23 43:3
64:7 67:20,21	129:6	advance 44:7	55:20 75:1,2
73:7 77:6,14,16	addressed 60:8	115:25	98:8 103:19
actions 62:20	77:12 80:17	adversaries	115:18,24 117:4
64:6 108:7	118:14 124:19	84:19	128:11
active 120:3	129:18	adversary 17:15	agreeing 55:23
123:21	adds 42:10	61:18 65:13	agreement
actively 52:9	adequate 49:11	79:18,21 80:19	39:24 42:22
111:2	49:16	106:24 113:23	43:24 45:22,25
activities 29:11	adequately	adverse 86:22	48:17,23 51:14
39:25 40:3,14	90:10	advisor 6:3	55:12 64:2
actual 3:5 4:5	adjourned	advisors 27:22	70:22 71:11
51:8 76:16	97:18 120:1,3	113:19	74:16 78:3
ad 19:2 92:20,25	adler 13:15	advisory 27:17	84:13 111:4,6
93:7,23 94:21	admin 19:2 21:2	113:7	112:12 116:14
adam 13:15	35:4 41:22	aff'd 125:11	116:25 130:1
add 29:2 45:3	42:11 43:15	affect 89:1,2	agreements
47:18 59:2 77:1	71:14 72:13	affidavit 13:11	17:19 42:20
99:2 102:9	92:25 93:2 94:2	affiliate 66:2	98:1 101:19
added 46:17	administration	affiliated 66:19	127:20
47:23 129:24	8:2,8 13:16	affirm 30:24	ahead 38:14
adding 45:8	124:14 125:2	31:24	53:24 78:10
103:24	administrative	afternoon	111:9
addition 33:17	5:21 8:3 29:9	100:18 115:6	akin 5:2,7,23
39:19 46:14	32:25 33:21	agenda 27:4,5	19:8 29:1 42:4
47:23 84:9 85:8	35:25 36:18	28:22 32:4 34:6	60:16 61:22
86:21 93:1 99:7	37:23 38:20,22	92:9 128:25	67:18 103:9,11
114:13 129:8	39:2,5 40:10,19	agent 8:3 16:3	103:11,12,15
additional 27:22	41:5,6 42:12	16:16	105:18,21
33:24 37:14	47:25 52:8,20	aggregate 35:15	114:10 116:14
40:4,6 42:10	61:9 86:5,9	84:2	117:4 123:13

[akin - apply] Page 5

124:6 128:16	amendment	andrews 15:10	applicant 97:10
al 1:8,12,15 6:4	71:20	angelika 125:10	126:17
13:8 14:9 17:16	amendments	anker 20:20	applicants 98:23
17:16 27:4	71:9 74:11	68:19,19	110:23 111:3
alan 21:23 50:3	america 19:10	anne 24:15	124:4
50:6	92:12	answer 29:1	application 3:1
alex 25:18	ames 125:6	32:12 44:9	3:2 4:1,2 5:1,2
alexander 16:17	amount 39:4,5,6	47:10 48:25	5:23 6:1,2 7:1,2
25:15	39:19,23 40:5	66:1 67:13 94:4	8:2 9:1,2,20
alindogan 24:14	40:13 43:5 49:8	108:4	10:12,13 11:6,7
alleged 29:14	49:9,12 51:12	answered 110:9	12:1,12 15:18
allow 28:20 40:9	59:23 76:18	answered 110.9	92:20 93:4,14
54:3,5 59:4	84:3,4 85:24	answers 69.12	94:7 95:19 97:2
124:24	95:19 98:2,14	123:8	101:23 103:24
allowance 3:3	99:24 100:5	anxiety 50:9	105:4 106:9
3:11 4:3,11 5:4	101:19 103:24	anybody 54:6	112:14 113:2
5:11 4:5,11 5:4	106:15 114:22	106:13	116:16 118:6,8
7:10 8:11 9:4,10	124:9,18 126:1	anymore 74:3	118:9 130:3
10:2,19 11:13	amounts 39:14	87:18 90:7	applications
12:7,17 118:20	39:15,16,18	apocalypse	3:10,17 4:10,17
allowed 29:9	40:4,14,22 41:2	61:16	5:10,18 6:9,17
38:20,25 39:2	46:13 78:9	app 113:10	7:9,17 8:10,18
40:20 43:5	95:11 98:8,13	app'x 82:14	9:10,17 10:2,9
47:25 48:6	98:18 101:22	appeal 33:11	10:18 11:3,12
49:24,25 50:17	102:7 106:7	37:18 45:6	11:20 12:6,16
73:18 101:15,17	126:2 128:21	56:14,18 74:13	40:23 97:7,9,11
102:5 118:7,9	129:16	87:11,14	97:14,19,20,23
121:22	amply 90:18	appealed 35:18	98:1,25 105:3,9
allowing 93:5	amw 15:10	119:17	108:21 110:5,17
allows 78:4	analysis 62:22	appeals 48:12	110:21,24 111:1
allyson 23:17	83:17 108:20	81:14,22 84:21	111:7,13,20
alter 51:6 89:20	128:7	appear 72:10	112:6 114:9,10
alternative	analyzed 81:10	74:5 98:23	114:12,15 118:2
15:20 93:10	analyzing 63:22	105:19 129:2	120:17 123:13
alternatives	anderson 81:4	appears 72:10	123:18 124:4,5
127:24	andrew 21:14	applicability	127:4,13,22
alvarez 113:10	24:1,3,4 25:10	83:15	128:20 129:7,8
amended 27:4	25:14 47:4	applicable 74:21	129:18
28:17 35:15	53:23 54:18	126:2	apply 95:7
89:18	56:12 75:7		122:8

[appointed - b] Page 6

appointed	arguing 83:2	association 16:2	authorizing
123:14	argument 87:16	16:10,15 20:2	13:4,13 14:4,14
appointing 97:1	89:19	assume 85:19	14:23 15:6 16:6
appointing 97.1	arguments 48:6	114:3	16:13 17:9,20
94:18 110:18,19	63:7	assumes 37:20	79:7 93:6
appreciate	arm's 81:25	assuming 46:24	
53:17 56:7		47:24 49:1,10	availability 47:12
70:20 95:4 96:9	85:1,8 aron 108:1	49:19 66:2 72:4	available 29:25
approach 96:13	109:21 118:3	75:23 94:20	39:17 40:1,4
125:25 126:21	arose 119:8	107:23 124:1	47:21 128:14
127:25 128:2	arrangement	assurance	avenue 18:5,13
appropriate	13:6,14 14:6,16	114:24	19:3,20 20:10
63:18 68:6	14:24 15:8 16:7	attached 100:20	95:16
127:1	17:11 107:18	104:1	avoid 33:14
appropriately	116:13	attachments	42:15 57:1
37:25 106:11	articulate 126:3	12:3	95:25
approval 28:6	articulated	attend 119:24	avoiding 34:4
28:24 29:2,18	32:25	attendant	126:13
31:6 54:5,11	aside 40:3 49:8	125:18	awaited 28:6
58:10,13 60:20	asked 47:12	attorney 18:12	award 92:22
77:7,15	66:6 128:9	18:19 19:2,9,19	awarded 124:10
approve 16:20	129:9	20:2,9,16 21:2	awards 127:6,9
17:1,17 68:1	asking 67:22	21:19 22:2	aware 49:22
77:11 81:6 87:3	72:3	121:14 125:15	56:13,22 63:6
88:18 91:5	aspect 56:8 64:7	attorneys 3:3	79:8 109:4
116:23	65:3 70:12 71:2	4:3 18:4 21:10	129:11
approved 29:23	71:4 88:15	27:18 126:10	b
49:1 54:3,11	asserted 33:19	attributed 68:8	b 2:1 12:3 15:17
56:24 81:16	33:25 39:4,6	aufhauser 24:15	24:17 25:11
86:24 89:25	84:2	august 1:22 31:5	33:7,19 35:5
92:13 101:20	assertion 118:21	31:9 32:4,7	37:11 45:5 48:6
118:10 126:1	assertions 119:4	101:24 102:6	51:9 59:19
approves 33:5	assessments	105:9	71:14,15,18
77:18	84:7	authorities	86:6 88:17
approving 17:19	assets 40:6	90:24 98:22	89:11 91:5
56:10 65:4 78:6	76:18 78:22	authority 92:11	92:21,21 93:9,9
argue 41:19	79:3 80:9 90:12	authorize 13:1	93:10 95:9
42:2	assignment	authorized 90:3	119:1
argued 55:10	80:14	126:10 129:10	119.1
80:21			
	<u> </u>	val Solutions	

[b.a.p. - board] Page 7

b.a.p. 126:19	bartels 22:12	11:20 12:9,19	125:1,13,17
b.r. 82:9 90:22	30:7,11,14,18	13:7,16 14:7	benefits 52:6
94:12,13,25	30:18,22 31:1,3	15:9,16,25 16:9	81:13 118:25
95:15,16 125:10	31:4,11,17,20	16:17 17:12	benefitted 33:22
125:11 126:6,14	32:2 63:14	21:2 41:22 47:5	64:1
126:19 127:7,8	bartley 22:13	50:6 54:19	benzija 21:18
back 34:3 67:24	based 31:15	60:17 66:13	bereft 35:11
73:1 77:22 78:4	35:12 38:5 81:9	67:18 68:25	berkowitz 25:21
109:25 113:20	83:6 89:19	70:16,19 92:24	bespoke 63:8
121:9	91:19 95:20	100:19 103:16	best 51:24,24
background	119:22 120:10	114:18 118:4	81:8 85:25 87:2
30:19 36:1,22	121:3,4,6,13	128:24	129:2,5
bailiwick 66:4	124:1,20 125:4	belabor 60:21	beyond 29:25
balances 41:1	125:21 126:25	belief 35:10	33:16
ballard 9:3	basically 53:16	83:25 88:8	biddle 19:1
18:18 100:19	71:10 72:24	believe 28:5	92:24
103:5	79:9 118:7	31:14 32:15	bifurcate 72:20
bane 24:16	basis 35:10	33:21 34:4	bifurcated
bank 19:10	36:22 51:18	44:21 51:23	105:23 107:17
bankr 82:9	59:10 68:5	57:9 67:4 68:3,5	big 127:15
90:23 94:12,14	75:21 76:13,23	84:20 86:19	bill 22:11 23:12
95:1,16 125:11	76:24 84:14,16	88:4 97:1 98:9	billing 96:7
126:15	105:24 106:15	105:18 114:18	126:22 127:1,19
bankruptcy 1:1	111:14 116:16	119:17,18	billion 73:14
1:18 2:3 13:3,4	116:17 119:10	127:14 128:24	84:4 98:18
14:3,4,13,14,22	120:16 123:4	believed 125:16	bills 105:19
14:23 15:5,6,22	basket 52:12	bench 13:6,14	126:22
16:5,6 17:8,9	basta 22:14	14:6,16,25 15:8	bilter 24:17
71:19,22 72:2	battaglia 21:18	16:8,14 17:11	bimkrant 24:18
77:7,15 80:23	bayou 94:15,25	120:3	biswas 24:19
80:24 81:6	95:15	beneficial	bit 45:17 64:8
83:14 88:17	bears 126:17	124:15	69:10 91:6
92:21 118:23	beginning 80:18	beneficiaries	106:16
119:17,21 124:8	114:23 116:1	41:13 48:8	blackline 76:6
127:5	behalf 3:14,18	73:13	76:11 77:6
bar 67:3,7,23	4:13,18 5:13,18	beneficiary	board 27:23
68:1,5 87:17,21	5:24 6:12,17	48:12,15,21	74:9,17 77:5,16
88:5,6,12	7:12,17 8:13,18	51:25	90:2,7,9,10
bargaining	9:12,17 10:4,9	benefit 45:4	128:1
81:25 85:2	10:21 11:3,15	69:22 73:12	

[bockius - chantelle]

I ugo c

bockius 20:8	bryant 19:11	carroll 87:25	cast 100:15
boey 20:13	build 69:8,24	carty 22:17	casteel 66:8
66:12,13	bulb 59:13	case 1:3,4 30:2	category 73:17
boil 73:24	bunch 42:16	34:21 51:20	cause 71:19 72:4
boots 46:1	burden 126:17	61:23 64:17	72:4,17 74:20
boylan 90:22	burnham 82:9	67:5 79:4 81:9	causes 33:25
brad 25:9	126:14	86:7,10,25	62:23 63:10
brain 91:16	business 58:24	89:17 93:1,23	causing 86:16
brand 60:7	businesspeople	94:10,10,11,12	caveat 65:2 95:6
brauner 19:16	85:10	94:15 95:5	95:8
62:12,16 67:15	bust 72:19	98:14 100:23	cede 34:9 60:14
67:17,18 103:15	c	103:17 106:8,10	cells 91:16
103:15 106:20	c 12:3 15:20,21	111:13 112:2	certain 17:19,20
106:21 107:2,4	18:1,9 22:25	113:19 115:8,14	28:24
108:5,5,9,11	24:7 27:1 33:19	115:14,17	certainly 44:25
112:18,20,24	45:2,3 48:5	120:12 121:25	58:7,10 59:2,5
113:1 114:2,5,7	59:19 89:8	123:14 124:2,4	59:17,20 69:2
breadth 81:23		124:16 125:3	80:19 91:4,22
brian 17:12 19:6	131:1,1	126:20,24	92:8 98:3,10,24
22:7,10 23:9	calculated	127:23	116:23
24:5 27:16 30:8	103:23	caselaw 67:25	certainty 42:21
31:22 36:12	calculation	87:22	certified 131:3
70:15 92:23	40:25	cases 28:4,7	cetera 65:10
brianna 24:17	calendar 97:6	29:5,15 41:6	chambers 120:8
brief 60:23	120:2,7	51:11 52:9	chance 95:21
briefly 94:1	called 79:19	61:18 67:21	chances 125:18
bring 61:3 62:2	calls 46:14	73:3 79:8 80:18	change 31:10
bringing 28:18	camera 95:25	82:17 87:25	32:8 48:21 49:8
34:3	canvass 82:11	90:24 93:9	50:20 55:16
brittany 23:13	cap 76:20 129:9	94:14 101:22	74:6 75:20 76:2
britton 22:15	129:14	118:15 123:16	89:1,22
broadway 18:20	capable 85:2	123:18 124:22	changed 67:6
broker 70:21	123:21	123:18 124:22 125:23	77:20
	cards 120:25		
brookfield 22:3	care 98:9	125:23	changes 45:1
brooklyn 95:16	carl 14:19 21:19	cash 32:13 34:3	48:3 71:10,21
brought 79:18	39:10 50:7,14	35:20 36:23,24	73:24 89:22
bruice 25:21	93:12	38:6,18 39:3	90:21 128:17,23
brunswick	carolina 30:19	40:6 41:1,16	chantelle 3:18
22:16		43:17 49:7 61:4	4:18 5:18 6:17 7:17 8:18 9:17

[chantelle - come] Page 9

10.0.11.2.20	56 17 10 57 5	41.5.40.16	1 1 266
10:9 11:3,20	56:17,19 57:5	41:5 43:16	clearly 36:6
chapman 19:15	67:25 81:10	44:22 45:13	39:1 80:10 83:6
29:24 61:25	87:9,22	50:22 52:8,20	84:20 85:1 90:8
85:13,20 106:22	circumstances	59:23 65:13,15	126:24
113:11,13,13,16	63:17 89:6,10	71:12,13,14,14	client 59:3 68:25
113:18	89:10 90:13	71:15,15,15,16	115:16 116:8
chapter 28:7	91:3 125:6	71:16,17,23,23	126:23,25
61:18 67:21	cited 90:24	72:7 73:12,14	clients 126:24
80:1 82:17 86:7	94:15	73:17 78:8	close 111:15
86:10,10,25	citron 19:18	79:18,24 80:9	closely 105:18
93:8	claim 15:15	83:5 84:2 85:7	cod's 82:19
charge 76:25	29:13 34:15,15	93:3 108:18	code 13:3 14:3
126:1	34:24 35:17	114:25 116:5,12	14:13,22 15:5
charged 124:13	36:19 39:6,10	118:15,20,21	15:22 16:5 17:8
124:21 125:22	41:16,22 43:5	119:5,5,7,7	83:14 88:17
charlotte 30:19	47:24,25 48:1,2	121:24 122:19	89:14,15 92:21
chart 99:12,15	48:7,19 49:12	122:19 123:8	95:8 118:23
99:18 100:13	49:24 50:17,21	128:6,8	124:8 125:20
101:3 102:9	51:7,7,8 61:12	clarification	collapsing 83:16
103:5,22,25	72:9 73:5,6 83:5	44:25 65:11	collateral 16:3
104:3	93:6,15,18 94:2	100:18 112:20	16:16
charts 100:20	94:9 118:15	112:24	colleague 85:12
104:1	119:3,4,5	clarified 48:20	85:13
check 78:22	120:11 121:10	61:7	colleagues 28:25
79:5,11	121:11,21	clarifies 67:1	47:8
cherington	122:10 123:4,5	clarify 46:18	collectability
22:18	claim's 93:16	109:22 110:3,4	63:17
chicago 20:4	claimant 29:14	clarifying 48:9	collecting 81:16
chicken 54:1	claimants 19:2	clear 38:10	colloquy 89:19
choice 83:14	42:11 43:3 61:9	45:23 47:24	color 105:22
choose 92:1	63:25 92:20,25	48:2,10 50:12	106:23
christopher	94:19 98:19	50:13 54:23	column 101:16
22:17 25:12	claims 5:21	60:22 71:5 72:5	103:19 104:3,12
chunks 40:15	15:19,22 21:2	84:11 87:1	columns 101:13
cir 82:14,15,22	29:10 33:7,18	88:20 91:18	103:7
87:24 88:11	33:22 35:4,5,5,6	95:25 108:22,25	combined 8:4
125:7 126:6,19	35:6,22,25 36:5	113:4,14 117:9	come 30:3 38:4
circuit 33:11	36:8,18,20,25	119:3 122:16	43:19 47:16
35:18 47:22	37:24 38:20	125:20 128:2,2	63:23 76:8
55:9,11 56:15	39:1,5 40:10,12		130:3

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

comes 86:3	127:7	compliance	conclusion
comfort 85:24	company's	74:20	63:23 84:18,20
comfortable	36:23	complicated	conclusively
42:9 68:12 70:4	comparable	62:1 63:1,4,7	77:9,16
70:7 74:22 78:6	126:2,2	complied 102:1	condition 43:25
coming 38:18	comparably	complies 82:19	conditioned
46:3 104:24	124:21 125:22	compliment	54:11
comma 77:8	compared 68:2	62:12,15	conduct 82:4
commensurate	comparison	comply 101:12	90:3
124:18	98:17	component 54:4	conference
commentary	compensation	comprehensive	69:16 70:3
95:6	3:1,4,11 4:1,4	79:17,17	confidence
comments 36:12	4:11 5:1,4,11	comprise 80:9	60:10
48:9 60:23	6:1,5,10 7:1,4	compromise	confidential
64:15 111:2	7:10 8:11 9:1,4	17:17 28:12	111:14,17
commitment	9:10,21 10:2,12	compromises	confidentiality
64:16	10:14,19 11:6,8	70:20 80:22	109:2,16
committee 5:3	11:13 12:1,7,12	comptroller	confirm 38:16
6:3 7:3 13:2,7	12:17 76:16	15:16	40:17 46:20
13:12 14:2,8,12	101:21 107:16	conceivably	67:4,14
14:20 15:3 16:3	124:10,20,21,25	117:3	confirmation
16:12 17:6,18	125:21,22	concept 47:13	34:22 42:21
19:9 28:23 31:6	126:18	concern 50:10	43:13 45:7,9,25
35:3,3 52:7	competency	86:4 93:14,22	80:13 82:16
60:18 62:9 65:1	65:9 81:20	126:13	89:15 90:1,3
67:18 74:2	complete 31:19	concerned 34:19	115:9
78:25 79:7,15	32:17	59:8,20 73:24	confirmed 67:8
81:3 83:10 90:3	completely	83:9 102:9	68:4 71:7 79:25
94:9 103:16	109:12,17	103:7 106:20	86:8 88:16,19
105:21 107:6	111:16	concerns 32:24	91:7
108:4,6 113:9	completing	36:13 123:23	conflicts 7:3
113:22 129:9,11	121:14	concessions	cong 90:25
committee's	completion	128:5	congratulations
84:12	124:16	conclude 64:14	96:15
committees	complex 30:2	87:21	congress 90:25
107:23	63:11 81:15	concluded	119:6 124:8
common 68:9	83:10 84:10	127:20 130:8	126:13
company 15:13	98:14 127:23,24	concludes	conn 126:7
19:19 82:15	complexity	128:25	connection
88:10 126:19	124:18		27:19 32:6

[connection - court]

Page 11

33:19 60:20	consulting 6:2,7	cornish 22:19	countless 64:17
62:5 63:3,7	consummated	corollary 126:8	country 131:21
89:25 105:20	71:5 88:20,22	corp 126:6	country's 98:20
106:23 111:1	88:25	127:8	country \$ 98.20 couple 28:16
cons 128:3	contained 48:23	corporation 1:8	31:14 36:11,21
consensual		1:12 3:14 4:14	42:8,18 47:18
	contemplate 40:15		
62:11,18		5:14 6:4,13 7:13	65:10,16 69:18
consensus 62:1 consent 29:11	contemplates 36:4	8:14 9:13 10:5	74:7 111:21
		10:22 11:16	112:5
38:22 94:2	contemplating	12:10,20 13:8	course 41:7
consenting	53:1	14:9 15:25	59:18 61:23
52:19	context 84:7	17:16 27:3	70:19,23 123:5
consider 31:18	86:15 106:7	correct 37:16,18	court 1:1,18
56:6 65:9 95:13	116:11	37:22 43:10	27:2,8,14,21
124:10	contingency	44:17 48:16	28:2,7 29:23
considerable	84:14,16 105:24	50:16 58:15	30:10,13,15,21
85:24 86:4	107:18 116:13	84:24 103:1,16	30:23 31:2,4,12
consideration	116:13,17 117:1	104:12 107:2,4	31:18,21 32:2
125:17	117:10	113:17 114:5	32:10,19 33:5
considerations	continue 33:12	116:18 117:15	34:10,24 35:24
82:23	90:9	117:17,19,24	37:2,5,8,11,14
considered 88:5	continued 84:23	correcting 17:1	37:17,23 38:12
124:3,5	continues 48:11	correctly 44:20	38:14 39:8,10
considering	continuing	63:24	39:13 40:18
56:9	107:9	corresponded	41:14,18,24
consistent 36:16	contract 98:21	119:14	42:1,15,24 43:6
102:23 109:12	contribution	cost 65:9 86:9	43:8,11 44:13
109:17 126:20	67:3,7,23 68:1,5	125:19 128:10	44:15,18 45:18
consolidated	87:17,21 92:22	128:12	46:8,10,12,23
79:21	93:8,18 94:9	costly 34:4	47:1,3,6 48:4,14
constituents	95:11 130:2	counsel 5:3 7:3	48:24 49:4,6,14
38:23 46:1	contributions	9:3,22 27:10	49:16,19,21
53:17	93:23	32:12 66:1,10	50:2,5,18,20,24
constitute 77:9	contributory	81:20 83:10	51:1 52:2,12,21
77:17 83:12	111:22	85:2,3,9 106:13	52:25 53:3,5,8
constructive	convenience	114:20 115:7	53:13 54:8,16
62:5	73:15	116:5 129:9	55:2,14,17,22
construed 87:25	converted 86:25	counted 40:22	56:13 57:2,4,15
consultation	cooper 126:5	counterparties	57:22,24 58:1,3
52:23 75:1	127:2,11	98:21	58:9,12,14,16

[court - daluz] Page 12

58:19 59:1,7,16	114:8 115:4,22	credit 68:8	cut 78:11,11
59:19 60:6	116:9,22 117:8	creditor 52:6	123:1
64:20 65:7 66:5	117:11,14,16,18	90:8,20 94:18	cutler 20:15
66:10 67:1,22	117:20,22,25	115:12	68:20
68:1 69:5 70:17	118:11,13	creditors 5:4 6:4	cyrus 21:10
70:23 71:1,19	119:10,13,14,19	7:4 13:2,8,12	28:19 29:14
71:22 72:2,16	119:20 120:20	14:2,8,12,21	32:24 33:6,12
72:22 73:23	120:23,25 121:8	15:4 16:4,13	33:18 34:16,17
74:2 75:5,10,12	121:10,16 122:4	17:7,18 19:9	35:14,17 37:17
75:15,17,19	122:6,8,16,23	28:23 32:25	40:2,13 41:9
76:3,5,11 77:3,7	123:1,10,17,19	35:3,11 42:13	44:6,24 45:4,5
77:15,18,22,25	124:10,24 125:9	52:7 60:18 61:9	45:10 47:5
78:13,17,19	125:20 126:1,3	62:9 64:4 79:16	48:11,14 50:14
79:12,14 80:10	126:11,21 129:4	81:17,18 84:12	51:4,7,8 52:1
81:6,21 82:4,6	court's 28:5	84:22 86:5,13	53:16 54:1,11
85:24 86:15	29:18 36:13	86:14,21 89:21	54:19 56:7,11
87:24 88:12,17	57:23 59:21	115:11 116:3	57:18 58:3 61:1
88:23 89:12,25	82:11 84:11	criteria 125:13	65:3 74:13 75:9
91:1,15,24 92:6	87:7	critical 47:20	75:19 76:14
92:19 94:8 95:7	courthouse	80:13 82:20	78:6,10,11,14
96:2,4,11,18,21	15:24	critically 39:22	80:6 87:5,8
96:24 97:4,13	courtney 23:1	47:17	90:13 91:17
98:5 99:4,7,9,17	23:10,23	cross 31:15	d
100:3,5,14,22	courtroom	32:16 42:3	d 2:2 3:18 4:18
101:1,5,8 102:2	15:23 27:16	culmination	5:18 6:17 7:17
102:8,14,18	courts 67:25	61:17	8:18 9:17 10:9
103:2,4,9,11,17	81:10 88:5	cure 93:21	11:3,20 13:4
104:4,6,9,11,14	125:24 127:4,5	current 41:15	14:4,14,23 15:6
104:17,23 105:1	cover 38:4 59:5	45:11 53:10	16:6 17:9 20:20
105:12 106:1,4	66:22	85:12	21:23 23:19
106:19,25 107:3	covered 80:15	currently 35:17	24:5 25:8 27:1
107:5,13,17	101:23 120:5	40:22 51:9	93:9 126:7
108:3,8,10,12	129:21,22	79:21 87:14	daluz 18:23
108:20,24 109:5	covering 40:19	90:11 108:6	100:10,15,17,18
109:7,9,13,18	craft 16:18	119:18	100:10,13,17,18
109:20,23 110:6	114:11,20 115:7	cushion 38:6	100:23 101:2,8
110:8,12,14,16	115:11	69:8	103:22 104:3,8
112:7,12,16,23	craft's 114:21	customary	104:15,19
112:25 113:6,8	credible 90:21	124:21 125:21	105:15,16 106:2
113:25 114:3,6			100.5 107.11,11

[daluz - designee] Page 13

108:22,25 117:5	71:18,24 84:25	84:13 85:3,15	defer 106:22
damages 68:9	118:25	87:5 93:6,11	defined 43:16
daniel 18:16	dc 21:5	98:18,20 100:7	80:6
24:12	de 106:7	101:24,25	definitely 67:13
date 17:1,21	deadline 105:9	106:13 107:8	degree 81:17
28:6,20 29:7,10	106:9	118:14 127:25	delay 57:12 73:1
29:15 33:2,5,10	deal 78:6,11,11	128:24	81:13
35:2,16 36:3,7	114:20 120:8	debts 84:1	delayed 65:9
36:17 37:10,12	123:17 127:9	decide 120:9	86:22 87:12
37:15,20,24	dealing 49:10	122:10	delays 33:1
38:11,19 39:17	66:8 107:20	decided 59:24	deletes 74:16
41:3 43:20 44:5	114:22	128:10	delighted 50:8
44:7,11,23	dealt 114:22	decision 33:12	deloitte 10:13
45:11,14 46:7	dean 19:15	47:22 56:15,20	11:7 113:10
46:22 48:2 52:5	106:22 113:13	56:23,25 66:20	demand 16:21
52:10 53:2 54:9	debt 16:21 17:2	66:21 81:5	17:2 122:21
57:20 58:8	debtor 18:4	86:22	demerits 63:15
60:11 61:6 62:3	27:11 48:5	decisions 126:9	demonstrated
63:24 69:15,25	88:18 89:20	127:17	89:6
71:18,19,21,22	106:10 107:6	declaration 30:7	denied 122:20
71:23,24,24	122:10	30:8 31:5,9,16	deny 120:21
72:2,11,12,17	debtor's 83:21	31:22 32:3,14	121:1
78:7,25 80:1,3	125:2,25	63:13	department
90:6,15 93:15	debtors 1:10 3:3	declarations	125:6
93:20 115:25	4:3 8:3 13:5,13	30:6,11 94:6,23	depending
116:3 118:25	14:5,15,24 15:7	deducted 104:7	47:21 58:21
131:25	15:19 16:7	deemed 77:9,17	91:11
dated 31:5 32:4	17:10,17 27:16	deep 62:23	depth 35:8
118:17	27:19,22,23	defend 73:12	62:25
david 15:24	28:23 29:6,7,8	defendant 65:23	described 47:10
19:23 24:2	30:5 31:6 32:12	66:14,23 79:9	85:14 86:21
115:6	32:12 33:7,17	defendant's	87:6 90:19
davidoff 19:18	34:2,3 35:4,10	68:9,11	91:18
day 63:16 68:22	35:23 36:23,24	defendants 1:16	describing
71:21 85:23	38:5 41:15	20:16 65:19,22	100:13
96:15	43:20 51:22	66:24 67:8	description 42:5
days 29:16,19	55:20 57:18	68:21,22 70:5	designed 36:15
53:7 54:9,13	59:25 64:4	79:19,20 85:5	48:4
58:9,17,23,24	72:19 73:8,11	defenses 63:10	designee 106:5
62:21 64:24	79:15,25 80:10		

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com

-			
designees 60:18	directors 27:19	disputes 28:25	6:11,15 7:11,15
63:13 65:1	27:24 81:24	34:2,7	8:12,16 9:12,15
67:19 74:1	disallowance	disregard	10:4,7,20 11:1
113:23	122:18	102:16,17	11:14,18 12:8
desire 32:15	disallowed	disrupt 56:19	12:18 13:15
detail 110:1	35:17 40:21	dist 82:3 88:1	14:7,17,25 15:9
117:6	51:9 73:7,18	distr 88:13	16:8,17 17:12
detailed 64:12	discharged	distribute 74:19	72:20
76:13,23 93:24	105:7	distributed	documented
details 29:1	disclosure 89:7	40:25 41:9	76:17
33:24	90:17,23 91:2	49:21 55:4	documents 5:16
determination	discount 84:7	distribution	63:5 119:3
95:21 121:21	discovery 63:5	29:12 34:14,16	doing 60:1 69:2
determine 33:13	128:7	44:5 45:2 56:8	94:21 103:6
72:16 91:2 95:3	discrepancy	80:8 82:18	107:1,23,24
determined	103:25	84:22 86:23	dollar 106:15
84:18 123:5	discretion 127:5	89:3 121:25	dollars 73:14
determines	127:11	123:7	104:15,20
120:9	discuss 69:21	distributions	donna 25:4
determining	discussed 34:12	33:16 43:15,21	don't 93:17
81:5 95:6 124:9	80:4 87:4 93:16	44:24 45:23	dorr 20:15
127:4,5	116:1 117:12,13	50:1 52:3 55:7	68:20
deutsch 12:2	117:15 129:25	57:20 58:4,8,11	double 78:22
devore 24:3	130:1	72:6,7 90:12	79:5,11
dialog 62:5	discussing 74:14	91:17	doubt 94:8
dialogue 98:2	discussion 90:22	district 1:2	downs 61:23
dictate 62:24	discussions	59:19,21,21	dr 20:3
dietrich 25:2	126:25	87:24 88:11	draft 91:9
difference 99:24	disgorgement	dive 62:23	117:14
different 66:21	102:21	dizengoff 13:7	drafted 100:24
94:17 115:14	dismiss 63:3	14:7 19:14	drafts 116:21
differently	69:18,23 80:20	33:23 34:9,10	drain 2:2 27:3
34:18	83:19	60:13,15,16	drexel 82:8
difficult 28:16	dispute 61:24	64:21 66:4,6	126:14
83:11,12 123:17	62:10 91:25	92:18	drinker 19:1
difficulty 81:16	disputed 29:9	doc 16:14,16	92:24
direct 31:8 32:6	36:19 38:8 39:4	docket 49:3	driscoll 22:20
directing 109:9	39:20,20 40:12	75:14	driven 125:24
directly 57:7,8	50:22 127:17	document 3:12	126:21
		4:12 5:12,24	

	•		
drogin 115:6	16:10,18,22	eighth 3:16 4:16	enter 65:3 68:24
dry 35:11	17:3,4,13 28:17	5:17 6:16 7:16	69:3
dubious 69:19	30:7,8 58:25	8:17 9:16 10:8	entered 57:17
dublin 5:8	65:3	11:2,19	70:6 79:24
due 15:24 56:4	eckhardt 24:20	either 33:17	91:11 92:15,17
59:12,12 82:24	ecro 2:5	37:24 41:19	130:4,5
84:1 92:14	editing 114:14	46:4 53:9 60:24	entire 109:15
123:5	edward 16:9	66:7 91:11 99:9	entirely 89:17
duplicate 96:6	20:6 22:23	102:19 111:3	91:9
duplication	46:11	116:2 119:16	entirety 64:6
124:25 126:13	effect 51:20 54:6	121:16,18	entitled 74:12
duplin 22:21	58:19 80:3	129:20	86:6 94:9
duties 48:8,11	93:21	electronic 88:10	118:22,24
48:14,18,22	effective 17:21	88:13	entitlement
76:7 94:20 97:5	28:6,20 29:6,10	element 94:23	35:12
108:14	29:15 33:2,5,9	95:10	entries 96:6
e	35:2,16 36:3,5,7	eliza 23:20	entry 13:2,5,13
e 2:1,1 9:2 15:9	36:17 37:10,12	email 65:17	13:13 14:2,5,12
18:1,1 23:14,21	37:15,20,24	emailed 92:16	14:15,21,23
27:1,1 83:13	38:8,11,19	emanuel 21:1	15:4,6 16:4,6
124:19 131:1	39:17 40:9	41:22	17:7,9,18 68:23
e.d.n.y. 88:14	43:20 44:4,7,10	employee	69:25
95:16	44:23 45:11,14	122:25	equitable 81:8
earlier 34:21	46:4,7,22 48:1	employees 98:22	82:8
51:2 65:24 79:7	52:10 53:2 54:9	employment	equities 88:4
87:5 112:1	56:11,11 57:11	16:21 17:3	equivalent
121:19,23	57:20 58:8 59:5	121:5 122:2,22	113:9
earned 118:25	60:11 61:6,10	123:3	eric 5:24 21:15
ecf 3:8,14,19 4:7	62:3 63:24 71:6	enable 29:8 36:7	25:9 47:8
4:14,19 5:8,14	71:18,24 78:7	60:11	erica 24:13
5:19,25 6:7,13	78:10,25 80:1,3	ends 70:5	erika 21:7 41:21
6:18 7:7,13,18	88:21 90:6,15	energy 63:21	error 104:16
8:8,14,19 9:7,13	93:15,20 115:25	engage 33:13	escrow 47:19
9:18,24 10:5,10	116:3	engaged 29:25	esl 20:16 56:16
10:16,22 11:4	effectively 36:15	enjoy 127:5	68:21 71:14,16
11:10,16,21	62:20	enlighten	71:23
12:3,10,14,20	effort 126:14	100:25	essentially
13:8,16 14:9,17	efforts 70:21	enormous 62:22	73:24 74:16
14:25 15:13	egg 54:1	ensure 89:12	94:17

[established - fact] Page 16

established 80:2	examiner 3:18	exhibit 12:3,3,3	explain 72:13
established 80:2	4:18 5:19 6:18	exhibits 106:2	113:24
34:3 70:21 81:8	7:18 8:19 9:2,3	exist 123:11	
84:13 85:3 86:1	,		explained 118:18
	9:6,18 10:10	existence 56:16	
87:3 105:17	11:4,21 18:19	expect 29:15	explanation
113:19 125:2,17	96:13,25 97:24	69:12 105:6	101:10,11
estate's 51:6	98:3 99:12	expected 40:4	explore 35:8
estates 13:5,13	100:19 103:20	106:25 126:23	express 77:7,15
14:5,15,24 15:7	105:7 108:14,15	expedite 28:20	extant 121:10
16:7 17:10 61:5	109:10 110:2,18	expense 3:17	extend 69:1
61:14 62:2 64:4	123:14,20,21	4:17 5:18,21	72:17 73:15
80:10	126:21 127:15	6:17 7:17 8:18	extended 72:11
estimating	129:18	9:17 10:9 11:3	72:20,24 123:18
15:22	examiner's 3:16	11:20 21:2 35:4	extending 73:17
et 1:8,12,15 6:4	4:16 5:16 6:15	38:20 41:22	extension 70:7
13:8 14:9 17:16	7:15 8:16 9:15	43:16 71:14	extensive 60:19
17:16 27:4	10:7 11:1,18	72:8 74:5 81:14	128:6,7 129:12
65:10	107:19 110:20	86:9 93:3	extent 35:13
ethical 126:12	examiners	118:22 119:2,7	55:3 60:19
evaluated 65:2	123:15,24	122:18	81:24 83:13
evaluating	examining	expenses 3:5,7	123:11 124:11
81:11	31:15	3:11 4:5,6,11	extra 86:20
evan 15:25	example 74:15	5:5,6,11 6:5,7	extraordinary
event 93:19	89:3 96:5 99:19	6:10 7:5,6,10	28:15 98:13,16
124:3	125:10	8:4,11 9:6,11,23	eyers 24:21
eventual 83:24	exceed 76:18	10:3,15,19 11:9	f
everybody	exceeding 84:4	11:13 12:7,17	f 2:1 25:24
111:23	excellent 27:6	37:23 38:7	82:14 131:1
everybody's	excess 38:6	40:19 41:6,12	f.2d 82:15 87:24
103:24	101:22 102:7	93:7 105:20	f.3d 82:22 88:11
evidence 30:5	excessive 126:4	114:4,6 128:16	
111:17	exchanged	expensive 63:19	125:7 126:5
evidentiary	116:21	84:10 128:19	127:3,12
83:20	exculpation	129:12	faced 88:23
exactly 43:12	77:5	experience	faceted 30:2
48:16 54:20	excused 92:8	61:21 81:20,21	facilitating
103:1 104:8	executed 116:15	85:9 127:9	52:10
examine 32:16	116:25	expert 112:21	fact 35:1 44:3,22
42:3	exercising 63:22	experts 113:22	52:5 56:3 60:11
		128:13	71:1 72:5 73:2

[fact - final] Page 17

73:10 87:13	fail's 41:15	12:16 18:19	fernand 24:22
88:21 89:17	fair 81:7 82:8	40:23 84:14,16	ferry 81:4
94:16 103:22	85:25 87:2 98:9	95:3,7 97:7,8,23	fiduciary 48:8
105:19 126:16	114:22 115:19	98:3 99:10,12	48:11 63:23
127:8 129:15,17	fairly 49:21	99:14 100:21	fifth 11:7 18:5
factor 82:1 86:2	faith 33:13	101:9 102:16	file 28:17 73:5,8
factors 65:8	126:11	103:20,24 104:1	74:18 93:13
81:10 124:12	faithfully 47:9	105:2,4,7,8,24	106:9 107:12
127:21	fall 52:13 119:6	106:9 107:18,19	112:5,6 113:10
factory 15:13	123:3	108:14 110:1,5	filed 3:7,13,18
facts 127:18	falls 82:12 94:10	110:18,19 111:1	4:7,13,18 5:7,13
factual 83:18	familiar 93:25	111:4,13,20	5:18,24 6:7,12
faegre 19:1	familiarity	113:1,10 114:9	6:17 7:7,12,17
92:24	80:16	114:15 116:13	8:7,13,18 9:6,12
fail 3:13 4:13	fan 123:15	116:13,16,17	9:17,24 10:4,9
5:13 6:12 7:12	fantastic 62:8	117:10 118:2	10:16,21 11:3
8:13 9:12 10:4	far 34:19 49:6	120:17 123:13	11:10,15,20
10:16,21 11:10	53:19 59:7,20	123:14,15,17,20	12:2,9,13,19
12:9,19 18:8	72:5 73:14,23	123:24 126:21	13:6,15 14:7
27:12,13 38:13	83:8 84:23	126:25 127:6,9	15:9,24 16:8,17
38:15,15 39:9	102:8 103:6	127:15 128:20	17:12 30:6,7,8
39:11,14 40:24	105:14 106:19	129:17,24	32:21 41:5
41:19 42:2	121:11 129:19	feedback 109:1	42:16 49:2
43:22 44:19,21	fashion 15:11	feel 58:6 97:4,24	51:17 67:6
46:14 51:21,21	faster 29:18	fees 41:7 93:7	69:19 71:17
52:3,15,22 53:2	favor 59:24 88:5	97:6,9,16 99:18	73:4 75:13,14
53:4,12,22,24	88:7	99:19,21,22,25	75:21 80:20,20
53:25 54:20	favored 80:24	101:15 102:20	82:25 87:9
58:24 62:8,15	february 8:5,7	102:22 103:6,12	97:19 98:5
67:11,15 72:15	fee 3:6,16,17,18	103:18,23 104:2	110:25 111:13
72:18,18,23	4:6,16,17,18 5:2	104:6,12 106:8	118:15 119:19
74:1,25 75:14	5:6,16,17,19,22	110:1 113:5	121:10 122:10
76:4,10 86:8	6:6,15,16,18 7:2	114:16 117:4	123:4
100:2,4,6,7,24	7:6,15,16,18 8:1	118:6,8,10	filing 65:18
101:9,11 102:3	8:1,5,6,16,17,19	126:4 128:17	113:1
102:13,16,23	9:2,3,6,6,15,16	129:10	films 125:10
103:8,10 104:10	9:18,23 10:7,8	feinstein 7:2,7	final 12:1,6,12
104:13 107:8,14	10:10,13,15	99:20,21	12:16 28:18
108:19 111:21	11:1,2,4,7,9,18	feld 5:3,7,23	40:23 73:18
129:25	11:19,21 12:6	19:8 60:17	78:20 97:7,8,11

[final - general] Page 18

97:15,16,20	79:16 82:24	forward 107:1	funding 13:5,14
99:10,14 103:24	88:25 114:21	108:14 118:5	14:5,15,24 15:7
104:1 105:2,4,8	115:8 118:9,13	found 106:12	16:7,13 17:10
107:15 110:5,20	fischer 22:22	four 72:25 73:16	84:12
111:1,3,7,13,19	24:23	98:15 104:20	funds 15:19
111:20 112:6,14	fix 77:21 95:19	fourth 9:20	29:10,21 41:4
114:1,15 117:16	flipping 78:3	10:13	41:11 46:21
124:5	floor 18:13,20	fox 16:9 20:6	50:15 51:25
finally 83:24	19:3 20:17	22:23 46:11,11	59:4 61:8 66:18
90:16	flow 46:22	46:13	further 33:14
finals 107:12	fochier 15:12	fox's 46:21	34:4 39:22
111:4	focus 34:11 38:1	frackman 24:4	77:25 80:5
financial 6:3	47:13 71:9	framework 81:9	91:20 111:8
75:2	125:7	frankly 29:25	115:21 121:11
financing	focusing 35:19	55:19,24 80:17	127:22
116:20	follow 53:9 61:8	87:18 94:20	furtherance
find 85:5	followed 97:7	98:15 99:1	17:21
findings 91:4	following 33:12	106:7 117:1	future 39:25
fine 27:8 75:22	38:17 59:17	120:18,20 121:1	64:24 81:13
96:4,4 117:18	81:10 118:13	126:21	84:1
finish 55:22	foot 104:11	free 79:9 85:21	
		free 79:9 85:21 97:24	g
finish 55:22	foot 104:11		g g 27:1
finish 55:22 111:22,23	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25	97:24	g g 27:1 gabriel 22:16
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10	97:24 friedmann	g g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24	g g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3	97:24 friedmann 22:24	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16 first 28:22 29:4	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating 82:5	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16 86:18	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8 gary 5:24 23:18
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16 first 28:22 29:4 30:4 32:3 34:12	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating 82:5 formulation	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16 86:18 fully 79:6	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8 gary 5:24 23:18 general 33:22
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16 first 28:22 29:4 30:4 32:3 34:12 34:24 43:1 60:7	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating 82:5 formulation 68:7	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16 86:18 fully 79:6 110:20	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8 gary 5:24 23:18 general 33:22 52:4 71:16,23
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16 first 28:22 29:4 30:4 32:3 34:12 34:24 43:1 60:7 60:8 63:9 67:4	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating 82:5 formulation 68:7 forth 67:24	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16 86:18 fully 79:6 110:20 function 90:1,9	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8 gary 5:24 23:18 general 33:22
finish 55:22 111:22,23 finished 42:5 firm 76:25 105:17,17,18 112:21 125:5 126:23 127:1 firms 102:11 105:8,14,22 106:6 108:13 109:1 112:5,6 112:13 113:5,7 114:14,16 115:24 127:16 first 28:22 29:4 30:4 32:3 34:12 34:24 43:1 60:7	foot 104:11 footnote 102:10 footnotes 129:25 foregoing 131:3 form 32:21 48:14 101:12,16 102:1 formation 129:10 former 29:24 85:13,13 formerly 93:1 forms 79:16 formulating 82:5 formulation 68:7	97:24 friedmann 22:24 front 108:24 fruition 116:19 116:21 fti 6:2,7 102:11 113:10 fulcrum 94:1 fulfilled 90:2 full 40:11,20 41:2 83:6 84:16 86:6,13,13,16 86:18 fully 79:6 110:20	g 27:1 gabriel 22:16 game 50:11 garment 15:13 garret 51:21 garrett 3:13 4:13 5:13 6:12 7:12 8:13 9:12 10:4,16,21 11:10,15 12:9 12:19 18:8 27:11 38:15 72:18 100:7,8 gary 5:24 23:18 general 33:22 52:4 71:16,23

[generally - happing	1		rage 19
generally 90:21	51:10 53:5,25	gotshal's 124:6	113:20 126:14
123:15 127:22	54:6 56:5 57:19	gotten 69:12	grows 40:5
generis 83:11	58:7,11 66:7	73:13	guess 37:25
gerber 88:10	72:8 73:9 75:23	governance 80:2	76:14 107:21
getting 27:25	76:25 78:4,12	governing 58:20	108:15
29:23 41:11	99:9 105:4,7	87:7	gump 5:2,7,23
62:11,19 73:21	107:1,1 108:14	granite 94:11,24	19:8 60:16
78:10 99:18	109:25,25	grant 71:8 82:15	67:18 103:11,12
111:22	122:23 123:1	95:18 123:4	103:12,16
give 70:6 82:7	goldberg 18:11	128:20	105:18,21
105:21	18:16 108:1	granted 53:15	114:10 116:14
given 35:8 46:17	109:21,21,23	83:20 94:7	117:4 123:13
59:23 68:11,12	110:3,7,10,13	98:25 118:16	128:17
72:1 80:16,25	110:15 118:3,3	119:23 121:20	gump's 124:6
87:20 88:3,7	119:9,12,13	127:11	gurgel 24:6
90:14 109:4	120:19,22,24	granting 17:19	h
125:7 127:8	121:3,9,13	123:2	h 25:4 90:24
128:11	122:1,5,7,13,21	great 27:9 75:6	h.k. 115:11
glitch 65:25	122:25 123:9	91:13,15 96:23	hale 20:15 68:20
77:4 79:1,9	goldberger	127:9	half 56:1 72:25
global 34:1 82:2	108:1 114:18	greater 68:8	73:16 95:4
112:1 115:9	116:10 119:24	green 88:1	halperin 21:18
glueckstein 24:5	120:20	greenwich 20:17	21:23 49:20
gnats 127:13	goldman 65:22	griffith 22:10	50:3,3,6,6,19,25
go 27:5 33:23	65:24 66:3,14	27:16 30:8	hamroff 9:22,24
34:7 36:5,7,22	66:15,17,23,24	31:22,24 32:1,3	hand 30:24
38:8,14 40:2,2,9	good 27:2 33:13	32:9,18 36:22	31:23 38:18
53:24 58:6 59:5	38:15 42:1 45:2	38:1,16 40:17	41:1 43:17
59:13 60:21	50:14 60:15	40:18 41:14,17	handled 105:21
70:2 71:10 80:3	61:24 64:3	41:19 42:3 61:7	hanging 49:22
92:8 98:6	66:12 67:11,17	griffiths 36:12	hannon 23:20
109:25 111:9	92:3,23 100:12	gross 77:9,11,17	happen 53:6
128:18	100:18 115:5,16	grounds 73:8	54:25
goal 91:22	126:3,11	groundwork	happened 62:22
god 30:25 31:25	gorrepati 24:24	62:19 111:8	happening
goes 62:14	gotshal 3:2,7 4:2	group 19:2 35:7	44:11
101:23	4:7 18:3 27:10	66:14 82:9	happens 127:10
going 28:6 36:19	62:7 99:20	92:20,25 93:1,7	happiness 64:24
37:7 43:24 44:5	100:24 114:10	93:23 94:16,21	
44:7,8 45:8 51:4	123:13	94:25 95:15	
	l.	1. C -1+'	

[happy - honor] Page 20

	T.		
happy 27:5	hearing 3:1,10	hi 47:7	45:1,16,19 46:9
47:10 48:25	3:10,16 4:1,10	high 35:11	46:11,20 47:4,7
60:5,12 75:3	4:10,16 5:1,10	85:19 101:11	47:11,12,19,19
94:4 97:17	5:10,16,21 6:1,9	128:10,12	48:17 49:1,1,13
101:6 106:12	6:9,15 7:1,9,9	higher 84:3	49:18,18,20,25
111:24	7:15 8:1,10,10	106:16	50:3,23 51:21
harbor 83:13	8:16 9:1,9,9,15	highlight 64:5	51:23 52:16
hard 27:25 62:4	9:20 10:1,1,7,12	highly 53:13	53:4,7,22,23
62:14,23	10:18,18 11:1,6	121:24	54:18,22 56:12
harner 9:2	11:12,12,18	hilt 127:25	57:3,6,16 60:15
96:12,12,20,22	12:1,6,6,12,16	hindsight 125:9	60:19 61:3,13
97:3,25 98:6	12:16 13:1,11	hk 15:10 19:19	61:16 62:17
99:1,3,10 100:8	14:1,1,11,11,19	hmm 119:12	63:4,6,11,17
100:15 101:2	15:3,15,22 16:1	hoc 19:2 92:20	64:2,5,14,16,21
102:25,25 103:3	16:12,20 17:1,1	92:25 93:7,23	64:25 65:1 66:4
104:18,22,23,25	17:6,15 28:10	94:21	66:12 67:17
105:6 109:6,8	32:11 58:12	hock 9:22,24	68:19,25 70:15
109:11,14,19,25	71:2 80:4 97:19	hold 55:19	70:25 72:15
111:9,11 112:8	111:5 114:23	100:8 119:20	73:3 74:25 75:7
112:15,17,19	115:9,19 116:1	holder 33:7	76:2 77:2,24
113:3,7,12,15	118:18 119:20	holders 43:15	78:12 79:11
113:17 116:22	119:25 120:2,11	holding 27:3	91:13,23 92:3
117:5	120:13 121:24	holdings 1:8,12	92:23 93:4,24
harner's 110:17	hearings 27:4	3:14 4:14 5:14	94:4 95:24 96:9
harrison 24:25	heavily 43:24	6:4,13 7:13 8:14	97:12,17,18
hauer 5:2,7,23	85:22	9:13 10:5,21	98:24 100:2,6,9
19:8 60:16	heeled 84:18	11:15 12:9,19	100:18 102:25
headed 76:6	heilman 24:7	13:8 14:8 15:25	103:15,22
hear 27:7,8 28:2	held 15:22 105:3	17:15	104:10,13,21
36:10 41:23	105:13,16	holwell 18:11	105:15 106:21
60:12 66:10	106:14 107:7	hon 2:2	108:1,5,22
109:23 110:8	120:11	honeywell 25:22	109:8,11,21
115:3 116:10	helen 15:10	honor 27:7,9,13	110:15 111:12
117:22 118:1	hellman 22:25	28:1,10,22 29:4	112:9,20 113:4
120:9,18,21	help 30:25 31:25	30:14 31:17	113:11 114:2
121:1	111:20	32:9,18,20	115:3,5,7,15,16
heard 41:14	helpful 42:24	36:11 38:3,13	115:17 116:4,18
43:22 50:11	herculean 61:13	39:11 40:1,8,24	117:5,9,23
110:10,11 118:7	herrick 7:2,7	41:10,17,21	120:19 123:9
118:9 120:9	99:20,21	42:17 43:1 44:6	128:23 129:1
	L		

[honor's - interim] Page 21

honor's 68:23	70:24 71:1 80:9	indenture 16:2	insert 45:6
115:17	importantly	16:16	insider 62:20
hooper 24:8	39:23 83:19	independent	64:6 66:14
hoori 23:2	86:20	27:18 81:3,7	79:19
hope 40:16	impression 63:9	82:4	insiders 81:24
91:19 104:19	inappropriate	indicate 42:14	85:5
hopefully 40:5	85:6	indicated 46:13	insolvency
hoping 56:14	inclination 97:8	indiscernible	83:21,23,24
64:8	108:13	27:20 50:4	insolvent 81:2
hour's 56:1	include 41:1	96:12 99:3	instances 102:4
hourly 116:16	52:19 76:21	103:10 104:22	instrumental
hudson 21:11	83:16,18	104:25 108:11	29:23
huge 123:15	included 40:24	109:6,14,15	insurance 85:14
hundreds 63:2	45:21 46:17	111:17 112:4,19	85:16 126:18
hurt 33:22	67:19	113:3,12 114:6	127:7
hutcher 19:18	includes 66:14	117:21,23	insurers 57:10
hyde 17:25	83:4 87:17	120:22 122:7	int'l 90:22
131:3,8	including 38:20	128:23	integral 54:4
hyland 24:9	41:7 53:16 55:6	indulgence	56:8
i	71:13 81:16,17	42:17	intend 42:4
i.e. 60:10 70:5	83:12,18 85:12	industrial 15:10	intended 32:5
ii 8:6	87:23,25 90:24	industries 15:11	79:5
il 20:4	94:10,15 98:19	inevitable 83:22	intending 56:18
imagine 75:3	110:17 114:15	inevitably 83:19	intent 40:8
immediate	120:17 124:12	influx 61:4	45:15 83:25
54:13 61:4	128:1,13	inform 56:19	interest 35:7
immediately	incomplete	information	51:24 81:1 87:2
36:16	121:14	40:17 99:14	87:6 89:21 90:8
impact 93:18	inconsistencies	informed 56:15	90:11
impediments	100:11	56:16 62:9	interested 81:19
33:4	incorporated	63:15 81:7 82:7	interests 35:1
implementation	60:4 97:11,15	111:6 119:15	51:24 81:8,17
33:8	98:4	initial 29:12	85:25
implicated 89:9	incorporates	33:16	interim 3:1,3,10
importance	32:23	input 106:15	3:17 4:1,3,10,17
124:18	incremental	110:22	5:1,2,10,17,22
important 28:4	47:19	inquiry 125:4	6:1,2,4,9,16 7:1
28:9 42:8,19	incur 72:8	125:24	7:2,9,16 8:1,6
43:18 61:6 64:3	incurred 3:5 4:5	ins 43:2,9 44:10	8:10,17 9:1,4,9
45.10 01:0 04:3	41:2,6 93:7	46:4	9:16,20 10:1,8

[interim - know] Page 22

	1		1
10:12,13,18	56:23,25 57:3,7	joint 9:2 17:17	justifies 52:9
11:2,6,7,12,19	57:7,8,8,12	17:17 28:22	justin 2:5 25:6
33:15 34:14	59:23 69:6,20	31:5 33:24 35:2	25:25
97:6,9,14,19,20	82:17 88:12	78:19	k
101:21 108:20	94:22 102:11,12	joseph 15:9	kamlani 18:12
110:16,24	114:22 116:23	23:21,25	25:23
111:12	117:6 120:14	jr 22:12	kara 66:8
interpreting	124:19 128:13	judge 2:3 27:2	kay 5:24
120:12	issues 51:2	29:24 34:8	kayne 88:12
interrupt 99:4	54:20 56:13	53:12 58:24	keats 23:1
100:9	57:5 59:19 62:1	59:22 61:25,25	keep 49:22
introduce 30:5	62:10 63:1,4,8,8	62:13 69:15,21	120:6
inundated 42:7	80:17,25 81:12	70:3 72:18 73:1	keeping 62:8,9
invest 73:8	82:12 83:9,12	73:16 78:5	62:10
invested 63:21	83:14,18,20	85:13,13,20	keith 22:22
investigation	86:11,12 108:9	92:18 102:24	24:23 25:8
27:20 82:5	111:4 127:23	103:8 105:10	kelley 22:19
113:21	it'll 75:3 130:5	106:11,14 107:9	kellner 25:1
invoices 76:13	item 34:6	108:19 120:7	kenan 23:22
76:23	j	judges 29:24	kept 80:14
invoke 125:9	j 21:14 22:10,12	57:4	111:16 120:4
involve 83:20	23:13 24:9,18	judgment 68:8	kevin 24:20
involved 52:9,24	56:17	81:7,17 82:7	key 53:17 54:4
63:25 85:15	jack 24:21	126:23 127:1	kick 97:18
127:23 128:4	james 12:2,13	judice 55:13	kim 23:2
involvement	23:6	87:15	kingdom 114:11
30:1 91:21	january 8:5	judicial 84:11	114:19 118:1,4
96:14,17	jared 22:24	july 82:3 88:2	118:6,15 122:19
involving 51:3,3	jennifer 23:3	102:6	knauth 25:2
ip 105:17	jeopardize 36:3	jump 57:16	know 28:7,13,14
ira 13:6 14:7	job 62:8,12	june 10:20	29:22 30:1 33:8
19:14 60:16	100:12	11:14 12:8,18	34:4,10 36:9,15
ireland 21:19	joel 26:2	101:24 102:4	36:15,16 38:6,9
39:10 50:7,14	join 68:18,18	119:19 122:14	38:24 40:5
50:21 93:12	joinder 16:14	junior 83:5	45:16 47:18
ireland's 49:9	69:19	86:14	49:25 51:25
irelands 14:19	joined 27:11	jurisdiction	52:4,5,11,15,17
iridium 82:21	47:7	80:10 120:4,6	53:9,16,18,19
issue 35:14 51:5	''''	jurisdictions	54:5 56:4,16
55:8,9,12,21		15:17	31.3 33.4,10
	l .	l .	l .

[Know - nquidating]	I		1 age 23
57:18,21 58:7	16:14 17:11	lawyer 95:10	leslie 22:25 24:7
58:10,12,21	lack 68:12 87:20	125:5	letter 56:17
59:3,5,14 61:3	laid 81:9 94:24	layer 86:20	level 74:4 86:8
62:24 63:4,19	lajoie 23:3	layered 86:9	101:11 112:9
64:18,22 65:25	lamb 88:1	laying 119:10	levine 23:7
66:22 67:12,15	lambert 82:9	leadership	24:11
69:4,10,16 71:3	126:14	93:25 94:16	levinson 23:8
72:24 73:9,11	lampert 1:15	leading 94:11	lewis 20:8 66:1
73:14 74:23	17:16 20:16	leave 79:2	66:7,11,13
75:22 76:21,23	68:20	leaves 86:13	lexington 18:13
78:9,9 79:8	landlords 98:21	122:15 123:10	lexis 82:3 88:1
93:24 96:5	lane 69:15,21	leblanc 21:14	88:13
99:14 105:5	70:3 73:17	47:4,4,7 48:16	liability 88:9,9
106:17 107:9	105:10 120:8	48:25 49:5	lieberman 25:4
110:23 112:4	language 42:9	53:23 54:1,15	lien 46:15 119:4
114:19 116:9	45:3,20,20,21	54:18,18 55:3	light 35:21
117:1 123:23	46:17 47:23	55:15,21 56:12	59:13 60:22
129:6	48:17 50:15	56:12 57:3,6,14	63:17 82:23
knowing 31:7	91:25 92:2	58:6 59:3 75:7,7	87:22 90:15,20
32:5	lanier 23:5	75:11 77:24	100:16 124:7
knowledge	lapsing 57:21	78:2,16,18	126:16,22
81:21	large 98:13,17	leboeuf 87:25	127:18
known 115:8	123:16 128:16	led 94:18 125:23	liked 112:10
127:18	largely 79:17	127:19	likelihood 81:14
knows 28:8 73:3	89:17	ledanski 17:25	86:25
kookogey 23:3	largest 98:20	131:3,8	limitations 63:9
kreller 21:16	124:5	left 35:11 64:8	83:15 119:6
23:4 24:10 47:8	lascano 25:3	88:7	limited 14:19
krinsky 115:6	lastly 77:3	legal 83:12 89:1	15:12 114:10,21
kroll 8:2,7 13:16	late 73:5	89:20 125:5	115:23
102:14	laurel 23:19	126:11 131:20	line 27:18,23
kunal 18:12	law 12:13 73:9	legitimately	30:11 47:9
25:23	74:21 83:14	87:11	70:24 76:8,9
kusma 25:24	94:10 95:5	lenders 52:19	83:4 92:7
kyle 25:5	112:21 113:5	length 81:25	111:22,23
l	115:24 120:12	85:2,8	lines 55:7
l.p 14:16	126:23	lengthy 85:11	121:18
l.p. 13:15 14:6	lawlor 12:2,14	123:19	link 128:23
14:25 15:8 16:8	23:6	lens 63:18	liquidating
			29:11 33:9,15

34:25 35:1	litigations 33:20	looks 88:23	manges 3:2,7
39:24,25 41:1	67:8 83:9 84:2,5	looming 60:8	4:2,7 18:3 27:10
41:13 71:11	84:17 85:10	lord 22:1	mansheen 15:11
74:9,15,18,20	90:4,5	lot 28:12 30:15	march 9:21
76:7,14,15,17	little 45:17 64:8	50:9,9 59:18	margaret 25:16
76:22 77:8,10	86:14 91:6	62:23 99:19	mark 5:24 24:9
78:3,8,22,23	106:16	lots 61:22 63:8	market 125:24
79:3 80:2 90:2	llc 8:2,8 13:16	63:25	126:2,20 127:17
108:16	65:25 82:14,21	loudly 29:5	128:17,18
liquidation	95:16	love 75:2	master 65:23
35:24	llp 3:2,7 4:2,7	lower 67:25	66:3,15,15
liquidity 50:10	5:3,7,23 7:2,7	lowest 82:12	masters 87:23
list 65:18,18	9:3,22,24 10:13	lp 13:6 17:13	material 41:12
67:16,19	11:7 12:2 18:3	20:9	44:12 74:6,21
listed 16:20 17:2	18:11,18 19:1,8	luck 115:16	89:4 107:1
53:17 68:10	20:1,8 21:18	luftglass 23:9	mates 87:23
listening 27:24	22:1 88:1	m	math 103:6
42:13 115:18	107:17	m 12:13 13:15	matt 24:22
literally 28:13	local 15:17	16:9 18:23 20:6	matter 1:6 30:4
56:1 61:17 63:2	locate 30:16	22:18,23 23:11	34:12 70:1 73:9
104:20 111:12	locke 22:1	27:17	73:10,15,19
litigated 81:12	logic 35:19	ma'am 119:10	92:10,19 97:6
85:7 127:24	lonergan 25:5	maccrae 88:1	100:25 120:6
litigation 13:5	long 28:6 48:19	maher 12:2	matters 28:2,19
13:14 14:5,15	48:21 51:11	main 61:18	34:18 64:17
14:24 15:7 16:7	63:7	67:21 88:24	80:15 105:20
16:13 17:10	longer 68:17	major 85:4,14	120:2,5,16
28:25 33:14	72:20 84:23	majority 73:2	128:25
34:5 48:10,10	87:13	makers 66:20,21	matthew 24:6
60:17 63:15,19	longstanding	making 42:4	mcandrews
63:20 66:9	34:2	53:1 56:20 58:8	105:3,13,16
67:20 69:20	look 34:18 50:14	90:12 93:8	106:14 107:7
74:1,2 78:24	91:10,24 95:5,9	121:15	mcclamb 3:18
81:14,15 83:17	95:11 116:22	malloy 105:3,13	4:18 5:18 6:17
84:9,13,22 85:6	125:20 129:5,24	107:7	7:17 8:18 9:17
87:20 90:14	looked 63:18	man 116:18	10:9 11:3,20
105:20 106:5	117:6	117:9,13,15,17	mean 37:2 44:3
113:23 117:3	looking 85:3	117:19,21,23	49:6 52:13 53:1
128:5,13,17,18	104:2	117.17,21,23	53:6 54:8,12
			64:17 68:3
		ral Salutions	I.

[mean - motion] Page 25

77:10 97:13	michael 12:13	missing 97:10	44:19 45:19
104:20 105:4	23:1,11 24:18	mm 119:12	46:9
116:23 117:1	25:13	modification	morgan 17:12
	mien 15:11	44:12 51:15	19:6 20:8 23:10
meaning 50:16 67:20	might've 68:7	70:12 71:4,20	
	milbank 21:9	71:25 73:21	66:1,7,11,13
meaningful 61:10 72:6 74:7	47:5 54:19 75:8		92:23,24 95:24
		79:25 88:16,19	96:3,9
means 37:21 40:9 43:18	million 29:7,12 29:13 33:9,16	88:25 89:5,7,11 90:14	morning 27:2 27:11,13,14
			28:18 37:3
mechanical	34:17,25 35:13	modifications	
29:20	35:16 36:14	17:21 89:18,24	38:15 42:1
mechanics 54:6	37:8,14 38:9,17	90:18 91:1,5	46:18 51:14,17
mechanism	38:23,25 39:12	modified 80:5	60:7,16 66:12
76:20 87:4	40:1,2,2,15,15	89:13 90:16	67:17 92:23
mediated 85:22	43:17,22 44:21	91:7	114:23 115:5
mediation 85:11 mediator 85:14	46:16 47:12,19	modifies 48:9	morritt 9:22,24 motion 5:21
	49:8 50:20 52:1	modify 42:22	
mediators 29:23	52:14,18 56:2	43:24	13:1,1,12 14:1
61:25 85:12,17	61:4 64:12	moment 52:5	14:11,19,20
91:21	74:13 76:18	64:15 97:25	15:3,15,17,20
meet 121:2	83:5 84:5	101:8	16:1,3,12,20,20
mega 73:3 melanie 25:20	114:17 117:3	monetized 40:7	17:1,1,6,17,17
	millions 63:5	monetizing	28:23 30:4,6
melissa 20:13	mind 40:9 116:6	62:20	31:6,8,13 32:4,4
25:7 26:1 66:13	129:14	money 41:8	32:6,11,14 34:1
melnick 29:24	mine 85:12	47:21 73:20	34:13,20 36:6
61:25	mineola 131:23	81:1 83:3	60:20 61:3 63:3
members 27:23	mingle 15:11	116:20 121:23	64:12 67:5,6,24
128:1	minimis 106:7	monthly 8:1,4	68:14 70:12
mention 29:22	minor 89:1,19	75:1,8,17,22	71:2,4 77:23
mentioned	89:22 90:21	76:13,23	78:20 79:15
65:12 112:14	91:1	months 36:2	80:5,6,11 84:11
menu 58:21	minus 39:1	72:25,25 73:16	84:12,24 88:15
merely 103:23	minutes 36:21	monumental	88:18,23 90:19
merit 29:2	42:17 44:22	61:5	93:11 118:7,12
merits 56:6	misconstrued	morabido 93:2	119:16,21,22
59:24 60:24	48:20	morabito 21:7	120:10,22 121:5
63:14 65:9 83:8	missed 59:17	41:21,21,25	121:15,16 122:3
84:6	64:23 106:9	42:2 43:1,7,10	122:14,21 123:2
		43:12 44:14,17	

	T.		
motions 69:18	need 36:21	118:5	noting 92:13
69:23 80:20	50:12 56:25	ninth 9:2	notion 90:19
83:19 120:12	58:6 65:9 69:15	nits 127:13	notwithstanding
121:4,6	74:3 82:4 95:4	noah 23:7 24:11	79:6 80:15
movant 34:1	95:18 96:4 97:4	non 35:5 43:2,8	nova 24:14
movants 67:10	105:10 113:25	43:23 46:4	november 8:6
move 115:16	115:13,21	66:13 67:2	118:17,19
moving 93:9	117:20,22	68:16,17,21	119:25 121:9
118:5	119:16 120:13	71:16 80:24	nuevo 82:13
mtc 88:10,12	121:8,11,20	87:17,18 88:4	number 15:15
mulder 12:13	123:24 126:3	116:2	38:4 44:1,2 65:3
23:11	128:13	nonmaterial	70:20 92:6
multi 17:13 20:9	needed 68:7	17:20	103:13,14
30:2 128:13	116:20	normal 80:22	127:23
multiparty	needs 51:13	94:17 126:22	numbers 84:7
128:12	67:14 77:11,20	north 30:19	103:10 118:16
multiple 96:7	91:6	note 32:21 46:15	numerous 61:17
123:17	negligence 77:9	78:4 82:23	nw 21:4
murphy 22:11	77:11,17	95:15 98:10,12	ny 1:20 18:6,14
23:12 27:17	negotiated	118:13 129:1,22	18:21 19:4,12
murray 15:12	43:25 99:13	noted 47:20	19:21 20:11
mutual 88:5,12	103:19 104:3	84:9 87:16 91:1	21:12,21 22:5
n	negotiating	93:5 97:18,23	131:23
n 12:2,13 18:1	65:10	98:7,11 121:23	0
27:1 131:1	negotiation	127:13	o 2:1 27:1 131:1
name 30:17	53:18 91:20	notice 3:10 4:10	o'donnell 23:14
natan 24:16	negotiations	5:10 6:9 7:9	o'neal 23:15
national 16:2,9	33:13 59:17	8:10 9:9 10:1,18	object 53:14
16:15 20:2	85:9 94:2 111:2	11:12 12:6,16	118:19 122:11
nature 81:22	128:15	14:1,11 51:11	objected 37:25
85:8 111:25	neither 128:2	51:13,16,19,22	73:6 80:21
112:1,11 122:19	nelson 23:13	52:10,17 53:9	objecting 71:12
124:11,19	net 102:7	53:11,19 56:1,2	108:17,17 118:8
nearly 86:13	never 107:19	56:4 57:8 59:7,8	objection 14:19
necessarily	116:14,18,19,21	60:3 68:2,2,3,6	14:20 15:3,15
75:11	new 1:2 18:6,14	68:12 82:24	16:1,1,12,15
necessary 3:5	18:21 19:4,12	84:11 87:7,10	53:14 54:22
4:5 74:20 91:4	19:21 20:11,18	87:20 92:14,15	55:24 58:14
124:14 125:2,13	20:18 21:12,21	noticed 67:24	68:12 69:2 72:9
127.17 123.2,13	22:5 42:9 60:7		00.12 09.2 72.9
	22.3 42.9 00.7		

[]	-71		- 181 - 1
73:5 87:21	occurrence 33:2	110:7,10,13,14	37:3 41:12
110:5,6 114:11	occurring 33:5	112:23 114:8	42:10,21 43:13
114:11,21	36:4 54:9	115:22 116:9,22	44:25 45:6,7,9,9
115:23 116:7	occurs 82:16	117:18,25,25	45:23,25 46:14
118:5,12,14,16	october 62:17	118:11 122:13	46:18 49:10,10
118:18,19 119:9	officers 81:23	123:10 130:6	51:3 52:13
120:11,24 121:3	offices 12:13	old 131:21	53:10 54:3
121:13 122:13	61:24	once 56:24	56:10 57:17,23
129:16,19	official 5:3 6:3	59:23	59:5 60:4 65:4
objectionable	7:3 13:1,7,12	onerous 75:24	67:4,5,24 68:13
106:13	14:1,8,11,20	ones 97:15	68:23,24 69:3,8
objections 31:13	15:3 16:3,12	110:19 124:6	70:1,2,5,7 73:18
59:9 71:13	17:6,18 19:9	130:5	75:1 79:7 80:13
72:21 73:2,3,4	60:18 79:15	open 28:25	91:6,10,14
82:24 97:22	officially 94:20	40:21	92:16 93:5,20
110:11 115:2	oh 30:21 75:10	operated 74:4	95:25 97:1 98:5
118:1 123:12	129:6	98:20	99:11,15 100:21
127:10	okay 27:2,7,21	operating 82:21	101:9,14,16
objective 125:4	30:10,13,23	opinion 59:21	104:1,12 109:7
obligated 43:21	31:2,12 32:2,10	82:5	118:17 119:15
obligation 74:17	32:19 37:2	opposed 51:18	119:18 120:11
obligations	38:12 39:13	54:24,25 84:25	120:13,14
39:21 63:23	41:14,18,25	89:22	121:12 123:2
obtain 126:24	43:1 45:19 46:8	opt 38:25 43:2,2	128:24 129:21
obtained 81:23	46:10,23 48:24	43:8,9,22,23	129:24 130:1,2
128:6	49:4 50:2,24	44:2,3,9,10,20	130:4
obvious 48:4	51:1 55:2 57:2	46:4,4,5 115:12	ordered 71:19
obviously 44:9	57:15 59:1,7	116:3,6	71:22 72:2
46:5 54:22	60:15 65:7 66:5	opted 66:16	orders 52:16
56:21 61:21	66:10 67:1,22	option 128:2	58:19 87:7
62:13 70:24	69:5 70:23 71:1	oracle 92:12	101:22 110:19
126:12	73:23,23 76:3	oral 63:7 87:16	129:5
occur 28:21	77:3,22 78:16	89:19	ordinary 41:7
29:16,18 36:7	78:18,19 79:12	orally 42:15	orient 16:18
45:12 60:11	91:15,24 94:8	order 13:2,13	114:11,20,20
84:20	97:3,13 100:14	14:2,12,21 15:4	115:7,11
occurred 37:20	101:1 102:8	15:21 16:4,13	original 42:20
43:20 86:19	103:2,4,17	17:7,19 27:5	67:20 119:24
	, - ,	I .	
90:6	104:14 105:1	28:17 32:21	originally

			_
ormand 25:6,25	pages 63:2	particular 41:11	pause 62:6 65:5
oster 126:5	paid 37:24	85:17,20 119:9	pay 39:1,14,16
127:2,11	39:17 43:5 44:4	125:16	39:18 41:4 61:8
otero 15:25	44:7,10,22,23	particularly	84:1
ought 70:2	45:13 46:2,3,6	85:23	paying 64:10
outcome 37:17	50:17 52:7	parties 28:11	payment 29:13
45:5 47:22 57:1	76:17 83:3,6	30:2 35:24	35:4,8,12,13,16
61:5,13,15	86:6,13,16,18	51:24 52:8,11	35:21,22 36:4,7
62:16 63:13	95:4 98:22	52:18,22,23	36:14,17,24
64:3 90:14	99:19,22,25	61:18 65:10,18	38:7,21 46:15
outcomes 62:14	100:1,3,11	67:2,9,19 68:17	51:8 57:9 93:14
63:20	101:13,22 102:6	68:17 69:1,17	93:17,19 98:12
outline 33:4	102:7 115:16,25	69:19 74:6	114:24,24 116:2
outs 38:25 43:2	116:8	78:24 79:4 81:1	116:2
43:8,23 44:2,3,9	pail 11:15	81:19 82:7,25	payments 36:3
44:20 46:4,5	paper 60:22	85:4 87:11,17	36:25 37:8
116:6	papers 93:24	87:18 88:3,4,6,7	38:10 41:2 44:8
outside 66:4	94:5	89:2,21 98:22	45:10 53:1
outstanding	parade 64:18	106:10 109:4	pbg 34:21
39:7 56:14	paragraph	128:1,8	pbgc 22:2 28:19
overall 106:8	37:11 45:3,23	partly 91:8	29:12 32:24
overruling	71:13 108:13	116:19	33:6,12 34:14
123:12	park 19:11	partner 27:11	34:19,20 35:9
oversee 90:3	20:10	62:12 106:22	36:16 37:17
oversight 74:4	part 34:15,21	partners 17:13	40:2,13 41:9
74:10 90:9	38:24 41:9	20:9 27:17	44:6,24 45:4,10
owe 48:18	42:20 46:21,23	94:11	51:3,5 52:1 61:1
owed 15:19	53:18 56:8	party 33:16,17	61:11 70:16,23
38:23 39:15	59:12 64:9	33:25 84:12	74:11,12 75:9
48:11,22	80:16,22 105:23	89:5 98:10	75:20 76:14
owen 25:19	114:3 128:11	pass 79:10	78:11 80:6 83:4
owner 127:25	partial 38:21	passed 44:16	90:13
p	participate	path 29:6 78:11	pbgc's 29:13
p 17:12 18:1,1	66:16	78:13	35:7 48:1 51:7
18:16 19:6 23:8	participating	patrick 22:12	pearl 115:9
25:3,13 27:1	65:19,24 66:2,3	30:7,18	peck 29:24
page 76:11,15	66:25 67:2	paul 9:2 22:14	61:25 85:13
77:5 88:2	participation	23:22 27:17	pending 33:11
	66:22	96:12 99:20,23	37:17 45:5
		102:25 113:20	48:13 69:11
	-	l	1

	-		
73:5 108:7	person 76:24	45:24 46:6 48:7	111:10 112:18
pension 87:23	personal 61:20	49:15 51:15	115:15,21,23
people 28:14	pertaining	53:4,10 57:19	123:6
43:25 55:12	83:14,21 89:10	61:10 62:18	pointed 45:16
57:4 59:10	peter 25:11	70:13 71:4,5,12	107:11,11
61:15 64:8,10	petition 98:18	71:21 72:1	points 29:2 42:6
69:17,21,25	118:25 119:1,8	73:20 78:10,23	47:18 60:7
70:6 91:15 92:7	pettit 25:7 26:1	80:1,3,3,12,14	71:10 74:8
96:7 99:15	pharmaceuticals	82:17 86:8	88:24
117:2	94:13,25	87:23 88:16,18	polkowitz 5:25
people's 62:4	phase 113:21,21	88:19,20,24	23:18
percent 39:4	113:25 114:3	89:1,4,8,12	portion 64:11
43:4 71:3	115:17	90:20 91:1,7	85:15 87:19
125:14	philip 5:8 20:20	planning 34:11	107:21 128:16
perfect 70:19	22:21 25:17	play 94:16	position 32:13
125:9	68:19	played 93:25	35:20 36:23
perfectly 113:14	picked 127:14	pleading 110:12	41:16
performance	127:15	pleadings 94:15	possible 28:9
80:13	pickering 20:15	please 30:24	29:17 52:17
performed	68:20	31:23 64:20	post 48:1 90:2
16:22 17:3	pictures 30:15	109:23	98:18 110:19
90:10,10 113:16	pierce 23:17	pleased 47:16	119:1
113:18 121:6	pilots 87:23	pleasure 62:7	potential 33:1
122:2,9,22	pip 76:11	plural 119:5	48:12 57:13
124:17 125:5	place 22:3 51:11	plus 38:24 41:5	83:15 90:17
perio 23:16	places 99:18	111:12	116:13
period 3:6 4:6	plains 1:20	pm 130:9	poured 63:6
5:5 6:6 7:6 8:5,6	15:23	podium 34:9	power 88:17
9:5,21,23 10:15	plaintiff 81:2	60:14	powers 76:6
11:9 56:23 69:1	plaintiffs 1:13	point 28:4,8,14	practical 69:6
72:20,24 87:10	67:10 69:7,15	29:24 34:7,8	70:1 126:9
87:12,14 97:20	69:24 70:6	36:10 37:5,19	practice 30:1
101:23 102:4	plan 17:20,22	42:18 44:6 45:2	practitioners
122:11,11	28:7,7 29:6 33:5	59:17 68:15	124:22 125:22
periodically	33:9 35:12 36:4	69:14 71:6,12	pre 35:2 44:10
35:23 114:14	36:5 39:24 40:9	78:2,14,21	46:4
permission	40:11 42:8,19	82:13 87:19	preceded 110:17
73:21	42:20,23 43:4	89:16 94:1	128:7
permitted 41:15	43:13,13,14,25	100:17 102:15	precipice 28:5
	44:12 45:7,9,21	103:7 107:25	
		1	1

precise 50:12	priority 16:21	131:4	proof 122:10
preconfirmation	17:2 29:10,13	proceeds 29:17	properly 123:19
82:20	29:14 33:21	29:20 34:25	property 15:18
preference 73:7	34:15,24 35:5,5	38:18 43:19	proponent
107:24	35:6,25 36:18	46:3 55:1 80:8	88:18
prejudice	39:16,20 40:10	process 30:4	proportion 68:9
107:15	45:13 46:6 48:1	56:5 59:12,13	68:11
preliminary	61:11 71:14,15	72:9 80:23	proportionate
111:15	71:15,16 82:19	106:18 109:15	88:8
premises 36:6	83:5 93:6	110:22 111:9	proposal 60:3
prepare 74:18	114:25 118:22	produced 63:5	proposed 34:13
prepared 93:19	118:24 119:7	product 81:25	35:8,14,15,19
prepetition	privilege 129:1	85:1,11	37:3 38:19
52:19	pro 119:14,25	products 82:2	42:10 45:22
present 22:9	121:17	professional 3:1	46:14,18 48:7
29:1 30:12	probability	3:4 4:1,4 5:1 6:1	67:5,23 68:13
81:12	81:11 83:8 84:8	7:1 9:1,4,20	71:9,20 81:18
presentment	probably 53:25	10:12 11:6 12:1	82:16,18 84:23
53:11 59:8	59:22 72:5 73:2	12:12 41:7	87:1,8 89:24
92:10,14	100:12,24	62:10 125:14	92:16 93:20
preserved 79:6	107:22 111:24	professionals	98:5 99:10
preside 28:10,10	112:10	63:16 70:21	104:12
press 78:12	problem 45:18	76:12 84:14,15	proposition
presume 126:8	58:9 102:23	97:25 98:8	95:14
pretrial 69:16	124:19	101:25 103:18	pros 128:3
pretty 55:17	procedural	103:20 107:6,7	prosecuting
prevent 54:6	68:16	107:8 125:25	108:6
previous 42:23	procedure 53:10	profit 65:22	prospect 72:9
previously	73:19 89:23	66:3	protect 87:5
32:23 118:14	procedures	program 38:22	91:17
126:1	51:12 52:13	94:3	protected 58:2
primary 90:5	78:5	progress 15:13	protecting 116:6
primed 86:23	proceeding	projected	protection 42:10
prior 41:2 44:23	17:15 51:18	125:18	49:11,17
49:10 52:16	61:19 65:16	projections	protective 81:3
57:20 58:8 87:7	79:22 106:24	35:21 38:5	protracted
93:15 103:8	113:23	promptly 49:21	81:15 84:10
119:11	proceedings	87:13 91:14	proven 84:16
priorities 47:24	65:14 79:19,21	95:22 130:5	provide 41:12
72:13	80:20 130:8		61:6,10 75:4
		ral Salutions	

[provide - received]

Page	3	1
1 ago	J	J

50 5 10 5 22	7 70 0 /		II 00.00
78:7 106:22	pursued 79:24	quite 33:4	really 29:20
108:15	86:17 90:5	123:19,21	50:7 51:10,16
provided 51:13	128:9	quo 55:15	51:17 58:3
78:23 91:9	pursuing 111:2	quoting 82:14	59:12 60:1
103:5 109:1	115:1,23 116:7	r	61:13 62:16
provider 10:14	128:1	r 2:1 18:1 22:24	68:15 69:6
11:8	pursuit 79:7	23:4 27:1 131:1	71:25 84:15
provides 34:13	128:10	rachel 22:18	92:10 107:19
34:16 43:14	push 69:10	raise 30:23	120:16 123:11
51:8 71:12	pushing 29:21	31:23 51:5 74:7	123:12
proving 126:17	put 40:3 69:8	78:20 83:11	reason 49:22
provision 43:7	70:7 108:24	111:4 129:9	55:11 58:9 73:1
43:13 53:10	117:7 120:16	raised 42:6 45:1	90:7,13,16,18
67:3 76:12 77:1	q	47:14 78:14	121:22 123:6,7
77:5		93:14 129:14	reasonable
provisions	quality 69:20	73:14 129:14 raises 35:14	35:21 76:16
42:18 51:19,22	85:18,19	raises 33:14 ran 116:19	85:25 87:2,22
67:7 109:2	quantum 41:11		93:7 95:9 96:13
proviso 108:15	quarropas 1:19	range 82:13	96:16 98:9
prudential	question 40:19	rates 124:13	114:24 124:9,17
126:18 127:6	41:18,19 45:10	127:17 128:18	124:20 125:5,8
public 15:16	51:10 52:25	ray 18:9 27:10	125:15 127:6
64:7,11 65:15	57:8 60:2 65:20	raynor 22:7	reasonableness
65:19,21,23	66:6 67:3,11	70:15,16,18,25	82:6,13 95:3,7
66:9 67:20	70:10 103:5	raytech 126:6	95:20 106:3,6
68:22 79:20	105:2 107:22	127:7	126:17
87:19 108:6	108:4 116:10	rdd 1:3,4 15:24	reasonably
pueblo 82:13	127:15	17:15	76:13 125:1,18
punt 66:7	questions 29:1	reach 62:1	reasons 55:22
purcell 15:12	31:16 32:10,14	111:3	126:4
purpose 48:15	34:8 42:7 44:2	reached 33:14	reath 19:1 92:24
purposes 59:12	47:11,11 48:25	42:13 55:5,12	rebuttal 126:9
pursuant 13:3	61:8 64:25 65:5	98:1,4 111:19	recall 52:17
14:3,13,21 15:4	65:7,11,16	112:12 127:21	80:16
15:21 16:4 17:7	70:10 78:5 94:4	read 59:21 63:2	receipt 29:16
38:21 39:23	98:24 109:24	69:5,17 116:15	receive 76:16
45:6,9 46:6	110:9	121:17	121:24
49:15	quick 36:11	ready 39:16	received 38:21
pursue 78:24	quinn 21:1	real 86:24	38:24,24 65:17
84:17	41:21	105:17 113:19	99:10,11 102:4
OT.1 /			77.10,11 102.4

	-		_
recognize 92:25	116:12	12:18 13:15	90:12 128:8
recognized 94:1	referred 32:23	14:6,16,25 15:8	remand 88:12
110:18	61:15	16:8,16 17:3,11	remarks 64:14
recognizes 72:1	referring 100:20	17:20 31:7 34:6	remember
recognizing	101:3	51:2,4 65:17	67:12
73:25	reflect 80:5	66:24 67:2	reminder 43:3
recollection	89:24 91:7	68:16 70:11,11	remiss 64:15
34:19	102:22	79:23 127:25	removal 77:4
reconsideration	reflected 47:17	128:4,5	rendered 3:4
120:13 121:19	55:5	relates 42:19	4:4 5:5 7:5 8:3
122:17	reflecting	relating 28:19	9:5 10:14 11:8
record 27:9	101:19	80:1	124:16 125:9
32:21 60:17,22	reflects 103:19	relationships	reorganization
83:7 91:17,20	111:1	89:2,20	62:19 80:23
105:16 109:3	regard 83:17	relator 14:19	rep 41:22 52:8
113:13 114:13	84:5	21:19 39:11	90:24
129:23 131:4	regarding 3:17	49:7 50:6,14	repeat 60:21,24
records 95:2,13	4:17 5:17,22	93:12	115:13
95:22 96:3,6,10	6:16 7:16 8:17	release 33:18	report 3:16,16
129:19 130:3	9:16 10:8 11:2	66:23	4:16,16 5:16,17
recovered 34:25	11:19 13:11	releases 81:23	6:15,16 7:15,16
recovery 61:10	33:24 51:12	85:6,7	8:16,17 9:15,16
86:14	80:25 98:1,12	relevant 82:21	10:7,8 11:1,2,18
redact 96:3	regardless 78:8	124:12	11:19 74:23
reduce 126:4	reimburse 93:6	relief 17:20 31:7	75:25 97:23
127:22	reimbursement	49:7 71:8 79:16	reporting 48:22
reduced 101:18	3:5,11 4:5,11	93:12 94:6	74:16 75:1,2,8,8
101:19 103:14	5:5,11 6:5,10	119:15 121:19	75:19,22 76:19
114:16	7:5,10 8:4,11	123:3	reports 74:19
reduction 73:13	9:5,10 10:2,19	relieves 50:9	75:23 111:15
101:19 103:8	11:13 12:7,17	108:14	represent 64:3
126:25	reimer 21:15	rely 94:5 123:20	representative
reductions 98:6	47:8	relying 52:15	21:3 52:20 93:3
99:13 103:19	relate 65:8	54:21	94:19 116:5,12
104:5,7 128:11	related 3:12	remain 40:25	representative's
refer 118:12	4:12 5:12,16,23	106:10	5:22
reference	6:11,15 7:11,15	remained 56:14	representatives
123:25	8:12,16 9:11,15	remaining 33:7	35:3
referenced	10:3,7,20 11:1	40:6,12 43:21	represented
32:22 65:17	11:14,18 12:8	69:16 80:9	27:18 35:2 93:2
	L		l .

115:10	reserve 29:10	response 5:21	107:20 110:1
representing	36:19 37:15	17:6	119:22 120:10
85:2 115:9	39:3,6,20,23	responses 15:24	126:22 130:4
represents	40:20 50:13,22	responsibilities	reviewed 30:10
88:25 93:2	73:4 114:24	126:12	44:25 97:13,14
request 55:18	reserved 29:9	responsibility	105:24 106:6
98:23 99:12	37:25 50:21	82:11	118:11 124:7
101:4	80:12 93:17	rest 92:9	reviewing 81:22
requested 49:7	110:20,25	restricted 50:16	113:5 127:9
89:18 93:12	reserves 32:13	restructuring	revised 32:21
99:19,22 100:5	40:13 50:13	8:2,7 13:16	91:6,10,14
101:13,15,16,18	reserving 38:8	113:19	93:21 128:21
102:20,22 103:7	40:11	result 33:3	revision 34:13
103:12,19,23	resolicitation	83:24 101:21	revote 90:20
104:3,6,12	90:17	128:4	richard 25:19
requests 106:14	resolute 108:9	results 61:16	right 30:23
require 58:21	resolution 47:16	retail 61:16	31:23 34:23
84:6 96:16,25	47:20 51:6,8,23	retailers 98:21	35:20 37:12,13
125:14	55:18 56:22	retain 47:25	39:13 42:24
required 40:14	62:11,18 111:19	128:13	43:6,11,12
44:4 91:2 97:1	resolutions 98:4	retained 48:19	44:18 47:3
101:12 129:12	resolve 28:24	92:11 112:22	48:16 49:6,14
requirement	47:14 49:2	113:8,22	49:16 50:2 51:1
89:8,9,9 91:8	59:22 65:13,14	retaining 48:5	52:2,22 53:8
requirements	92:1	retains 78:22	57:22 58:5,18
53:20 94:24	resolved 40:12	retention 105:23	59:4,15 67:1,22
requires 40:11	56:18 64:9 80:7	105:25 106:5	75:10 78:13,21
96:14 101:13	123:23	126:10	90:20 91:25
requisite 83:25	resolves 32:24	retired 120:4	96:11,24 100:20
reservation 5:22	34:2 64:6	retiree 39:15	102:2,13 103:21
33:1 47:14 49:2	respect 43:2	retirees 129:9	103:21 105:1
49:9 50:8 54:2	45:2 46:5 48:6	retirement	106:1,4 107:1
54:21,23 55:24	77:16 80:14	80:16 129:3	107:13 108:10
91:16 93:13	82:20 83:16	return 41:12	108:18 109:20
116:11	86:2 93:13 94:2	review 3:17 4:17	112:2,7,8,16,17
reservations	105:22 114:15	5:17 6:16 7:16	112:25 113:6
31:14 42:16	respects 74:21	8:17 9:16 10:8	114:2,7,8 116:9
58:4 59:11 60:9	83:11 99:13	11:2,19 31:15	117:8,11,25
80:7 82:25	responding	74:2 95:21 97:2	122:23 123:10
98:11	121:14	105:11 106:3,12	

[rights - section] Page 34

minhta 5:22	100.11	224262 04:22	111.20.25
rights 5:22	run 122:11	satisfies 94:23	111:20,25
31:14 33:1	running 86:22	satisfy 29:8	128:22
42:16,23 47:15	ryan 22:13	38:20 39:3	schrock's 60:3
48:22 49:2,11	ryanne 23:16	41:12 96:5	60:23
50:8 51:6 54:2	S	satisfying 40:10	schwartzberg
54:21,23 60:9	s 3:12 4:12 5:12	save 73:20	23:22
73:4,12 74:6	5:24 6:11 7:11	saw 63:13 75:5	scott 23:9
80:7,25 82:25	8:12 9:12 10:4	saying 50:7	screen 30:16
89:3 93:13	10:20 11:14	59:12 79:14	31:23 59:16
98:11 110:20,24	12:8,18 13:15	says 74:17 76:15	scurria 25:10
116:11	14:7,7,17,25	77:6,8 95:6	sdny 82:9
risius 105:13,17	15:9,15 16:8,17	99:21,22,25	se 119:14,25
105:18 106:19	17:12 18:1	scenes 53:19	121:17
risk 84:16 86:22	19:14 20:3 23:3	110:23	sean 23:14,15
road 131:21	27:1	schedule 120:1	sears 1:8,12
robert 2:2 22:15	s.d.n.y 125:11	scheduled 120:7	3:14 4:14 5:14
25:22	s.d.n.y. 82:3	scheduling 70:3	6:4,13 7:13 8:14
rofland 25:8	88:2 90:23	scheler 25:9	9:13 10:5,21
roglen 23:19	94:12,14 95:1	scheme 46:6	11:15 12:9,19
role 88:3 93:25	125:11 126:15	82:18,19	13:8 14:8 15:25
94:10,16,17	sachs 65:22,24	schrock 18:9	17:15 27:3
106:23	66:3,14,15,17	27:6,9,10,15,22	66:13 80:10
ronalds 23:20	66:23,24	30:12 31:12,21	second 33:11
room 1:19	safe 83:13	32:20 34:23	34:6 35:18
ross 24:8 105:13		36:11 37:4,7,10	46:15 47:22
roughly 29:16	safely 38:7 safer 53:15	37:13,16,22	55:9,9,10 56:15
29:19 38:22		38:3 46:20,25	56:17,19 57:3,5
rounding	sale 128:1,4	47:2,9 49:12,15	57:6 62:6 67:25
104:16	sales 15:17	49:18,24 50:22	71:12 87:9,22
rule 55:11	samil 15:12 samuel 23:24	53:7 57:13,16	89:5 100:9
109:16 111:17		57:23,25 58:2,5	secondly 69:10
113:20 119:16	sara 19:16 62:12	58:15,18 59:2	70:1 71:11
119:16,23,23	67:17 103:15	59:15 60:5,14	79:20,23
rules 13:4 14:4	108:5	61:21 62:8	section 15:5
14:14,23 15:6	sarachek 15:9	67:11 75:16,18	17:8 45:5,22
16:6 17:9	23:21	76:1 77:1,21	47:20 48:9
119:17,21	satisfaction	79:11,13 87:6	74:15 76:6
ruling 87:12,13	15:19	91:13,18,22	83:13 88:16
88:11 119:11	satisfied 89:16	92:3 97:12,17	89:8,11,15 95:8
	98:18 125:15	99:6,8,10,16	113:8 118:23,24
	Varitant I ad		

[section - shaw] Page 35

123:25 124:8,23	self 114:13	sets 29:6 79:18	82:12,16,20,25
124:23 125:14	semiannual	80:8	83:1,3,6 84:3,4
sections 13:3	74:23 75:21,25	setting 80:25	84:6,24 85:1,4
14:3,13,22	send 96:10	128:15	85:11,15,22,25
15:21 16:5	sends 95:22	settle 78:8	86:2,4,11,12,16
89:13 92:21	senior 35:10,22	settled 43:15	86:24 87:1,8,16
secured 29:9	36:5,8,20,25	51:7 69:18 90:4	90:15,19 92:12
33:21 35:6,25	86:13,21	settlement 17:19	92:13 109:2
36:17 37:23	sense 56:3,3	28:19 29:3,6,17	111:25 112:1,11
39:6 40:10	58:1 74:5,12	29:19 31:7 32:4	130:1
45:13 61:9	117:24	32:23 33:3,6,6,8	settlement's
71:14 86:5	sent 101:3	33:14,23,24	29:4 82:18
114:25 118:21	103:23	34:1,16,21	settlements
122:18	separate 79:18	35:13,19 36:16	28:24 51:12,19
securing 119:4	89:7	37:1,18 38:5,17	58:20 61:1,2
see 30:21 31:22	separately 34:15	39:15 40:8	62:15 80:22
41:25 50:15	130:2	41:10 42:6,22	81:11 87:8
53:8 54:17	september 9:21	43:19,23 45:5	124:1
59:18 81:3 82:2	55:10 131:25	45:21,22,25	settling 65:15,18
82:8,12,21 88:9	serious 128:5	46:2,15,21,24	68:9,11,16,17
90:21 94:12	seritage 83:17	47:10 48:4 50:9	68:22 87:17,18
95:14,15 125:6	served 67:7	51:16 52:6,12	88:3,4,6,7
125:10 126:4	71:17 74:9 94:1	52:14 54:3,5,10	seventh 7:2
127:2,6	service 13:11	54:24,25 55:5	severance 39:16
seek 58:6 79:25	64:21 67:12,13	55:19 56:2,2,6,7	seyfarth 20:1
107:15	67:19 121:15	56:9,9,10,11,16	shamah 24:12
seekers 114:12	124:15 125:8,16	56:24 57:9,10	shanghai 15:12
114:19 118:1,4	services 3:4 4:4	57:17,18 58:10	share 64:10
118:6,15 122:19	5:4 7:5 8:3 9:4	58:22 59:11,25	68:10,11 88:8
seeking 42:22	10:14,14 11:8,8	60:9,20,23,25	106:12
55:6,25 89:5	76:17 124:11,13	61:3 64:1,2,5,10	shareholder
93:5 121:18,19	124:13,14,17,25	64:11 65:5,8,12	64:7,11 65:16
122:1,1,17,17	125:1,4 126:3	66:16,25 68:8	65:19,22,23
122:21	sess 90:25	69:7 70:11,14	66:9 67:20
seeks 70:12 71:4	set 46:6 49:8	70:19,22 71:2	68:22 79:20
79:16 88:16	62:19 70:10,22	78:5 79:17,17	87:19 108:7
119:15	78:25 105:9	79:24 80:4,5,8	sharing 65:22
seen 30:1 51:13	119:6 124:7	80:11,15 81:6,7	66:3
62:13 105:19	129:10	81:13,15,18,19	shaw 20:1
112:10		81:22,25 82:6,8	

[short - steps] Page 36

short 87:10,12	sits 122:12	128:21 129:16	star 23:24
87:14 98:15	sitting 31:8 32:7	sounds 103:1	start 50:7 54:6
	situation 81:2	southern 1:2	64:18
shortchanges 86:17	six 72:25	spahr 9:3 18:18	started 28:1
shortened 51:22	size 58:21	100:19 103:5	61:14
shortening 52:10	skilled 124:21	speak 98:11	state 15:16
	125:22	108:3 115:20	109:3 125:8,24
shoulder 85:3	skip 97:9	120:19	stated 31:12
show 76:24	skyline 30:19	speaking 68:25	34:20 44:19,21
showing 94:24	slightly 89:18	97:5 108:2	81:5 83:10
shown 83:25	slow 75:3	114:18	91:20 110:4
shows 99:18	106:17	speaks 29:5	129:18
102:3	small 40:5 105:2	116:7	statement 8:1
shuster 18:11	107:21	special 7:3 9:22	90:17 110:25
shut 112:11	smaller 99:24	specific 129:6,7	statements
side 67:10,10	sole 45:4	specifically	38:16,17 42:4
69:7,15 78:15	solomon 23:23	80:12,14,19	74:19 107:20
85:5 91:25	solution 57:13	118:23 129:18	states 1:1,18
sidney 23:8	solutions 15:12	spell 64:13	45:4 124:9,24
sign 64:9 69:25	131:20	spend 91:16	static 75:3
70:5	soma 24:19	121:22	status 3:16,16
signature 131:7	somewhat 34:18	spent 59:18	4:16,16 5:16,17
signed 58:22	75:24	124:12	6:15,16 7:15,16
significant 28:2	sonya 17:25	split 40:13	8:16,17 9:15,16
89:22	131:3,8	129:17	10:7,8 11:1,2,18
signing 54:12	soon 49:25	spoke 115:18	11:19 35:24
similar 99:23	130:4	st 21:4	55:15 69:23
simple 33:4	sophisticated	staffing 126:9	74:23 79:23
simply 73:15,21	63:16	staggering	105:5 116:15,24
88:6 90:21	sorry 53:23	64:16	118:22
121:5	66:20 74:17	stake 83:9	statute 63:9
single 85:18	78:20 88:6 99:4	stakes 128:12	statutes 83:15
sino 19:19	100:9 104:23	standard 95:8	stauble 25:12
115:11	112:21 113:3	123:25 124:7	stay 55:6,25
sir 31:11 109:19	117:23 129:6	standards	58:6 86:7
111:11 112:15	sort 66:19 74:9	119:22 121:2	stemhelm 25:13
112:15	sorts 96:7	standing 32:16	step 54:13
siroka 25:11	sought 40:22	33:25 60:2	stephanie 25:3
sit 50:16	41:8 89:11 94:7	stands 83:4	steps 55:6
	121:16,18		

[sternman - ten] Page 37

stormer 26:2	aubmitted 21.4	72.4	,
sternman 26:2	submitted 31:4	73:4	t
stipulate 57:19	32:3 45:1 94:6	sunbeam 126:5	t 110:18 131:1,1
58:7,11	105:11 111:13	127:2,11	table 109:24
stipulation 59:3	114:16 118:6	super 29:14	120:25
stockholders	122:14	supervising	take 36:21 42:17
81:3	subsection	85:10	54:13 61:9
stop 54:7	124:23,24	supplemental	64:15 84:15
stores 125:6	subsequent	9:9 10:1	97:8 98:9 99:17
stout 105:13,17	81:14	supplemented	100:6 127:21
105:18 106:19	substance 36:14	60:25	taken 28:15
112:21,21	73:6 97:22	support 30:6	74:11 77:6,7,14
113:16,18	substantial	31:5 32:3 43:25	77:15
strategy 17:13	84:15 92:22	52:7 70:18	takes 28:15
20:9 128:15	93:8,18 94:9	81:18,19 93:11	85:24
strauss 5:2,7,23	95:11 98:2	94:6	talking 117:2
19:8 60:16	130:2	supported 52:23	tarter 115:6
street 1:19	substantially	83:3	task 96:7 123:17
20:17 21:20	71:5 84:3 88:20	supporting 43:3	124:19
22:4	88:22,24	76:22 81:20	tax 10:13,14
strenuously	success 41:10	supportive	11:7,8 15:17
83:2	64:24 81:11	54:24 98:25	35:5,5 39:20
strong 15:13	83:8 84:8	suppose 105:10	71:15,16
strongly 80:23	125:18	supposed 45:13	taxes 39:18
struck 56:24	successful	sure 37:3 38:3	taxing 98:22
structured 48:5	125:15	46:2,12,16	taylor 24:25
66:18	sufficient 36:24	57:11 69:23	tba 15:23
sub 55:12 87:14	38:6,19 39:1,3,5	71:25 72:12	team 61:22,22
subcommittee	39:9,14,16,19	75:5 76:4 79:1,6	62:7 66:8
113:20	39:23 41:4 60:3	86:15 92:4 98:6	
subject 28:5	72:17 84:17	99:8 105:6,14	technically 68:24
29:17 65:2 73:2	87:7 91:19	105:25 107:5	
73:7 74:13	102:20	108:5 116:24	technologies
89:14 107:19	suggests 48:7,17	123:12	88:10,13
109:2 126:8,12	sui 83:11	suspenders 46:1	telephonically
submissions	suite 131:22	swear 30:24	22:9
74:19	sum 36:14	31:24	tell 30:24 31:24
submit 76:12	summarize	synergy 94:13	55:17 62:25
91:25 93:20	118:4	94:25	70:8 101:9
95:25 105:8	summary 40:16	szydlo 23:25	103:13
75.25 105.0	41:15,20 42:5	527 410 25.25	ten 58:24

[tens - tonight] Page 38

			1
tens 111:14	123:2	110:22 112:4	91:16 95:1,10
tenth 3:2 4:2 5:2	things 29:8 42:8	113:23,24	95:13,21 96:5
6:2 8:1	55:7 62:11	115:22 116:6	98:19 119:6
term 28:18	63:18,22 96:8	118:8 123:15,21	121:20,23
43:16 80:6	118:13	thinking 75:12	122:24 123:18
termination	think 28:20 29:5	110:24	124:12,15,18
84:21	29:19 36:12	third 76:8,9	125:8,16 127:18
terms 36:25	38:16 40:16	84:12 89:7	127:18 129:19
45:24 47:10,17	42:8,10,19	thomas 23:4	130:3
66:17	44:19 45:1,8,15	24:8,10	timeframe
terrific 62:12,16	45:15,20,25	thought 28:9	107:14
testimony 31:8	47:9,18 50:11	52:9 101:3	timely 74:18
31:18 32:6,16	50:15 51:20	106:8,17 110:10	times 43:16
61:7	53:5,13,15,25	110:11 129:13	115:14
texas 15:16,17	54:19 55:11,14	thousand	timing 71:11
text 101:14	56:3 57:4,17	104:15,19,20	90:15 98:12
thai 19:19	58:24 59:4,9,20	three 40:15	title 124:16,22
115:11	59:21,22 60:3	42:17 62:17	125:23
thank 28:1,11	62:21 65:7,24	85:12,17,19	tmt 81:3
31:17,20 32:18	67:6,8,13 68:6	88:24 94:23	tobey 18:23
32:19 40:24	68:21 69:2,6,14	102:10 104:20	100:18 105:16
42:25 46:8 49:5	69:19 70:1,2,9	105:8,12 108:13	today 27:25
60:15 64:16,18	72:19 73:20	108:24 112:5,5	28:3 31:8 32:7
64:18,23 70:23	74:22,25 75:20	112:13	32:22 33:6 41:8
79:13 85:17,20	75:22 76:5,6,19	threshold 52:18	42:13 51:18,23
91:13 92:18	77:3,11,13,17	throwing 69:9	55:17,20,23
110:13 111:11	77:19 78:17,21	tied 92:12	60:25 68:24
115:17,20 116:4	79:3,4,5 84:24	tighten 45:17	78:7 84:25 87:9
123:9 128:22,22	91:5,8,17,18	tiktin 16:17	91:11 92:5
129:4 130:6	92:2,3,6,15	25:15	93:16 96:10
thanks 27:6,8,24	93:17 94:11,20	time 28:2 44:13	120:5,7,15,17
32:20 46:9	95:5,18 96:13	51:12 53:19	120:21 121:1
72:18 97:17	96:14,16,18,19	55:11 56:23	today's 27:4
thau 24:1 25:14	97:9,15 99:11	57:21 59:18	28:10 75:20
theresa 22:20	100:9,17,19	60:10 61:14	83:7 120:2
thing 50:11 54:1	101:2 102:8,10	63:21,24 64:8	tom 21:16 47:8
56:4 57:11	102:11,18 103:3	64:21 66:25	tomorrow 69:3
59:22 62:16	103:6,11,17,21	68:24 69:24	91:11
64:4 103:13	104:11,16 105:2	70:5 72:10 81:1	tonight 69:4
112:2,9 122:9	107:10,10	83:21 84:21	-

[total - use] Page 39

total 76:18	76:12,17 78:3	tyler 25:24	understanding
99:21,22,25	78:22,23 79:3	type 16:21 17:3	62:25 102:24
102:19,22 103:6	80:2 87:9 90:1,2	97:2 121:6	105:15
103:12,18,23	90:5 116:19	122:2,22 128:18	understatement
104:2,6,12	trustee 16:2,16	types 68:1	64:22
106:15	48:10,18 74:4	typical 85:6	understood 57:6
totally 111:14	74:10,18 75:25	typo 76:7	95:24
111:16	76:7,14,15,22	u	unfortunately
tower 19:10	77:8,10 78:8		105:10
tracked 58:17	86:10 108:16	u.s. 2:3 81:4	united 1:1,18
trading 19:19	109:4 123:20	82:3 88:1,13	unnecessary
115:11	truth 30:24,25	123:20	124:25 126:13
trailer 81:4	30:25 31:24,25	ucc 52:20	unopposed 29:5
transaction	31:25	uday 24:24	32:15 85:23
83:17	try 47:14 100:4	ultimate 88:8	92:14 114:9
transcribed	100:6	128:4	122:15
17:25	trying 30:2,16	ultimately 73:18 94:18	unrelated 32:11
transcript	54:7 56:25		unsecured 5:3
120:10 131:4	73:20 92:1	umbrella 109:15 uncertain 61:14	6:3 7:4 13:2,7
transferor 83:21	100:1 109:24		13:12 14:2,8,12
transfers 83:22	110:4,8 121:17	61:15 63:12,20 uncomfortable	14:21 15:4 16:4
transform 34:3	turn 70:13 71:3	53:20	16:13 17:7,18
92:13 128:4,5	75:25 77:22	uncontested	19:9 33:22
trial 128:14,15	88:15 97:16,24	93:11	61:11 71:16,17
tried 101:12	99:1	undercapitaliz	71:23,23 72:7
triggered 57:10	turned 55:24	83:23	79:16 121:11,21
true 44:20 102:1	turnover 15:18	underlying	121:24 123:8
102:6,19,21	tween 126:25	34:20 36:6 61:2	unsecureds 52:4
131:4	two 30:5 34:18	118:12	72:21
truesdell 82:2	44:2 51:2 54:19	understand	unusual 86:3
trust 16:2,9,15	56:13 60:6	35:18 37:5	unwilling 54:2
20:2 29:11 33:9	65:13 67:3,8	42:21 56:1 57:5	update 36:1
33:15 34:25	71:10 79:16,18	59:10 87:10	updated 65:18
35:1 39:24,25	79:18 80:8,19	99:11 100:1	updates 75:4
41:1,13 48:10	83:9 84:25	115:24 122:6	updating 35:23
48:12,15,17	85:21 105:14	128:12	ups 61:22 102:6
65:23 66:3,15	112:5 113:18	understandable	urge 91:15
66:15 71:11	114:9 118:1,14	127:10	use 50:14
72:8 74:3,9,16	120:17 122:19	127.10	
74:17,20,24	122:19 129:7,8		
	1	l .	1

[v - work] Page 40

v	wacker 20:3	warrant 89:6	welcome 87:13
v 1:14 17:16	wages 118:24	90:14	westover 25:20
81:4 82:2 87:25	wait 78:14 84:22	warranted	whatsoever 88:9
88:10,12 126:5	waiting 52:6,11	59:25 90:18	white 1:20 15:23
126:18 127:2,6	waived 73:11	123:16	whitebox 17:13
120.18 127.2,0	walk 13:6,14	washington	20:9
vacature 120:12	14:6,16,25 15:8	21:5	wide 127:5
valuation 83:20	16:8,14 17:11	way 38:10 43:2	william 27:16
value 62:2 81:1	36:2,20 42:15	52:24 53:15	willing 70:6
	101:6	55:4 57:25 58:5	84:15 96:22
124:11	walked 42:14	59:4 63:12	wilmer 20:15
various 32:25	walker 2:5	66:18 68:10,13	68:20
62:23 63:10,10	walking 38:1	70:4 74:7 77:13	wilmington 16:1
81:1 103:20	wall 21:20	77:19 78:9	16:9,15 20:2
115:13	wander 19:23	80:17 86:17	wind 29:11
vast 73:2	115:1,3,5,6	93:4 96:15	winddown
vendors 98:21	116:4	117:7	39:25 40:3,14
veritext 131:20	want 34:11 35:7	wayne 25:1	wish 31:10 32:8
versus 81:12	36:2 37:3,19	ways 74:10	64:23 115:16
vesey 22:4	41:18 42:2	we've 48:3,8,20	129:2,4
vesper 25:16	46:16,18 48:19	51:4 56:24 67:8	withdraw 54:2
victor 13:11	50:12 55:15	74:13 105:19	54:21
vietnam 15:10	56:19,22,25	111:6	wolf 25:18
view 109:12,17	60:21,24 62:6	weeks 111:21	wolfe 25:19
115:14 126:11	62:11,15 64:5	weighing 127:24	wollmuth 12:2
views 63:14	64:13 67:4,9	weight 82:7	wong 13:11
115:7	68:18 69:7,21	weil 3:2,7 4:2,7	word 63:11
village 28:15	69:24 71:3 72:8	18:3 27:10	worded 68:13
villages 28:16	74:7 76:21	51:21 61:22	77:13,14,19
violate 89:13,13	78:14,20 79:1	62:7 72:19	words 76:8
virtual 27:16	85:17,18,20	99:20,23 100:7	work 16:22 17:3
vis 51:6,7	86:3 87:11,11	100:24 114:9	27:25 28:13
vision 82:2	108:3 109:3,22	123:13 124:6	61:17 62:4,14
voice 70:18	110:3 111:8	weintraub 25:17	62:23 69:22
voluntary	128:25	weis 99:20,23	73:25 77:19
101:18	wanted 48:13	113:20	84:14 85:19,19
w	51:5 60:6 71:9	weisgerber	92:4 98:14
w 26:2	78:24 129:22	24:13	101:25 102:5
w.t. 82:15	wants 36:9	weiss 27:17	
	57:12 98:10	weiss 2/:1/	107:1,18,24,24 121:6,14 122:2
		ral Salutions	121.0,14 122.2

[work - à] Page 41

122:9,22 123:20	Z
127:22 129:11	zachary 23:5
129:15,20	zeisler 126:18
worked 105:18	126:18 127:6,6
working 62:7	zensky 24:2
76:5 98:4	zolfo 126:4
106:20 127:17	127:2,11
works 50:11	à
78:17 92:2	à 51:6
worth 28:13	a 31.0
wouldn't 55:25	
writing 75:24	
written 68:10,21	
69:3	
X	
x 1:5,11,17	
y	
y 20:13	
yanez 25:20	
yards 21:11	
yeah 37:4 75:10	
75:15 77:1 96:2	
101:11 104:4	
109:11 117:24	
year 55:10	
years 28:13,24	
34:4 61:17	
62:17 84:25	
98:15	
yesterday 32:22	
66:7 92:16 98:5	
101:4	
york 1:2 18:6,14	
18:21 19:4,12	
19:21 20:11,18	
20:18 21:12,21	
22:5	
yous 64:18,18	
	Varitant I ad